A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Alexa
Alv
Alex
Al
Ara
B.A.
Ande
Albe
Alm
Ebbo

Bozo

Alexander G. F. vs Lucas R. B 11
Alviso T. vs. Morrow J. 76
Alexander G. F. vs Holmes A. 77
Allison Mary vs. Jones F. 104
Arakian vs Sakakian 169
G. A. Anders vs Anthony Walters 173
Anderson A. P. vs C. W. Cutter 191
Albertson Geo. E. vs Harrold Thos. 206
Alway S. vs. F. R. Simlap et al 226
Abbott W. W. vs. Ray J. N 269

Cozzza Paolo vs. Tarbarino G. B. 287

Baley Edwa vs Fraser. Chas. 8
Borello Frank vs. Lehman S. et al. 12
Bernhard E. F. vs. Duncan John 23
Baird Adam vs Brown M. W. 57
Brooks A. P. vs Ferguson A. D. 65
Bagley T. S. vs Duncan John 68
Burdick Thos L. vs Lime Mary 79
Blom A. W. vs Silva Frank 107
Bedford J. F. vs Sprague F. M. 111
Bradley C. H. vs Williams W. M. 124
Beasore J. P. vs McDonald A. et al 135
L. G. Bronbell vs Frank A Silva 153
Baird Bros vs Alameda Restaurant 184
Burns Ella vs. John M. Hensley 189
Baffa Frank vs J. Kurtigian 236
Butaux Julius vs Jos. Pierce et al 255
Blade M. A. vs Wm Shortridge 261
Brown vs. Hogue 265
Bank 1st Nat. v. Frazier S. B 270
Brothers, Frank vs. E. J. Hutchison 271
Bernhard Emil E. vs. Holmes S. A. 288

Costigan J. M. vs Malcolmson J. L. 16
Coll S. L. vs. A Clymell M. J. 24
Collins T. E. vs Pierson A. 30
Cole S. L. vs. W. A. Grady 39
Collins T. E. vs. Grady W. A. 82
Childers M. G. vs. Meinberg Wm. 97
Childers M. G. vs Meinberg Wm. 100
Campbell Alex vs Matheson Geo. 109
Clark Fred vs. Pryer J. P. 117
Cole S. L. vs Milhahn Chas. 129
Choice H. B. vs Tupper W. A. 139
Sampson Louis vs Wm M Story 183
Carter J. B. vs Stump J. P. et al 213
Coffigian Paul M. vs Ah Sang + Ah Quong 223
Carlile S. T. vs. Baird Andrew 228
Chisholm + Jones vs F. J. Crank 258
Crabley J. vs Edison Electric Light + P. Co 262
Clarke J. T. vs. W. F. Wintle 264
Chong, Yee & Co vs. Murray J. G 267
Chung, Wing Yuen vs. Bow Chew 274
Campbell J. W. vs. Wood, R. G 278
Clark + McKenzie vs Mrs. E. A. Boland 301

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Dunn E. C. vs Seward Thos. 10
Davis L. vs Morris Geo 14
Dyer G. S. vs Genicho P. 35
Cardwell J. vs Longhart W. 78
Dyer G. S. vs Sellick E. F. 82
Douglass J. W. vs Price Lee 96
Dyer G. S. vs Silva F. N. 101
Dardorff A. G. vs Baily J. M. 123
Darnold J. S. vs Kunty A. H. 132
Donahoe M. J. vs Wordem John 143
Donahoe Emmons & Co. vs Berry W. J. 144
Dyer G. S. vs Bannon J. W. 150
Doolittle J. E. vs Bannon J. W. 151
Davis Chas vs A F Mathers 159
Donahoe Emmons & Co vs J. T. Hall 161
Dixon H S. vs Thos Campbell 178
Dyer G. S. vs. E. E. Eddy 202
Donahoe Emmons & Co. vs Caffejian P. M. 207
Donahoe M. J. vs Shortridge Wm. 214
Dixon H. L. vs Williams W. M. 230
Dow F. J. vs M. Quinlan et al 233
Donahoe Emmons & Co. vs J. H. Shamklin 243
Donahoe Emmons & Co. vs J. H. Shamklin et al 244
Donahoe Emmons & Co. vs. G. W. B. Chase 245
Denel J. C. vs. Johnson R. B. 250
Donahoe Emmons & Co. vs A. H. Kuhn 259
Doolittle J. E. vs John Wesman 260
Davis, P. P. vs. Hunsaker, A. R 283

Edwards Geo. vs Hiner Jos. 41
Edwards Geo. vs Chumbert Wm. 42
Ellis Vic vs Matthewson Geo. 94
Edwards Geo. vs John Morley 203
Edwards Geo. vs J. T. Travis et al. 204
Eisen Vineyard Co. vs Farris W. H. 229
Edwards J. H. et al vs A. E. Cumbe 241
Edwards J. H. et al vs W. C. Cumbe 242
Ellis vs Matthewson 94

Fraser S. T. vs Lyon A. W 20
Freimuth P. vs Fischer W. A. 49
Fisher A. J. vs Forcade J. L. 69
Flaherty Carrie vs Weinberg Wm. 83
Fresno Canal & Irrigation Co. vs Pennebaff Jos. 127
" " " " vs Pedro Castambide 190
Fresno National Bank vs Wm Laing Inkelmann 208
Fresno Canning Co. vs Jacob. Reider 232

D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Graham Joe. vs Tim Craige 85
Graham Joe. vs Spinney Joe. 84
Grady W.D. vs Van Allen L. 105
Gorman F.C. vs Grady W.D. 134
Garrett C.H. vs Antone S de Mattos 154
Goodman S.B vs C.H. Goodrich 165
Gray Jim vs F.G. Thompson 176
Graham Joe. vs. G. Allen 212
Grady W.D. vs Alliva Pete 231
Grady W.D. vs. Jagger, Sarah A 268
Gauesaud M. vs. Espetallier Frank 277

Hudson J.H. vs. A.S. Cole Page 2
Hildebrand Thos. vs. Friedman J. 4
Hearst Phoebe A. vs W.R. Grady et al 17
Henry S.W. vs Ashbrook A. 28
Henry S.W. vs Adams A.P. 29
Hoffpock L.O. vs Clemens Geo W. 36
Hodges J.A. vs. Brown Geo. E. 40
Hicks W.G. vs Woodson J. 62
Hopkins H.St Geo. vs Burleigh F. 81
Hansen L. vs Carlile G.A. et al. 89
Hennick John vs E & Baum 185
Hawkins J.L. vs W.H. Aldham 187
Hansen Louis vs Waldenberg + Brown 192
Hawkins J.L. vs W.J. Berry et al 215
Hop Hoy vs. Ah Joe 219
Hartley John vs. The Earl Fruit Co. 222
Huber J. vs. W. Ferris 227
Hollenbeck E.M. vs. H.L.F. Bachman et al 246
Henry S.W. vs Berens A. et al 247

Innes Chas vs Hayes T.W. 38
Ingram Wm. vs. Mitchell W.E. 194
Ingram Wm. vs. Clemens W. 195
Ingram Wm. vs. C.E. McClure 196
Ingram Wm. vs. Wm. H. Hammer 197
Ingram Wm. vs. J.H. Hardin 198
Ingram Wm. vs. Jos. Ferber 199

G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

John J. R. vs Pyke C. R. 43
Jones J. T. vs Tilton J. B. 55
James J. G. vs Worley E. F. 86
Jensen Kar. vs Mathiesen Rasmus 130
Johnson R. B. vs J. W. Brelman et al 162
Johnson H. H. vs Hugill J. 217
Kamp A. vs Gutter Max 5
Kirick G. vs Wimmer E. et al 6
Kratz W. R. vs Lenhart C. W. 18
Kempfle A. vs Menard J. 67
Kuhlman H. vs Kunckel H. 133
Keating Wm. vs Hakes et al 216
Keeler C. J. vs Doyle B. W. 285

5 Fraser G.T. vs Lyon A.M. 20
6
8
17
3
6
5

Leach Hattie E. vs Grady W.O. 22
Lambert M. vs McConnell M.J. 26
Lambert M. vs Berry W.J. 33
Lambert M. vs Jones Wm 52
LeBlanc P. vs Poulos S.B. 64
Looney Jane vs T.E. Huff et al 131
Lewis A. & Co vs Alvarez L'Nor 149
Lynn D.E. vs Manuel Catano 167
Lesenberg A.V. vs C.E. Stivers 175
Lee Pow vs Ed. Smith 186
Lockwood W.H. vs Alexander S.A. 221
Levy Herman vs Spaugle F.M. 224
Lowrie A.G. vs Rees Botts 234
Loy Hi vs Wong Toy Tao 239
Lane Martin vs John Chagen 257
Loy Hi vs Mou Ah & Too Wong Toy 280
Lambert, M. vs Rose, Esther & D 281

J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Martin Jas. vs Prewett H. J. 15
Marvin F. A. vs Kellett & Brown 21
Mapey J. L. vs Matthews Geo 27
Meek S. H. vs Fischer W. A. 48
Melchonian A. J. vs Stapp B. S. 66
Mizeley A. vs Barton C. L. 103
Mandich Antonia vs Morgan J. O. 114
McConnell D. J. vs J. C. Garner 156
Maupin J. L. vs Mc Lee 160
Merwin & Hoon & R C Gardner Thompson 179
Morgan J. F. vs Callagan C. S. 205
Murphy W. J. vs E. C. Sprague et al 235
McDougall A. C. vs Wm M. Story 249
Mc Kay Sarah vs John Roebl 252
Mc Colough Bro. vs. W. H. Newman 253
Mount Shasta Manfg Co. vs W. R. Davis 254
Madsen M. vs Kramm et al 256
Mormart, M. vs. Markarian & Peters 275
Marceau, Amanda J. vs. Zetz, Saml 276
Morley John, vs. Malaga Bldg. Ass'n 289.
Mcaupin W. T. vs Estes A. 171

Osborne Wm. vs Imrie T. P. 93
Olsen H. P. vs Smith Chas et al 106
Otto E. H. vs Lee Chung 238

Owen C. A. vs Geo Mathews 168

M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Popovich L vs Williams J. E. 3
Packard Fred vs Rowell F. 34
Portous Jos vs Dunnett W. H. 47
Pressley J. B. vs Bozeman P. S. et al 56
Patterson J. L. vs Cuel & Hummel 88
Patterson J. L. vs Adam van de Goorberg 95
Prescott F. K. et al vs. Darling J. T. 116
Portous Jos. vs Hann C. H. 118
Portous Jos. vs. Hann C. H. 119
Phillips W. L. vs Hann C. H. 121
Portous Jos. vs Garcia R. 122
Prescott F. K. et al vs. Paterson A. J. 126
Portous Jos. vs Taggart T. W. 128
Portous Jos. vs. W. A. Grady 136
Portous Jos. vs. W. A. Grady 137
Portous Jos. vs Hall T. J. 138
Petzer Jacob vs Geo Matheson 155
Priest John vs Frank Paul et al 158
Paul J. B. vs James Ellezian 182
Puryear C. C. vs. J. W. Humphreys et al. 188
Pauline P. R. vs. A. A. Hudson 240

Ritty T. et al vs Silverstein et al 31
Rhodes J. G. vs Reidmann 44
Reed Frank J. vs Ball T. E. 57
Rottger vs Schroller Z. 58
Rhodes J. G. vs Crichton W. C. 61
Reynolds H. C. vs George J. et al 63
Rolle F. vs Quales Jos. 87
Ray T. W. vs Woodson John 90
Rhodes J. G. vs. Wm H. Gordon 98
Rhodes J. G. vs Cummings A. H. 99
Rowley G. H. vs Shortridge Wm 140
Reynolds Lewis C. vs Matthews Geo. 142
Rhodes J. G. vs Hart S. R. 146
Rhodes J. G. vs Pyke C. M 147
Rhodes J. G. vs Ella Barker 163
Ryan T. R. et al. vs Fox H. L. 193
Reeves Wm vs Reeder Jacob 220
Ralston Sam. vs John R. Hendricks 248

P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Sprouse F. M. vs. John Sec Rice 13
Stevens Fred vs Berry W. J. 19
Soules W. vs. Elam T. M. 37
Swain Chas. vs Corwin L. C. 45
Swain C. S. vs. Shepard W. A. 59
Spinney G. vs Holmes Alvin 73
Swain Chas vs. White Ray 74
Swain Chas. S. vs Ewing H. M. 75
Smith J. W. vs. Lunsby A. J. 102
Stutzman H. vs Call Rely 141
Shortridge Wm. vs Cutts R. M. 148
Silva Frank vs Antone Fdr Matteos 153
Simon S vs W Larson 157
Sealin D. M. vs Nam Lee 166
Sealin D. M. vs R E Young 172
Story W. M. vs W L Motley 174
Snow Bert vs Daniel Carlton 177
Sheeler Jack vs. W. R. Sullinger 201
Shepherd W. A. vs. Barber 237
Stevens Geo H. vs Percy G. L. Buckland 257
Shepherd W. A. vs Ed. Henrich 263
Shortridge Wm vs. Hawkins Wm 279
Simpson, Mrs. E. vs. Sandra A. H. and Mrs 282
Terry S. A. vs Hastings John 7
Tirado Frank vs Mathews Geo. 108.
Tai Wing vs Heisinger G. H. 112
Tilton Ida C. vs Bower 120
Tai Wing vs W S Wyatt 170
Tilton J. B. vs J W Lockwood 181
Teach J. M. vs Faulkner J. L. 118
Thompson W. P. 2nd vs John Schmidt 225
Tunzi M. vs McGavic A Bell 285

7
108.
12
20
70
81
118
125
85

S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Valley Lumber Co. vs. Ripping J. J. 25
Vartanian H. vs Lewis Ch. 46
Valley Lumber Co. vs. Bell T. A. 115
Van Valer C. vs Joaquin Samuel et al 125
Vincent, M. vs. Hutchison E. J. 266
Welsh vs Duncan Page 1
Wing Bowne. Clow Wm. 32
Waterman J. A. vs Brown J. H. 50
Welsh H. H. vs Rowdish G. 53
White Jas. vs Graham Geo. 70
Williams W. J. vs Brown Sam. 71
Williams W. J. vs Wilcox A. 72
Winchell L. F. vs Kestirkyan A. 80
Worden John vs Sherwid Wm 91
Warner H. C. vs Lamkin G. C. 110
West H. E. vs Chatten Paul 113
Warnekros A. vs Parker A. B 145
Wong Tai vs W S Wyatt 170
Warnekros A. vs St George 180
Weilheimer A. vs Simmons F. A. 200
Winchell Zed F. vs. H. L. Miller 211
Wolff Jacob vs. Bills, Chas. 272
Whitney W. vs. Gregory, E. J. et al 273
Wilheimer A. vs. Spumper Wm 286

Yancey T. G. vs Fuller Geo. W. 9
Yancey T. G. vs Ellis B. F. 54
Yparraguirre Pablo vs. Henry Miller 210

Zedikper Geo. vs. Shafer W. H. 60

V
W
Y
Z

No. 1

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. H. Welsh

Plaintiff

vs.

John Duncan

Defendant

Action For labor done.

Demand, $ 41 00

H. H. Welsh in person.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1841 Feb. 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued.
" "	Summons and Writ of attachment served, returned and filed.
" 24	The above action dismissed on motion of the plaintiff and costs paid.

Clarke R. Prince
J. P.

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. H. Hudson
Plaintiff

vs.

A. S. Cole
Defendant

Action Price sold and delivered

Demand, $ 60 40/100

J. H. Hudson ~~in pro per~~
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 1841 2	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued.

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

L. Popovich, Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Williams, Defendant

Action For money loaned

Demand, $ 16.00

S. S. Wright, Attorney for Plaintiff

Short + Snow, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Feb. 26	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable.
" 28	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. E. Williams in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Feb. 28, 191 by the officer personally delivering to him there and there a true copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint.
Mar. 5	A written answer this day filed by Frank H. Short and Alva E. Snow.

No. 4

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Thomas Hildebrand
Plaintiff

vs.

J. Friedman
Defendant

Action For work + labor done

Demand, $33 50

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 1891 27	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (M. A. Block, deputy)
No. 4½ Page 4	Greenberg & Norton (Partners) Pltffs vs. E. J. Sutherland Deft. — Action for merch. sold + delivered. Demand $32 00/100
Feb. 1891 28	Complaint filed.

Rec'd my fees for appearance

Rec'd the amount herein

No. 5

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Kamp, Plaintiff

vs.

Max Gutter, Defendant

Action Assigned account

Demand, $ 30 35/100

Attorney for Plaintiff
O. M. Seaton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Feb. 1891	28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (M. A. Blake deputy)
"	28	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Max Gutter in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on Feb. 28, 91 by the officer personally delivering to him there and there a true copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of attachment served and returned showing levy.
Mar	9	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of the said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint were personally served on the said defendant Max Gutter by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Feb. 28, 91 and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days — has fully expired, now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of O. M. Seaton appearing for the plaintiff or his attorney judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of A. Kamp the plaintiff herein and against Max Gutter the defendant herein for the sum of Thirty and 35/100 dollars ($30 35/100) debt and for the sum of Thirteen dollars ($13 00/100) costs of suit.
		Done in open Court this 9th day of Mar. 1891
		Total judgment $43 35/100
		Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Oct 1891 24		An abstract of judgment given to plaintiff.
Jan 1892 22		The above judgment this day satisfied in full.

Rec'd the amount herein
a Kamp

No. 6

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. Krick

Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. E. Wimmer and E. Wimmer, her husband

Defendants

Action For goods, wares and chattels sold.

Demand, $ 18.30

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar. 7	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. E. Cholittle. The above action dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid. Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Sarah A Terry

Plaintiff

vs.

John Hastings

Defendant

Action Money laid out & expended

Demand, $ 117.00 + int. from Nov. 21, 190 @ 7% per annum.

Sarah A Terry
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~ in propr.

Geo. A. Nourse
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar. 10	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to
" 26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by one T. J. Ryan on the defendant John Hastings by the said T. J. Ryan personally delivering to the said defendant John Hastings in the City and County of San Francisco on Mar. 13, 191 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
April 2	A written demurrer this day filed by Geo A. Nourse Atty. for the defendant.
" "	A written answer this day filed by defendant's attorney.
" 29	Stipulation, dismissing action, this day filed.

No. 8

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Edna Baley by J. M. Lewis her Guardian ad litem
Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Fraser
Defendant

Action Claim and Delivery of Personal Property.

Demand, $20.00 and one Packet organ.

Geo. Hood
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank Lanning
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar.	7	Complaint on claim and delivery of personal property this day filed.
"	"	On motion of Caleb Baley the Father of Edna Baley an order is by the Court this day entered appointing J. M. Lewis an uncle of Edna Guardian ad litem of the said minor Edna Baley.
"	9	By consent of the attorneys herein Geo. L. Hood for the plaintiff and Frank Lanning for the defendant the above action is set for trial on Mar. 11, 91 @ 9.30 A.M.
"	"	Notice to the defendant by service of summons or by any other means or manner of the commencement of this suit is by the defendant herein waived.
"	11	At the hour set the parties to the suit herein, their attorneys and their respective witnesses are present and the trial at once proceeds.
"	"	On motion of Lanning the witnesses are sworn and put under the rule.
"	"	J. M. Lewis and Mrs. N. J. Lee are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Mrs. Katie Baley, Mrs. E. Amburg, Mrs. L. E. Wristen and Jno. Clemis are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant.
"	"	The testimony is then argued and submitted. And the Court takes the case under advisement.
April	3	The Court this day finds for the defendant. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that plaintiff take nothing by his action herein and judgment is given for defendant and against plaintiff herein for Seventeen and 90/100 Dollars costs of suit.
		Total judgment $17.90/100
		Justice costs 6.70 Constable costs 2.40 Witness fees 8.80 $17.90
		Done in open Court this 3rd day of April 91. Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
"	15	The above action this day satisfied in full by the Justice + Constable costs in L. E. Wristen.

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. G. Yancey, Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. W. Fuller, Defendant

Action On a note

Demand, $ 191 31/100 and $25 - atty's fee

Church & Cory and L. W. Moultrie
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (Jim Dennis deputy.)
" 26	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. W. Fuller by the said officer personally delivering to him a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California, on Mar. 26, 91.
" "	Writ of attachment served, returned and filed showing levy
April 1	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint were personally served on the said defendant Geo. W. Fuller by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 26, 91 and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of L. W. Moultrie appearing for the plaintiff as his attorney judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of T. G. Yancey the plaintiff herein and against Geo. W. Fuller the defendant herein for the sum of One hundred and ninety one and 31/100 Dollars debt and for Twenty five Dollars attorneys' fee and for Twelve and 70/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Justice fee: $7 00
Constable fee - 5 70
$12 70

Total judgment $229 01/100.

Done in open court this 1st day of April 91.

Chas. R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Thirty and one cents paid in full
Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Thirty and one cents paid in full
Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd constable fee.
Ch. N. Fraser

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

E. C. Chum, Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. Seward, Defendant

Action Services rendered.

Demand, $15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar 12	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to G. F. Alexander
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the said G. F. Alexander on the defendant Thos. Seward in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Mar. 12, 91 by the said Alexander personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 13	Defendant came into Court and answers (verbally) denying generally the allegations of the complaint.
" "	The above action set for trial @ 9 a.m. Mar. 26, 91
" 26	At the hour set the defendant and plaintiff are present and the Court at once hears the case.
" "	O. M. Seaton appears for the plaintiff.
" "	E. C. Chum and J. E. Chalette are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and the defendant testifies in his own behalf. The case is then submitted without argument.
" "	Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered this 26th day of March 1891 in favor of the plaintiff E. C. Chum and against the defendant Thos. Seward for the sum of Fifteen Dollars ($15.00) debt and for the sum of Six and 25/100 Dollars ($6.25) costs of suit.
	Total judgment $21.25
	Chum in open Court Mar. 26th, 1891.
	Justice costs $4.25
	Alexander " 2.00
	$6.25
	Canton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd fees from Pltff. 2.00

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. F. Alexander, Plaintiff
vs.
R. B. Lucas, Defendant

Action: Assigned indeb. acct.

Demand, $ 11.51

J. E. Woolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar 12	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (Jno. Dennis deputy).
" 14	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant R. B. Lucas in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on March 14, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 21	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of the said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint were personally served on the said defendant R. B. Lucas by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 11, 91 and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of J. E. Woolittle appearing for the plaintiff as his attorney, judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of G. F. Alexander the plaintiff herein and against R. B. Lucas the defendant herein for the sum of Eleven and 51/100 Dollars debt and for the sum of Six and 40/100 Dollars costs of suit Total judgment, $17 91/100. Done in open Court this 21st day of March 1891 Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace. Justice's costs $4 00 Constable costs 2 40 6 40
April 16	Execution this day issued, returned and filed.
" "	The above judgment and accrued costs this day satisfied in full. Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 12

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank Borrelo
Plaintiff

vs.

S. Lehman and E. Lehman his wife
Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand, $ 9 20/100

Frank Borrelo
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Geo. Jones
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar.	13	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fiske Constable (Jno. Dennis dep.)
"	19	All proceedings herein as far S. Lehman are concerned are this day dismissed.
"	20	Geo Jones appearing this day for Mrs E. Lehman the defendant herein files a general demurrer (written)

Rec'd my fees from Plff
Chas Fiske

Rec'd Demand & Costs.

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. M. Spangle, Plaintiff

vs.

John Clve Rice, Defendant

Action For services rendered.

Demand, $15.00

F. M. Spangle, ~~for Plaintiff~~ Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar	14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
"	17	Demand and costs paid and the action this day dismissed.

Clauton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd demand & costs.
F. M. Spangle

No. 14

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

L. Davis, Plaintiff

vs.

John Morris and F. E. Morris (his wife.) Defendants

Action on account.

Demand, $ 87 50/100

Jas. A. Burns, Attorney for Plaintiff

G. W. Jones, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar.	14	Complaint, summons, affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment, writ of attachment, demand for itemized statement of account, affidavit for change of venue and transcript of docket this day filed — The said papers having been transferred on a change of venue from the Justice's Court of the 5th Township of Fresno County, W. B. Cheney presiding, to this Court.
"	"	The above action set for trial on Mar. 19, 91 @ 1.30 P.M.
"		and the attorneys for the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	19	It appearing to the Court that the said action has been regularly set for trial and the parties thereto notified thereof and it further appearing that neither plaintiff nor plaintiff's attorney is present at the hour set for trial, nor within three hours thereafter, and it further appearing that both defendant Mrs. F. E. Morris and her attorney are present and ready for trial, it is by the Court ordered and adjudged, on motion of defendant's attorney, that the plaintiff herein takes nothing by his action and that judgment be, and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Mrs F. E. Morris and against the plaintiff L. Davis for the costs incurred in this action to wit; in the sum of Thirty Six and 90/100 Dollars costs of suit.
		Dantel R. Primer, Justice of the Peace.
"	23	Memorandum of Costs this day filed.
"	26	Notice of Appeal this day filed.
April	14	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
"	24	Objection to sureties on appeal bond this day filed.
"	29	Objection to sureties this day waived by defendant.
May	20	Full Justice fees this day paid and papers sent to the Superior Court.
1893 Apr 25		Certified Copy order dismissing appeal filed

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Martin

Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Prewett

Defendant

Action One horse + one wagon sold.

Demand, $140.00 + int @ 7% from Mar. 17, 89.

Caminetti, Magee + Cavagnaro
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar. 16	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser, Constable (deputy)

No. 16

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. M. Costigan, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Laing Malcolmson, Defendant

Action Claim & note

Demand, $242.06 and int. @ 1% per mo. from Dec. 31, 190 and $30.00 atty's fee

Graham & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

S. Jackson
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar.	19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (Jno. Dennis deputy)
"	31	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm Laing Malcolmson by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 31st, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
April	6	Defendant's attorney S. Jackson files a general demurrer (Written).
July	2	Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Cholittle deputy.)
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
Jun "	17	Notice calling up Demurrer filed

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of the Mid Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Phoebe A. Hearst as executrix of the last Will and Testament of Geo. Hearst, deceased Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Grady and G. W. Owen Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $299.99/100 and int thereon @ 1% per mo from Sept 22/86

Edward Hughes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1886 Mar. 19	Complaint filed.

No. 18

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. M. Kratz
Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Lenhart
Defendant

Action Claim suit

Demand, $65.50 + int @ 1% per mo. from Oct 15, 190 and $25.00 atty fee.

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mark Walker
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar.	21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for Writ of Attachment filed; and summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fresno Constable, (J. H. Walton Constable)
"	23	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. W. Lenhart by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 23, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of Attachment served, returned and filed showing levy.
"	28	Defendant's attorney Mark Walker this day files a written demurrer; general.

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Fred Stevens
Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Berry
Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand, $ 2 50

Fred Stevens & Alva Snow in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Joe Berry
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar. 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for Writ of attachment filed; and summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frazer, Constable, (Mr. Jas Black deputy)
" 21	Writ of attachment this day filed.
" 23	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. J. Berry by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 21st, '91 a true copy of the said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Defendant answers verbally denying generally the allegations of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed showing levy.
" 26	The above action set for trial Mar. 31, '91 @ 9.30 A. M.
" 30	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued
" 31	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
" "	The above action continued until 7 P. M. Mar. 31, '91.
" "	At the hour set the parties plaintiff and defendant are present with their attorneys and the case at once proceeds.
" "	Fred Stevens, S. T. Stevens, F. R. Crocker, W. W. Sullinger and A. A. Pinson are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff;
" "	A motion is made at this stage of the proceedings for a non suit and by the Court denied.
" "	W. J. Berry, E. A. Brundidge, J. F. Barrett, Clarence Berry, W. H. McCrea, and S. W. Chantry are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The case is then argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.
12.40 April 17	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff for the sum of Two Dollars. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Fred Stevens and against the defendant W. J. Berry for the sum of Two Dollars debt and Thirty Six & 20/100 Dollars Costs of suit. Total judgment Thirty eight and 20/100 Dollars. Done in open Court this April 17, 1891. Clant R. Pinson, Justice of the Peace. (See page 21 this docket.)
" 18	Defendant granted a ten day stay of execution.

Constable costs 12.40
Justices costs 15.00
Witness fees 8.80
36.20

Rec'd back this day April 25 1891 Chas. W. Frazer

No. 20

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. T. Fraser, Plaintiff,
vs.
Mrs. A. W. Lyon, Defendant.

Action on assigned a/c

Demand, $ 45 50/100

S. T. Fraser
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in his own per.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar.	21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed; and summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable.
"	23	A demand for an Itemized Account this day filed by defendant.
"	26	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer (verbal.)
"	.	An Itemized Account this day filed.
May	8	Demurrer set for argument on May 13, 91 @ 2 P. M.
"	13	By consent of parties at interest the demurrer this day overruled and general denial (oral) this day entered.
"	"	The above action set for trial on May 15, 91 @ 3 P. M.
"	15	At the hour set the parties at interest present and the trial about to proceed. Whereupon the defendant files a written offer to allow the plaintiff to take judgment in the sum of $70 00/100 and costs to date — which offer is by the plaintiff accepted.
"	"	Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for the sum of ($70 00/100) Seventy Dollars debt and for the sum of ($15 95/100) Fifteen + 95/100 Dollars costs of suit. Justice's costs = $6.75 Total judgment. $85 95/100. Constables costs 9.20 $15.95 Done in open court this 15th day of May 1891 Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
"	28	Execution this day issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable.
June	19	Defendant Mrs. A. W. Lyon this day pays into Court $30 00/100 on the above judgment. Balance over costs paid to plaintiff. Total costs paid. 21.30 Pd Bond Bros. 8.70 30.00

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. A. Merwin and Ed Hoehn doing business under the firm name of Merwin & Hoehn — Plaintiff

vs.

Kellett & Brown — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand, $ 15 00

F. A. Merwin ~~in pro. per.~~ Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Mar. 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed; and summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frazer Constable, (Geo. Clunie, deputy).
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendants.—
" "	On motion of the plaintiff the above action is dismissed and costs paid. Oscar R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
	Fred Steinne vs W. J. Berry } (Continued from page 19—)
April 1891 30	Execution issued and delivered to Chas Frazer Constable. J. E. Sublette deputy.
May 8	The above execution returned this day satisfied.

No. 22

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mattie E. Leach, Plaintiff

vs.

W. O. Grady, Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise sold.

Demand, $149.95 and int. thereon at 7% per annum from Feb. 7, 91.

Church & Cary
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. O. Grady in person
Attorney ~~for Defendant~~

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891		
Mar.	23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Frazer, Constable.
"	24	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. O. Grady by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant W. O. Grady in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 24, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
	28	Demurrer set for argument April 11 91 @ 2 P.M. Defendant this day filed a written general demurrer.
April	11	Demurrer argued & sustained - complaint amended. - Thereupon a general denial (oral) entered for defendant.
April	11	The above action set for trial on April 15, 91 @ 2 P.M.
"	15	The trial of the above action continued until April 22, 91 @ 2 P.M.
"	22	The trial of the above action continued until April 23, 91 @ 11 A.M.
"	23	At the hour set for trial the plaintiff and her attorney Geo. E. Church, and W. P. Thompson representing the defendant W. O. Grady are present and the trial at once proceeds.
"		Mattie E. Leach is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and the case is at once submitted to the Court without argument. Thereupon the court finds for the plaintiff.
"		Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered for the plaintiff Mattie E. Leach and against the defendant W. O. Grady this 23rd day of April 1891 for the sum of $149.95/100 debt and for the sum of $1.81/100 interest and for the sum of $6.50/100 costs of suit.
		Total judgment $157.76/100
		Done in open court this 23rd day of April 91
		Justice fee 4.50
		Constable's fee 2.00
		6.50
		Santo R. Prince, Justice of the Peace, of said Township.
April	23	Defendant granted a ten day stay of execution.
May	21	Notice of appeal this day filed.
"	"	Undertaking on appeal this day filed

Justice fee Paid

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

E. F. Bernhard

Plaintiff

vs.

John Duncan

Defendant

Action Assigned account.

Demand, $ 14.25

E. F. Bernhard in pro. per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (Geo Dennis, deputy.)
May 15	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Duncan by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 14, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.

No. 24

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. L. Cole
Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. O'Donnell
Defendant

Action account

Demand, $ 42 20/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 1891 6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. = Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
July 16	The costs herein this day paid and the above action dismissed.

Dante R Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd from Plff any fees.

No. 25

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Valley Lumber Company
A Corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Ripping
Defendant

Action Goods wares & merchandise sold and delivered

Demand, $ 161 11/100 and int. thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from April 4, 1891.

Church & Cory
Attorney for Plaintiff

Newman Jones
M. F. McCormick Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd from Jeff Gray Justice's fees. J. J. Ripping

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 7	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (Jno. Dennis deputy).
" 14	Newman Jones, attorney for the defendant came into Court and answers (orally) denying generally the allegations of the complaint.
FEB. 7 1906	Dismissed on motion of defendants Attorney for want of prosecution.

G. W. Smith
J. P.

No. 26

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Rec'd fees from Pltff Sept.

Ira. Lambert — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. O'Donnell — Defendant

Action Assigned Account

Demand, $ 12 25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 7	Complaint filed, also affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment = Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to

John L. Mapes
vs.
Ben Matheson etc

Continued from opposite page.

mule named Belle—(said mule being about fifteen hands high and about six years old,) or for the sum of One hundred and thirty eight Dollars the value thereof in case delivery thereof cannot be had, and for Ten Dollars damages for its detention, and for the sum of Thirty two + 60/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Given in open court this 5th day of June 1891

David R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Witness fee $20.00
Justice 7.00
Constable 5.60
29.00
32.60

June 10 Memorandum of costs this day filed.
" 30 Notice of Appeal this day filed.
" " Undertaking on Appeal this day filed.
July 1 Affidavit of service this day filed.

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John L. Mapey, Plaintiff

vs.

George Matheson, Constable of said 3rd Township of Fresno County California, Defendant

Action Claim and Delivery of Personal Property.

Demand, $

Gardner & Jones, Attorney for Plaintiff

J. P. Menx, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April	8	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable. (M. A. Black deputy)
"	16	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. Matheson by the officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on April 8, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	J. P. Menx, attorney for the defendant, comes into Court and answers (orally) denying generally the allegations of the complaint.
"	"	The above action set for trial on April 17, 91 @ 3 P. M.
"	17	The trial of the above action continued until April 29, 91 @ 9 A. M.
"	29	At the hour set the parties at interest with their attorneys are present and the trial proceeds. J. L. Mapey is sworn and testifies in his own behalf; and J. W. Wilkins is sworn & testifies in behalf of defendant.
"	"	The further taking of testimony is continued until May 5 91 @ 2 P. M.
May	5	The further hearing is again continued until May 16, 91 @ 9.30 A. M.
"	16	The further hearing is again continued until May 23, 91 @ 1.30 P. M.
"	23	The further hearing is again continued until June 2, 91 @ 2 P. M.
"	28	A subpoena for witnesses for the defense duly issued.
June	2	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Wm. Shields, Jesse Irvine, Chas Swart, Alex Gordon and J. P. Menx are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Thos. H. Dean, Wesley Smith, Geo. Kuhn, Pat Malarkey and J. L. Mapey are sworn and testify in the defendant's behalf.
"	"	The testimony is then submitted and by the Court taken under advisement
"	8	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John L. Mapey and against the defendant Geo Matheson for the recovery of the possession of the said sorrel mare

(continued on opposite page.)

No. 28

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. W. Henry, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Ashbrook, Defendant

Action for goods sold and labor and house rent.

Demand, $

R. B. Perry, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 1891 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fricker Constable (P. H. Walton deputy)
16	Defendant, A. Ashbrook, comes into Court this day and answers (orally) denying generally the allegations of the complaint.
"	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. Ashbrook by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California, April 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed showing levy.
" 18	Defendant A. Ashbrook comes into Court this day and files a written answer and counter claim.
" 20	Plaintiffs attorney comes into Court and answers (orally) denying generally the allegations of defendants counter claim.
" 24	The above action set for trial on April 27, 91 @ 9.30 A.M.
" 27	At the hour set for the trial of the above action Simon W. Henry is present with his attorney R. B. Perry and A. Ashbrook is present appearing as attorney for himself.
"	Simon W. Henry is sworn and testifies in his own behalf
"	The defendant offers no testimony and the case is submitted to the Court without argument.
"	Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff S. W. Henry and against the defendant A. Ashbrook for the sum ($62 25/100) sixty two and 25/100 debt and for the sum of ($12 40/100) Twelve and 40/100 costs of suit.
	Justice's costs $7 00/100 — Total judgment $74 65/100
	Constable's costs 5 40/100 — $12.40
	Done in open Court this 27th day of April 91
	Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
" 27	Defendant granted a five day stay of execution.
May 7	Execution this day issued.

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. W. Henry
Plaintiff

vs.

A. P. Adams
Defendant

Action Buggy hire and goods and notes sold.

Demand, $48.50

R. B. Perry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed; and summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
" 16	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. P. Adams in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on April 16, 91 by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant there and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint
"	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed showing levy.
"	The above action this day dismissed and demand and costs paid.

Clarke R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

April 16 $91 Paid demand
" " " fees
RB Perry Atty for Plff

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. E. Collins

Plaintiff

vs.

A. Pierson

Defendant

Action For rent

Demand, $ 25-00

F. E. Collins in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
April 1891	17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (M. A. Black deputy)
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. Pierson by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township Fresno County, State of California on April 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	18	Writ of attachment served returned and filed showing levy.
"	24	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a true copy of the complaint were personally served on the said defendant A Pierson by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on April 18, 1891 and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days - has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of the plaintiff F. E. Collins judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. E. Collins and against the defendant A. Pierson for the sum of Twenty five Dollars debt and for the sum of Thirty one and 40/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Total judgment of $56 40/100

Justice fees 6.50
Constable's fees 24.90
31.40

Given in open Court this 24th day of April, 1891.

Daniel R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township.

Apl 24/91 Ord Auction of defendant a stay of execution for two days

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. Reity and Mrs. T. Reity his wife, Plaintiffs

vs.

R. Silverstein and Mrs. R. Silverstein his wife, Defendant

Action For work + labor done and performed

Demand, $20.00

T. Reity + T. P. Ryan in the per. Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

A. M. Drew Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 21	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Cloolittle deputy).
" 22	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant R. Silverstein by delivering to him personally a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on April 22, 1891; and by the said officer also personally delivering to the defendant Mrs. R. Silverstein a true copy of said summons at the said time and place.
April 25	Answer + counter claim this day filed by defendant.
" "	Plaintiff answers orally denying generally the allegations of defendants counterclaim.
July 14	The above action set for trial on July 22 1891 @ 10.30 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" "	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued, returned and filed.
" 22	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds. T. P. Ryan appears for plaintiffs and A. M. Drew for defendants. Mrs. Thos. Reity, Mary Mills, Thos. Reity, Mrs. F. F. Babcock are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiffs; and R. Silverstein and Mrs. R. Silverstein, and Mrs. L. Bloomenthal and Mrs. E. C. Dunn are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants. The testimony is ~~thereupon argued and~~ submitted without argument and by the Court taken under advisement until July 27, 1891
" 27	The court this day finds for the plaintiffs. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiffs Thos. Reity and Mrs. Thos. Reity and against defendants R. Silverstein and Mrs. R. Silverstein for the sum of $20.00 debt and for the sum of $14.90 costs of suit.

Constable costs $7.90
Justice costs $7.00
$14.90

Total judgment $34.90

Done in open court this 27th day of July 1891
Clarence Grimes
Justice of the Peace.

July 27/91 On motion of defendant a stay of execution for two days granted.

7.40

No. 32

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Bow Wing
Plaintiff

vs.

William Chow
Defendant

Action Wines sold and delivered

Demand, $ 23 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 22	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
May 7	The motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid. Charles S. Wise Justice of the Peace.

19.35

Received of Chow $19.35 in full satisfaction of judgment. Bow Wing

No. 33

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. Lambert
Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Berry
Defendant

Action For Index and on an assigned account.

Demand, $ 161 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd petition face from Plff 20 Apr 91

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed; Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frame Constable.
Sept 28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. J. Berry of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on April 23, 1891

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Fred Packard, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Rowell, Defendant

Action Assigned account

Demand, $19 00/100

Frank Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 23	Complaint filed.

No. 35

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

E. S. Clyer
Plaintiff

vs.

P. Genivelo
Defendant

Action: Assigned account

Demand, $

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frame Constable (J. E. Chollitte deputy)
May 2	On motion of plaintiff's attorney the above action is hereby dismissed and costs paid. Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

L. D. Hoppock, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. W. Alvers, Defendant

Action Goods, wares & merchandise sold.

Demand, $ 97.50 cts

L. D. Hoppock
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Brown Constable (J. E. Thorlittle, deputy)
April 30	On motion of plaintiff the above action is hereby dismissed and costs paid. Clarke R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

350

No. 38

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Chas. Imus, Plaintiff

vs.

T. W. Hayes, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 24 50/100

Chas. Imus
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 April 30	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser, constable.

Received this from Pl'ff
C. P. Dunn

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Walter Soules
Plaintiff

vs.

T. M. Elam and Lucy A. Elam
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $100.00 + int 1% per mo. from Dec 15, 90 + $25.00 atty's fee.

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
April 1891 29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Frum, constable (J. E. Stoblitts, deputy).
May 6	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Lucy A. Elam by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on April 30, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on said defendant Lucy A. Elam by the said officer personally delivering the same to her in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on April 30th 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefor her default is hereby entered and on motion of D. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Walter Soules the plaintiff herein and against the defendant Lucy A. Elam for the sum of $100.00 debt and for the sum of $4.66⅔ interest and for the sum $25.00 attorney's fee and for the sum of Seven and 30/100 dollars costs of suit. Constable's fee 2.50 Justice's fee 4.50 7.30 Total judgment $136.96⅔ Done in open court this 6th day of May 91. Dante R. Prince Justice of the Peace, of said Township.

Rec'd $120.00 in full satisfaction of judgment Feb 5-1892 D. M. Seaton atty for plff
Also Rec'd 4.50 constables cost

Rec'd demand in full

See next page where this case is continued.

No. 39

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. L. Cole, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Grady, Defendant

Action assigned account.

Demand, $ 15 70/100

A. S. Cureton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 1st	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Sholitte deputy)
" 2	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. H. Grady by delivering to him a true copy of the complaint attached to a copy of the summons in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 2nd 1891.
" 9	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on said defendant W. H. Grady by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 2, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days - has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of A. S. Cureton attorney for the plaintiff judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of S. L. Cole the plaintiff herein and against W. H. Grady the defendant herein for the sum of $15 70/100 debt and for the sum of Six and 50/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $22 20/100. Done in open Court this May 9, 1891. Charles R. Brown, Justice of the Peace, of said Township.
" 12	A five day stay of execution this day granted. June 27, 1891 Execution this day issued.

Constable fee $2.00
Justice fee 4.50
6.50

Walter Sowles, Deft
T. M. Elam and Lucy A. Elam, sureties.

Rec'd demand in full this 6th day of July 1891

The above action this day dismissed at request of plaintiff. Dated July 6, 1891. Charles R. Brown, Justice of the Peace

1891 May 6	Execution issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Sholitte deputy)
" 7	Abstract of judgment given.
1892 Feb. 5	The judgment rendered herein has been satisfied in full.

Charles R. Brown
J. P.

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. A. Hodges, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. E. Brown, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $120 + int from Mar. 7 1889 @ 10% per annum. + $25 atty's fee

D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Edwards & Bledsoe, Attorneys for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May	4	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Chalitte deputy.)
"	18	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. E. Brown by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	21	Written answer this day filed by Messrs Edwards & Bledsoe attorneys for defendant.
Aug	5	A written offer by the defendant to allow plaintiff judgment for $151 60/100 and costs of suit, and a written acceptance thereof by the plaintiff is this day filed.
"	"	Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. A. Hodges and against the defendant Geo. E. Brown for the sum of $151 60/100 debt and for the sum of $7 00/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $3.50 Constable fee 3.50 7.00 Total judgment $158 60/100 Done in open court Aug 5, 1891 Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace
1893 Aug	26	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw 1.25
Oct	26	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied

No. 41

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Geo. Edwards

Plaintiff

vs.

James Himes

Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $ 9 50/100

Geo. Edwards in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 5	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fresn Constable (J. E. Hurlitt deputy.)

No. 42

In the Justice's Court of the 2nd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Geo. Edwards

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Clement

Defendant

Action for service rendered

Demand, $14 00

Geo. Edwards in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 5	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Brown Constable (J. E. Overlittle deputy).
" 8	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm. Clement in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 8, 91 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint
" 9	Writ of attachment served, returned and filed showing levy.

Rec'd $5.00 for service fees

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

P. N. John, Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Pryke and Lovina Pryke his wife, Defendants

Action for work & labor done.

Demand, $36 00/100

P. N. John in his own per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

H. Z. Austin
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891 5	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Overlittle deputy)
" 8	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. M. Pryke by delivering to him personally a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 8, 1891; and on the defendant Lovina Pryke by delivering to her then and there a true copy of said summons.
" 13	Defendants come into court and answer (orally) denying generally the allegations of plaintiffs complaint.
" 15	The above action set for May 18, 1891 @ 3 P.M. for trial. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 18	At the hour set the parties at interest are present with the exception of Lovina Pryke – H. Z. Austin appears for the defence. The trial is at once had.
" "	P. N. John is sworn and testifies in his own behalf.
" "	The defence offers no testimony and the case is submitted to the court without argument.
" "	Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff P. N. John and against the defendants C. M. Pryke and Lovina Pryke for the sum of $36 00/100 debt and $ Sum 30/100 costs of suit. Justice fee = $3.50 Constable fee = 3.80 $7.30 Total judgment $43 30/100. Done in open court this May 18, 1891 Chas R. Prince Justice of the Peace
May 18	Defendant granted a five day stay of execution.
" 22	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.

No. 44

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. S. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

W. W. Reidhour, Defendant

Action for postmage.

Demand, $ 60 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891	6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Chalitte deputy)
"	8	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. W. Reidhour in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 8, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	11	Defendant comes into Court this day and answers (orally) denying generally the allegations of plaintiff's complaint.
"	13	The above action set for trial this day for May 19, 91 at 4 P. M.
"	15	A subpoena for witness for the plaintiff this day issued.
"	21	The motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Charles R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 45

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Chas Swain

Plaintiff

vs.

L. C. Corwin

Defendant

Action for Hack hire

Demand, $ 21 00

Chas. Swain in pro per.

Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May	6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Chatterton deputy).
"	7	The above action this day dismissed and demand and costs paid.

Daniel R Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd the amount in full
Today Apr 8 1891.
Chas Swain

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. Nartanian

Plaintiff

vs.

Martin Lewis

Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 96 00/100

C. M. Seaton

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Franer Constable (J. E. Tholittle deputy)

Rec'd of Justice fees from Pltff. $1.25

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Portens, Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Clement, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $47 60/100 debt and $37 45/100 interest and $25 00/100 atty's fee.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May	7	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Overlitt deputy.)
"	8	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. M. Clement in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 8, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	9	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed showing levy.

Justice & Constables fees to date paid by Jas. Portens. Nov 15, 1891. Wm. Prince J.P.

No. 48

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. H. Meek, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Fischer, Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $ 69 38/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fresen Constable (J. E. Chalitte deputy.)
" 9	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. A. Fischer in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 9, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him there and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed showing levy.
15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint have personally served on said defendant W. A. Fischer by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 9, 1891 and it ~~appearing~~ appearing that said defendant has not ~~appeared~~ answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of S. H. Meek the plaintiff herein judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of S. H. Meek the plaintiff and against W. A. Fischer the defendant for the sum of $69 38/100 debt and for the sum of Nineteen and 30/100 Dollars costs of suit. Constable fees $12 20 Justice fees 7 10 $19.30 Total judgment $88 68/100 Done in open court May 15, 1891 Chas R. Prince. Justice of the Peace
May 15	Execution issued this day and delivered to Chas Fresen Constable.
" 18	Execution served, returned, and satisfied and filed.

May 15, 91 This judgment this day satisfied in full.
C. R. Prince
J. P.

June 8, 1891 Above this day received of the defendant the amount of this judgment.
Chas R. Prince
J. P.

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Peter Freimuth, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Fischer, Defendant

Action For work and labor done.

Demand, $ 75 40/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 8	Complaint filed; and also affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Scolittle deputy.)
9	Summons served returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. A. Fischer in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 9 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment served, returned and filed showing levy.
15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on said defendant W. A. Fischer by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 9, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of Peter Freimuth the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the said Peter Freimuth the plaintiff herein and against W. A. Fischer the defendant herein for the sum of $75 40/100 debt and for the sum of $19 30/100 costs of suit. Justice's costs $7 00 Constable's costs 12 30/100 $19 30 Total judgment $94 70/100 Done in open court May 15, 1891 Charles C. Prince Justice of the Peace.
May 15	Execution issued this day and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
" 18	Execution served, returned and satisfied and filed.

Rec'd Receipt for $94 70 attach bond Geo. Sanborn May 23, 91

May 18, 1891 this judgment this day satisfied in full. Rec'd payment in full this day Charles C. Prince Justice of the Peace.

May 19, 1891 Peter Freimuth

No. 50

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. A. Waterman
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Brown and Kellett
Defendant

Action for horse feed

Demand, $ 36 00/100

J. A. Waterman
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Cholittle deputy.)
" 11	The above action this day dismissed on motion of J. A. Waterman the plaintiff herein and costs paid.

Chas. R. Grimes,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 51

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank J. Read, Plaintiff
vs.
T. E. Ball, Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $ 118 32/100 + int @ 1% per mo from Dec. 1, 1890 & $25 00/100 attys fee

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Brown Constable (J. E. Charlitte deputy)

No. 52

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. Lambert, Plaintiff

vs.

William Jones, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 43 47/100

A. P. Tuller, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frame constable (J. E. Cholittle deputy)
" 11	On motion of plaintiff's attorney the above action dismissed and costs paid. Clanton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace
1891	The case of J. G. Pressley vs P. A. Bozeman et al continued from page 56. It appearing to this Court from the testimony of officer J. E. Cholittle who was first duly and legally sworn, that a true copy of said summons was personally served on the said defendant P. A. Bozeman by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 2nd, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of Thompson & King attorneys for the plaintiff, judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John G. Pressley and against the defendant P. A. Bozeman for the sum of $250 00/100 debt and for the sum of $53 45/100 interest and for the sum of $40 00/100 attorney's fee and for the sum of $10 40/100 costs of suit. Constable's costs $4.20 Justice's costs 6.20 $10.40 Total judgment $353 85/100 Done in open court this Clanton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

(The above action continued on page 53)

No. 53

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. H. Welsh, Plaintiff

vs.

G. Borodish, Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $152 + int at 10% from Nov. 29/90.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 15		Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable.
1895 June	10	Alias Summons issued
"	11	Alias summons returned filed seived
"	24	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the alias summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 11th day of June 1895 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of H H Welsh it is ordered that the default of the said defendant G Borodish be and the same is hereby entered.
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. H. Welsh and against the defendant G. Borodish for the sum of $233 86/100 (Prin $152.00 Int $81.86) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8 50/100
		Total judgment $242 36/100
		Done in open Court this 24th June 1895
		Hy Austin Justice of the Peace

John G. Pressley

vs

P. D. Bozman et al.

(Continued from opposite page.)

1891 June 9 The above action set for trial on June 15, 1891 @ 2 P. M.

" 15 At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds. The plaintiff introduces defendants note in evidence and thereupon rests. — The defendant offers no testimony. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John G. Pressley and against the defendant William Gates for the sum of $250 00/100 debt, and for the sum of $53 85/100 interest and for the sum of $40 00/100 attorneys fee and for the sum of $10 00/100 costs of suit.

" " Total judgment $353 85/100

Justice fee $6.20

Constable fee 4.20

10.40

Done in open court this June 15, 1891

Charles R. Prince

Justice of the Peace.

No. 54

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. B. Yancey

Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Ellis

Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $240.00 and int. @ 10% per annum from Jan 31, 91 and 50.00 atty's fee and costs of suit. Church & Cory & Moultrie

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May	15	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Hurlittle deputy)
	25	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant B. F. Ellis by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 23, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint

Rec'd from Plff my fees in advance

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. T. Jones, Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Tilton, Defendant

Action for work & labor done and for expenses of himself & team.

Demand, $162 00/100

Gardner, Jacobs & Jones. Attorneys for Plaintiff

J. G. Rhodes. Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frazier constable (J. E. Quilitte deputy)
" 18	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. B. Tilton by the said officer personally delivering ~~the same~~ to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 15th, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	J. G. Rhodes attorney for J. B. Tilton comes into Court and enters a general denial (oral)
" 18	The above action this day set for trial on May 23, 1891 @ 3 P.M. and the attorneys in the case notified thereof.
" 23	The above action continued until June 1st 1891 @ 3 P.M.
June 1st	At the hour set the parties at interest and their attorneys present and the trial proceeds.
" "	J. T. Jones is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The plaintiff thereupon rests and the defense puts on the defendant J. B. Tilton who is sworn and testifies in his own behalf.
" "	After argument by the attorneys the case is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement until June 2, 1891
" 2	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. T. Jones and against the defendant J. B. Tilton for the sum of ($130 25/100) One hundred and thirty and 25/100 Dollars debt and for the sum ($31 70/100) Thirty one and 70/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Justice's fees $7.00
Constable's fees 24.70
$31.70

Total judgment $161 95/100

Done in open court this 2nd day of June 1891

Charles R. Prince
Justice of the Peace

continued on page 57

No. 56

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John G. Pressley, Plaintiff

vs.

P. L. Bozeman et als, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $250 00/100 + int @ 1½% per mo from Dec. 21, 1889 and $50 atty's fee

Thompson & King
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 15	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
" 23	Gardner, Jacob and Jones appearing for defendant Willit Bates file a written answer.
" 24	It appearing to this Court from the testimony of officer Chas Fraser who was first duly and legally sworn that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on said defendant W. A. Carothers by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the Third Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 18, 91 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of Messrs Thompson & King attorneys for the plaintiff judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John G. Pressley and against the defendant W. A. Carothers for the sum of ($250 00/100) Two hundred & fifty dollars debt, ($53 45/100) Fifty three and 45/100 dollars interest and ($40 00/100) Forty dollars attorney's fee and ($10 40/100) Ten and 40/100 dollars costs of suit. Justice's fee 4.20 Constable's fee 6 20 $10.40 Total judgment $353 85/100 Done in open court this 24th day of May 91. Charles R. Prince Justice of the Peace.
June 1891 10	Execution issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable.
	The above case continued on page 52 of this docket.
" 17	The demand and the costs incurred in the above action to date satisfied in full by Willit Bates & W. A. Carothers. Chas. R. Prince J. P.

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Andrew Baird Plaintiff

vs.

M. W. Moon Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $52 15/100 + int @ 1% per mo from Mar 15, 190 + $25 00/100

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frame, constable.
	Defendant this day hands into Court for costs $5 50/100 May 19, 1891.
	J. T. Jones continued from page 55.
	vs.
	J. B. Tilton
1891 June 3	Execution issued and delivered to Chas Frame constable. (J. E. Chadwick deputy)
" 13	Execution served, returned and filed showing partial satisfaction in the sum of $123 00/100. After deducting costs there are $57 56/100 to credit on demand.

No. 58

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. M. Rottger, Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Schroller, Defendant

Action for money loaned and work of self and team.

Demand, $282 00/100

S. J. Hinds & D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Terry, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 16	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Louis Schroller by the said officer personally delivering to him a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on May 16 1891.
" 20	Defendant this day through his attorney R. B. Terry enters a general demurrer (oral)
June 13	The above action set for trial on June 29, 1891 @ 10 A. M.

The above demand and costs this day satisfied in full June 16th 1891

S. J. Hinds and D. M. Seaton
attys for pltff

Clayton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 59

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. S. Swain, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Shepard, Defendant

Action assigned account

Demand, $ 64 17/100

Louis B. McWhirter, Attorney for Plaintiff

O. M. Seaton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Cloolittle deputy).
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. A. Shepard by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 19	Written answer filed this day by O. M. Seaton attorney for defendant.
June 5	The above action set for trial June 11, 191 @ 1.30 P.M.
" 11	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds. M. L. Perry is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff; whereupon the plaintiff rests. H. J. Austin is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defendant. The further taking of testimony is continued until June 12, 191 at 2.30 P.M.
" 12	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds. But owing to the ruling of the court relative to the introduction of certain testimony the defense offers no further testimony and the case is submitted to the court for determination without argument. Whereupon the court finds for the plaintiff; and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. S. Swain and against the defendant W. A. Shepard for the sum of fifty four and 17/100 dollars debt and for the sum of seven and 55/100 costs of suit.
	Constable costs $ 2.80 — Total judgment $ 71 72/100
	Justice's costs 4.75 — Given in open court June 12, 1891
	$ 7.55 — Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
" 12	A stay of execution for five days granted defendant.
" "	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
" 26	Notice of appeal this day filed.

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Geo. Zedikes
Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Shafer
Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 299 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 18 1891	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Cadillac deputy)

No. 61

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

W. O. Crichton, Defendant

Action Money received

Demand, $ 27 00/100

J. G. Rhodes Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ pro per.

Van Meter & Warlow Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable. J. E. Cholbitlle deputy.
" 19	Written demurrer and argument this day filed.
" 20	The above demurrer set for argument on May 21, 91 @ 4 P.M.
" 21	At the hour set the demurrer is argued and by the Court overruled.
" 23	The above action set for trial on May 24, 91 @ 3 P.M. and parties at interest notified thereof. May 24, 91 The above action continued until June 6, 91. at 3 P.M.
June 6	subpoena issued for Defendant.
" "	A venire for six jurors issued, summoned, returned & filed
" "	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
" "	At the hour set for the above trial the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds.
" "	J. G. Rhodes deposits with the court $100 for the payment of the jury should he lose the suit — The said J. G. Rhodes having demanded a jury.
" "	The following jurors are selected by the parties at interest to try the issue and by the Court sworn in: W. T. Riggs, E. J. King, P. Monoghan and D. L. Granger.
" "	W. O. Crichton is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff; and also in his own behalf with G. G. Edwards who is first duly sworn.
" "	The the testimony is then argued and submitted to the jury for consideration. Geo Anderson is sworn and takes charge of the jury. The jury after a short deliberation bring in the following verdict: "We the jury find for the defendant. D. L. Granger, Foreman." Whereupon it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the defendant herein take nothing by his action and that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the defendant W. O. Crichton and against the plaintiff J. G. Rhodes for the costs of suit viz:
	Entered in open Court June 6, 1891
	Costs in this court = $5 00
	Constables costs =
	Charles R. Shine Justice of the Peace.

No. 62

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. G. Hicks, Plaintiff

vs.

John Wordem, Defendant

Action Replevin suit

Demand, $ One mare and $50.00 damages.

Grady & Austin
Attorneys for Plaintiff

John C. Lloyd
Geo. L. Hand,
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891	19	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking on claim and delivery of personal property filed. Summons issued and delivered to Chas. Frost Constable (J. E. Clubbe deputy)
"	21	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Wordem by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California, on May 20 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	23	Geo. L. Hand appearing for defendant files a written answer.
June	17	The above action set for trial on June 22, 91 @ 2 P. M.
"	22	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds:
"	"	W. G. Hicks and W. H. Gardner are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. Thereupon the plaintiff rests: The defendant then moves for a nonsuit which is by the Court taken under advisement.
"	23	The plaintiff comes in this day & files an amended complaint.
"	"	The court this day overrules defendants motion for a nonsuit.
"	"	Defendant demurs to plaintiff's amended complaint on the ground that the said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
Sept.	12	Defendants demurrer set for argument at 1.30 P. M. Sept 14 91 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	14	Defendants demurrer this day overruled & defendant given two days in which to answer.
"	15	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs amended complaint.
Oct.	8	The above action set for further hearing on Oct 15, 1891 at 2 P. M.
"	15	Defendant this day files a written amended answer to plaintiffs amended complaint.
"	"	The above action continued until Nov. 7, 1891 at 2 P. M.
Nov.	7	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the plaintiff announces that he has no further testimony to offer and thereupon rests his case; the defendant offers no testimony upon the ground that this court (owing to the involving of the

(continued on opposite page)

No. 63

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. O. Reynolds
Plaintiff

vs.

J. George and S. George, partners doing business under the firm name and style of George Brothers.
Defendants

Action on a note

Demand, $12 00/100 and int on $25 00/100 from Feb. 20 1891 @ 7% per annum & costs of suit

F. H. Short and Ora E. Snow
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Frahm, constable (J. E. Cholette deputy.)
21	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendants J. George and S. George in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 21, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to J George a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and to S. George a copy of the summons.
	On motion of plaintiff's attorney F. H. Short the above action in this day dismissed and costs paid. Clark P. Prince Justice of the Peace, of said Township.
	W. B. Hicks vs. John Wordem (continued from opposite page)
Nov. 7	defendant) has no jurisdiction in this matter. The court thereupon finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. B. Hicks and against the defendant John Wordem for the recovery of the possession of the said hay more or for the sum of $35 00/100 the value thereof in case delivery thereof cannot be had, and for the sum of $25 00/100 damages for its detention and for the sum of $16 55/100 costs of suit. Done in open court Nov. 7, 1891 Total judgment $76 55/100 Clark P. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
	Justice's fee: $10.25 Constables fee: 6.30 $16.55
1891 Dec 5	Notice of appeal this day filed.
" "	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
" "	Justice fees to date (including costs of transcript) paid in full.

This case is hereby dismissed & Order entered accordingly
Dated this May 21st 1891

No. 64

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

P. Le Blanc

Plaintiff

vs.

S. B. Tombe

Defendant

Action assigned account.

Demand, $ 80 00/100 and int. thereon from Sept 1890 @ 10% per annum

L. M. Seaton.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Chalette deputy)
" 26	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant S. B. Tombe by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint on May 26 /91
" 27	The above action this day dismissed and the demand and costs satisfied in full.

Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. P. Brooks
Plaintiff

vs.

A. O. Ferguson
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 282 64/100 and $17 35/100 attys fee

O. M. Seaton.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891	20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
"	25	Summons served returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. O. Ferguson by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 4th Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 21st 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of Attachment returned and filed showing levy.
June	2	Written answer this day filed for defendant by his attorney C. L. McLane, Esq.
"	4	The above action set for trial on June 10, 191 @ 2.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. J. Melchonian, Plaintiff

vs.

B. S. Stapp, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $3 50/100

A. J. Melchonian, Attorney for Plaintiff

Mark Walker, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891 21	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Chalittle deputy)
" 23	Mark Walker appearing for defendant files a written demand of inspection of contract.
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant B. S. Stapp by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on May 22, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	A written demand for a copy of the contract upon which this action is based is this day filed by defendant.
" 26	A written answer this day filed by Mark Walker attorney for the defendant.
" "	The above action set for trial on June 1st 191 at 4 P. M.
" 1	At the hour set the defendant is present with his attorney Mark Walker – The defendant is present and appears for himself.
" "	A. J. Melchonian is sworn and testifies in his and behalf; and B. S. Stapp, P. K. Radliff and H. F. Wakefield are sworn and testify in behalf of the defense. The testimony is thereupon argued & submitted.
" "	The Court takes the matter under advisement until June 2 191 @ 11 A. M.
" 2	The Court this day finds for the defendant. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that plaintiff A. J. Melchonian take nothing by his said action and that ~~defendant B. S. Stapp have~~ judgment be, and the same is, rendered and entered in favor of the defendant B. S. Stapp and against the plaintiff A. J. Melchonian for the costs incurred in this action taxed in the sum of $6.20/100 as taxed.

Justice $4.00
Constable 2.20
$6.20

Done in open Court June 2, 191
Chas. R. Shine
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Justice fees from Pltff. 2.00

Recd Demand in full

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Kimple
Plaintiff

vs.

J. Menard and J. Carey
Defendant

Action damages

Demand, $ 150 00/100

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable, J. E. Shulitts deputy.
" 26	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. Menard by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint on May 26, 1891
" 26	Defendant comes into Court and through attorney J. B. Rhodes enters a general denial (oral).
" 28	The above action set for trial June 9, 91 at 1.30 P.M.
June 9	The above action this day indefinitely continued.
" 12	The above action set for trial on June 17, 91 at 4 P.M.
" 17	The above action this day continued until June 23, 91 @ 9.30 A.M.
" 16	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued.
"	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant duly issued.
" 17	The above subpoenas served, returned and filed.
" 20	The above action continued until June 23, 91 at 4.30 P.M.
" 23	A written agreement this day filed by which defendants Constable Chas Fraser and plaintiff accepts the same that judgment be and the same is by virtue of said agreement rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff A. Kimple and against said defendants J. Menard and J. Carey for the sum of $20 00/100 debt and for the sum of $14 20/100 costs of suit. Justice fees $5.50 Constable fees 9.20 $14.70 Total judgment $34 90/100 Given in open Court June 23, 1891 Chas R. Rice, Justice of the Peace.

The demand and costs in the above entitled action this day paid in full by J. Menard.

Chas R. Rice
J. P.

Recd Demand in full
C. M. Leaton
Atty for Pltff

No. 68

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. S. Bagley, Plaintiff
vs.
Jno. Duncan, Defendant

Action assigned account

Demand, $ 44 40/100

F. S. Bagley
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 25	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Sterlitt deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Jno. Duncan by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Jno. Duncan in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 25, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
June 2nd	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on the said defendant Jno. Duncan by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 25, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor any all, and the legal time therefor to wit; five days, has fully expired, now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of F. S. Bagley the plaintiff herein judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of F. S. Bagley the plaintiff herein and against Jno. Duncan the defendant herein for the sum of ($44 40/100) Forty four and 40/100 dollars debt and for the sum of ($5 95/100) Five and 95/100 dollars costs of suit. Justice's fee $3.95 Total judgment $50 35/100 Constable's fee 2.00 Done in open court this 2nd day of June 1891. $5.95 Clark R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
July 2	Execution issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Sterlitt deputy)
July 22	Execution returned and filed — the same being but partially satisfied.
22	Affidavit in supplementary proceedings this day filed.
"	Order in supplementary proceedings this day filed.

(Continued on page 69 of this docket.)

Rec'd full satisfaction from Plff

No. 69

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Amanda J. Fiske, Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Forcade, Defendant

Action for rent

Demand, $100 00/100 for rent and for $25 00/100 attys fee. J. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd Justice's fees from Plff $5.25

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Shelitto Dep.)
	F. S. Bagby Plff vs. Jno. Duncan Deft } Continued from opposite page.
1891 June 23	Defendant in Court pursuant to the order in supplementary proceedings heretofore made and the Court at once proceeds with the examination of defendant. Whereupon said defendant is sworn and testifies that he has on his person a watch and chain which are his own personal property. An order is then made by the Court to the defendant, that the said watch and chain be delivered to the officer having the execution. The officer thereupon makes the demand and the watch & chain are at once surrendered to him.
" "	Shortly thereafter the above action is satisfied in full by defendant.

Charles R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 70

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James White, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Graham, Defendant

Action for service rendered

Demand, $101 50/100

L. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891 25	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
" 26	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Joseph Graham in the 8th Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 26, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
June 1	Written demurrer filed this day by W. H. Grady for defendant.
" 9	The above demurrer this day overruled by consent
" "	Defendant this day enters a general denial.
" "	The above action set for trial June 19, 91 @ 9.30 A. M.
" 19	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds. James White and Will White are sworn and testify in behalf of the people; and Joseph Graham, Robt. Harris and Geo. Brown are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. After argument by the attys in the case the matter is taken under advisement until June 20/91 at 1 P. M.
" 20	The Court takes the matter under further advisement.
" 26	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is by this Court rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James White and against the defendant Joseph Graham for the sum of One hundred and one and 50/100 Dollars debt and for the sum of Eleven and 15/100 costs of suit.
	Justice fee $5.75 Total judgment $112 65/100
	Constable fees 5.40 $11.15 Given in open court this 26th day of June 91
	Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
" 26	Defendant granted a ten day stay of execution.
July 7	Execution issued and delivered to J. E. Doolittle.
" "	Undertaking on appeal this day filed and execution recalled.
" 14	Notice of appeal this day filed. Transcript made up & sent to the Superior Court

Grady owes $10 on this action to the Court

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. J. Williams, Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Brown, Defendant

Action for meats sold & delivered.

Demand, $37 00/100

W. J. Williams, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in his own behalf

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 26	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Chalette deputy)
" 29	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Sam Brown by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 27, 191.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed unserved
June 13	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on the said defendant Sam Brown by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 27, 1891, and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days, has fully expired now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of W. J. Williams the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. J. Williams and against the defendant Sam Brown for the sum of Thirty seven dollars debt and for the sum of Twelve and 80/100 dollars costs of suit.

Justice fee $6 20
Constable fee 6 10
12.80

Total judgment $49 80/100

Given in open court this June 13, 1891.

Santo R. Pince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 72

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. J. Williams, Plaintiff

vs.

Oliver Wilcox, Defendant

Action for meats sold and delivered

Demand, $ 11 75/100

W. J. Williams, ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 27	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Chalitte deputy)
" 29	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Oliver Wilcox by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 27, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
June 13	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Oliver Wilcox by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 27, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant Oliver Wilcox has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of W. J. Williams the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. J. Williams and against the defendant Oliver Wilcox for the sum of Eleven and 75/100 Dollars and for the sum of Seven and 65/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Constable costs $3.70

Justice costs 3.95

7.65

Total judgment $19 40/100

Given in open Court this 13th day of June 1891

Lewis R. Prince,

Justice of the Peace.

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Joseph Spinney
Plaintiff

vs.

Owen Holmes
Defendant

Action for money loaned.

Demand, $60 00/100 and int thereon @ 7% per annum from May 1, 1891

Church & Cory
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Stockbridge deputy).
May 29	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Owen Holmes by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 28, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
May 29	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed showing levy.
May 29	The above action this day dismissed and demand and all costs paid.

Received amount of demand & costs this 29th day of May 1891
Church & Cory
Rec'd May 29
R. J.

Clinton P. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 74

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. S. Swain, Plaintiff

vs.

Ray White, Defendant

Action Assigned account.

Demand, $ 30 00/100

Louis B. McWhirter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Brady & Austin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
May 1891 22	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Overville deputy.)
" 29	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Ray White by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
June 3	A general denial (oral) this day entered for defendant by his attorneys Messrs Brady & Austin.

No. 75

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. S. Swain, Plaintiff

vs.

H. N. Ewing, Defendant

Action Assigned account

Demand, $ 28 29/100

Louis B. McWhirter.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 22	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Frame Constable (J. E. Shelitte deputy).
" 23	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant H. N. Ewing by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint on May 23, 1891
29	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on said defendant H. N. Ewing by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 23, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of Louis B. McWhirter attorney for the plaintiff judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. S. Swain and against the defendant H. N. Ewing for the sum of $28 29/100 debt and for the sum of Six and 35/100 Dollars costs of suit. Constable's fee $2.40 Total judgment $34.64 Justice's " $3.95 $6.35 Done in open Court May 29, 1891 David R. Prince, Justice of the Peace
1891 May 29	Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Frame Constable.
June 2	Execution returned satisfied and filed.

Received demand in full June 2nd 1891 Louis B. McWhirter Atty for Plff

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. Alviso, Plaintiff

vs.

J. Morrow Sr and Baron, Defendant

Action for meat sold & delivered.

Demand, $ 70 90/100

O. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

L. W. Montrie, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 May 28	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Clevlittle deputy)
" 29	Summons served returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant G. A. Baron by the officer personally delivering to the said defendant G. A. Baron in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and personal service by the said officer at the said time and place on the defendant J. Morrow of a copy of the summons.
June 2	A written demurrer this day filed by L. W. Montrie attorney for defendant G. A. Baron.
July 3	The demurrer set for argument on July 10, 91 at 9.30 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 10	At the hour set the attorneys at interest are present and by consent of defendant the demurrer herein is overruled and by consent of plaintiff, defendant given five days in which to answer.
" 15	Defendant Baron comes into Court and through his attorney L. W. Montrie enters a general denial. (verbal).
"	The above action set for trial on July 30, 91 at 2 P. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 30	Owing to a misunderstanding about the case being set for this day, the court orders the trial postponed until Aug 10, 91 at 2 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Aug. 1st	A subpoena for a witness for the plaintiff this day issued.
" 7	The above subpoena served returned and filed.
" 10	Parties in court with their attorneys ready for trial. Justice Crichton sitting by agreement and for justice Prince T Alviso sworn & testified for Plaintiff books offered and allowed in evidence filed as plaintiff Exh. A & B Bill offered and allowed in evidence filed as Exh B. A. M. Carter sworn

No. 77

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. F. Alexander, Plaintiff
vs.
Owen Holmes et al, Defendant

Action assigned account.

Demand, $ 24.25

G. F. Alexander in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 1st	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Cholitz deputy)
" 2	The above action this day dismissed and the demand and costs paid in full by Chas. Teague. D. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
	Vs Ellis Bro. Matheson. Continued from page 94 of this docket.
July 16	Written stipulation to set aside judgment this day filed.
By error 16	A written answer this day filed by defendants attorney. (Continued on page 95 this docket)
	~~Ellis vs Monowitzer~~
	and rested for Plaintiff. Motion for nonsuit denied G A Baron sworn & testified for Defence T Alvis recalled for Plaintiff. Case argued and submitted. Judgment ordered for Plaintiff for $70.90 and costs Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Debt the sum of $70.90 together with costs taxed at Justice Costs $10.50 Constables costs $4.90 witness fees 2.20 or a total judgment of $88.50 with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date hereof till paid. Done in open court this 30 day of August 1891. W D Crichton, Justice of the Peace sitting at for and at the request of D. R. Prince Justice of the Peace
Aug 27	Execution issued. Aug 29 Notice of appeal filed " " Undertaking on appeal filed.

No. 78

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John Cardwell, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Longhart, Defendant

Action for vine & fig trees

Demand, $ 34 00

J. B. Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

A. S. Cureton & S. R. Hart, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	4	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Frame, constable, (J. E. Shortlidge deputy)
"	5	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm. Longhart by the officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 5, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	9	Defendant through his attorney A. S. Cureton enters a general denial (oral).
"	17	The above action set for trial June 23, 91 at 2 P.M.
"	23	The above action this day continued.
"	29	The above action set for trial on July 8, 91 at 2 P.M.
July	8	The parties at interest present at the time set for the above trial (excepting John Cardwell who is however represented by his attorney J. B. Rhodes) and announce themselves ready for trial.
"		J. B. Rhodes and William Longhart are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. The attorneys thereupon submit the case without argument to the Court for his consideration and judgment. Whereupon the Court finds for the defendant: And it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff herein John Cardwell take nothing by his action herein and that judgment be, and the same is, rendered and entered in favor of the defendant William Longhart and against the plaintiff John Cardwell for the costs incurred in this action, to wit: in the sum of Eight and 20/100 Dollars.

Justice fee = $4.50
Constable fee = 3.70
$8.20

Clanton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Thos. L. Burdick
Plaintiff

vs.

Mary R. Sims
Defendant

Action on claim and delivery of personal property.

Demand, $ 85 00/100

Gordon, Jacobs & Jones.
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 4	Complaint on claim and delivery of personal property, and undertaking on claim and delivery of personal property and affidavit on claim and delivery of personal property and order of seizure this day filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mattheson constable (Jno Lemas deputy).

No. 80

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Zed. F. Winchell, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Keshishyan, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 10 00/100

Zed F. Winchell, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	5	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fresen constable (J. E. Chalitte deputy).
"	6	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. Keshishyan by the said officer personally delivering to the said A. Keshishyan a true copy of the said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on June 6, 1891.
"	10	Defendant comes into Court this day and enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	12	The above case set for trial on June 15 at 4 P.M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	15	The trial of the above action continued until June 18, 1891 @ 4 P.M.
"	18	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial at once proceeds. Z. F. Winchell and H. Bedford are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and the defendant is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Zed F. Winchell and against the defendant A. Keshishyan for the sum of $10 00/100 debt and for the sum of Five and 70/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $3.50 Constable fee 2.20 5.70 Total judgment $15 70/100 Given in open Court this 18th day of June 1891 Charles R. Prince Justice of the Peace.
June	18	Execution this day issued and delivered to J. E. Chalitte.
Oct.	29	The above execution returned and filed showing no levy.
"	"	Affidavit and order for examination of debtor this day filed.
"	"	Defendant comes into Court is sworn & testifies regarding his property. He surrenders to the officer by order of the Court a gold watch & chain & locket. The watch & chain are by order of the Court returned to the defendant.

No. 81

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. St. George Hopkins
Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Burleigh
Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $ 45 00/100

Geo. Jones.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraum Constable, (J. E. Thurlittle deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant F. J. Burleigh by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 6, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.

No. 82

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. E. Collins, Plaintiff

vs.

W. C. Grady, Defendant

Action assigned account

Demand, $ 18 00/100

F. E. Collins
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable, (J. E. Cholletti deputy)
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. C. Grady by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California, on June 10 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
June	15	The defendant through his attorney H. Z. Austin enters a general demurrer (oral).
Sept	11	The above demurrer set for argument Sept 12/91 at 1.30 P.M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	12	Defendant's demurrer overruled and defendant given two days in which to answer.
"	14	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 83

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Carrie Flaherty, Plaintiff

vs.

William Meinberg, Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $20 00/100

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. C. Tupper, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser, constable. (J. E. Chollitte deputy).
" "	H. C. Tupper appears for the defendant herein and enters a general denial (oral)
"	The above action this day set for trial on June 17/91 at 2 P.M.
16	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued.
17	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial at once proceeds.
"	On motion of H. C. Tupper the witnesses are sworn and put under the rule.
"	Carrie Flaherty, Mrs. J. Jones, W. R. Bromaugh and M. G. Childers are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. The plaintiff then rests.
"	Wm. Meinberg and M. G. Childers are sworn and testify in behalf of the defense. The defense thereupon rests.
"	The testimony is then argued and submitted.
"	From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff, Carrie Flaherty, and against the defendant Wm. Meinberg for the sum of Twenty Dollars debt and for the sum of $23 00/100 costs of suit

Witness fees $6.60
Constable fees 6.40
Justice fees $10 00
$23.00

Total judgment $43 00/100

Done in open court June 17, 1891

Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

June 17 Defendant granted a six day stay of execution.

" 20 The demand and costs in the above entitled action this day satisfied in full.

Chas. R. Prince J. P.

Rec'd my witness fees Mrs. J. Jones per Carrie Flaherty

Rec'd witness fees W. R. Bromaugh M. G. Childers

No. 84

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Graham
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinney
Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $ 5 00/100

Jas. Graham in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	10	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraum constable (J. E. Sublette deputy)
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Joseph Spinney by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 11, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	16	The above action this day dismissed and demand and costs paid.

Charles R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

No. 85

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Graham
Plaintiff

vs.

Tim Craige
Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $ 14 50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 10	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fram constable / J. E. Shutteldt deputy.

No. 86

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. James, Plaintiff
vs.
L. F. Worley, Defendant

Action Damages.

Demand, $ 290 00

Edwards & Bledsoe
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	10	Complaint, summons, answer, two subpoenas, stipulation and copy of docket this day filed — The said papers having been transferred on a change of venue from the Justice Court of the 6th Township Geo. A. Arnold presiding, to this Court.
"	"	The above action set for trial at 9.30 A.M. June 12, 1891 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	12	At the hour set the attorneys for the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds; — Edwards & Bledsoe appearing for plaintiff; and Grady & Austin for defendant: Burt Alford, W. L. Mottley, T. H. Campbell, and Antonio Robles are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff: J. M. Cabrizt is sworn in and acts as Spanish interpreter: L. F. Worley and C. L. Perry are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The introduction of testimony by both sides is hereupon closed; and after argument by the attorneys for plaintiff and defendant the Court takes the matter under advisement.
Oct.	12	The Court this day (Oct 12, 191 cost bill this day filed by plaintiff.) finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. G. James and against the defendant L. F. Worley for the sum of $89 30/100 debt and for the sum of $87 55/100 costs of suit.
		Total judgment $176 85
		Done in open court Oct 12, 1891.
		Clanton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Nov. 1891	11	Notice of Appeal this day filed.
"	"	Undertaking on Appeal this day filed.

No. 87

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank Rolle, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Zuales, Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 299 99/100

Goucher Jacobs & Jones
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 13	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to
" 20	Notice of dismissal this day filed by Jones Goucher, Jacobs & Jones attorneys for plaintiff, and costs paid.
	Daniel R. Prince Justice of the Peace.

No. 88

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. L. Patterson, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Curd and E. J. Hummel, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 102 55/100

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. J. Austin, Attorney for Defendants

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Chevalette deputy).
"	20	Defendant J. W. Curd comes into Court and enters a general denial both for himself and E. J. Hummel to plaintiff's complaint on file herein.
"	25	The above action set for trial on July 2 /91 at 9.30 a.m. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
July	2	At the hour set for trial the plaintiff and his attorney C. M. Seaton are present and ready to proceed with the trial; but neither defendant appearing and after waiting over one hour for their arrival or the arrival of either the court orders the plaintiff to prove his case. J. L. Patterson is then sworn and testifies in his own behalf and then rests. Whereupon the Court finds for plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of J. L. Patterson the plaintiff and against J. W. Curd and E. J. Hummel the defendants for the sum of Eighty two and 51/100 dollars and for the sum of Six and 80/100 dollars costs of suit. Total judgment $89 31/100. Justice ents. 4.00. Constable ents. 2.80. 6.80. Done in open court July 2, 1891. Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
July	2	Defendants this day granted a stay of execution for ten days.

Recd from J W Curd on this 8th day of Aug 1891 $89.30 being judgment in full within case
D M Graham
Atty for Plff

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

L. Hansen, Plaintiff

vs.

G. A. Carlile and G. W. Alvan, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 51 75/100 & int @ 1% per mo. from March 7 1891 & $20 for atty's fee.

Geo Anderson, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Frame constable, (J. E. Overlittle deputy).
" 20	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant G. W. Alvan by the said officer personally delivering to the said G. W. Alvan in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 20 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
By error 30	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and the costs therein paid. Clayton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
By error	
Aug 3	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered by the said officer to the said defendant G. W. Alvan in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on June 20, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of H. J. Austin attorney for plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff L. Hansen and against the defendant G. W. Alvan for the sum of $51 75/100 debt and for the sum of $2 60/100 interest and for the sum of $20 00/100 atty's fee and for the sum of $7 15/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $81 50/100. Done in open court Aug 3, 1891. Clayton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd demand herein
James Gallagher Atty

Rec'd payment
G. W. Alvan

justice fee $3.95
Constable fee 3.20
$7.15

Oct. 12 Execution issued & delivered to Chas Frame constable (S. H. Walton deputy)
Nov 2 Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.

No. 90

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. W. Ray
Plaintiff

vs.

John Woodson
Defendant

Action assigned account.

Demand, $21 55/100

T. W. Ray in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 18	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Brown (J. E. Scholitte deputy.)
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Woodson by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 19, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

No. 91

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John Woodson
Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Sherwood
Defendant

Action for house rent

Demand, $ 10 00/100

John Woodson in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fresno Constable (J. E. Cloblitt deputy)

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. S. Glyer, Plaintiff

vs.

E. F. Sellock, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 46 60/100

G. S. Glyer
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 18, 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Shalitle deputy).
June 20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant E. F. Sellock by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant E. F. Sellock a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 20, 1891.
" "	Writ of attachment served, returned and filed showing levy.
" 26	The above demand, and costs herein paid this day in full.

Clayton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

No. 93

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. M. Osborne, Plaintiff

vs.

T. P. Merrin, Defendant

Action on a note and contract

Demand, $ $23.00 and $20.00 atty's fee

H. M. Seaton.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 20 1891	Complaint filed; also affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Freeman constable (J. E. Chalbitte deputy).
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant T. P. Merrin by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 20, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" 26	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the defendant T. P. Merrin by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant the said papers in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 20, 1891 and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore the default is entered and on motion of H. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. M. Osborne and against the defendant T. P. Merrin for the sum of Twenty three dollars debt and for the sum of Ten dollars attorney's fee and for the sum of Fourteen and 35/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $6.95 Constable fee 7.40 14.35 Total judgment $47 35/100 Done in open court this 26th day of June 1891 David R. Ring Justice of the Peace.

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Vic Ellis, Plaintiff
vs.
George Matheson, Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 299 50/100

Wiley J. Timmin + C. C. Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. L. McLane + C. G. Sayle
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 20	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Cholitte deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. Matheson by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on June 20, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 25	A Written Notice this day filed by Messrs Sayle + McLane attys for defendant.
" "	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by his atty.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument on July 1st 91 at 10 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
July 1st	At the hour set the parties at interest are present; and by consent of plaintiff demurrer is sustained. An amended complaint is ordered filed and defendant granted five days in which to answer, by consent of plaintiff.
" 8	It appearing to this Court that the said defendant Geo. Matheson has not demurred to plaintiff's amended complaint, nor answered the same nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the five days allowed the defendant by the Court so to do having fully expired now on motion of Wiley J. Timmin the attorney for the plaintiff Vic Ellis, the said Vic Ellis and Louis Pruno are sworn and testify in plaintiff's behalf and thereupon the said attorney for the plaintiff ~~thereupon~~ rests his case and asks for judgment. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein Vic Ellis and against the defendant Geo. Matheson for the sum of Two hundred and nineteen and 50/100 dollars damages and for the sum of Forty dollars attorney's fee and for the sum of Seven and 40/100 dollars costs of suit.

Value of prime $150.00
Money expended 69.50
Atty's fee 40.00
Justice fee 5.00
Constable fee 2.40
$266.90

Total judgment $266.90
Done in open court this 8th day of July 1891.
Chas. R. Rinne,
Justice of the Peace.

This case continued on page 95 of this docket.

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. L. Patterson, Plaintiff
vs.
Adam van de Goorberg, Defendant

Action for work and labor done

Demand, $42.00

O. M. Leatri
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 22	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frame Constable (J. E. Cholittle deputy)
	Ellis vs. Matheson (Continued from page 94)
1891 July 16	A stipulation to set aside default judgment this day filed. It appearing from the oral affidavit of C. L. McLane Esq. one of the attorneys for the defendant that the defendants written answer was left in this Court on July 6 1891, to be filed on that day, the Court this day files said answer nunc pro tunc as of the 6th day of July 1891. And the Court by virtue of said affidavit and by virtue of the stipulation this day filed by the attorneys at interest herein orders the said default judgment set aside and the said default judgment is set aside.
Sept 19	The above action set for trial on Oct. 20 1891 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Oct 19	A subpoena for a witness for the plaintiff this day issued, served, returned & filed.
" 20	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: E. W. Risley Esq. thereupon comes into the case appearing as one of the attorneys for the defendant. Vic Ellis, J. F. McDonald, Geo. W. Smith, Louis E. Russo and Carlton Curtiss are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and H. E. Wright is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defendant. The case is then closed and after argument by the attorneys

(Continued on page 96)

No. 96

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. W. Douglass, Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Price, Defendant

Action Money loaned

Demand, $ 2.00

J. W. Douglass in proper Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June	22	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frame Constable (J. E. Chilitle deputy)
July	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 6, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant at the said time and place a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"		Writ of attachment returned & filed.
"		The demand and costs paid and the done action this day dismissed.
		Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Aug. 6, 1891 Remittitur came of Costs this day filed.

Said Defendant this 6th day of July 1891 J. W. Douglass

M. G. Childers vs. William Meinberg } Continued from opposite page.

sworn, and testify in rebuttal. The testimony is then argued pro and con by the respective attorneys and submitted. G. F. Alexander is sworn in as the court officer and takes charge of the jury. The jury is by the officer confined in the Constable's office and after a short deliberation bring in the following verdict. "Fresno Col. July 30, 1891, We the jury find for the defendant.

C. C. Harris, Foreman.

July 1891	30	Wherefore by reason of the law and the verdict of the jury herein it is by the Court ordered and adjudged that plaintiff M. G. Childers take nothing by his action herein and that defendant William Meinberg have his costs herein taxed at $63.70.

Jury fees: 16.00
Justice fees: $22.50
Constable's fees: 21.10
Witness fees: 4.00
$63.60

Done in open Court July 30, 1891
Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 97

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. G. Childers, Plaintiff
vs.
William Meinberg, Defendant

Action Breach of Contract

Demand, $100 00/100

R. R. Clarkson, Mark Walker and Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short & R. B. Terry Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 24	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Mann constable (J. E. Hostlitt deputy)
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant William Meinberg by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 25, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 29	A written answer (general denial) this day filed by Frank H. Short attorney for defendant.
June 30	The above action set for trial on July 14, 1891 @ 9.30 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
July 10	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant duly issued.
" 13	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
" 14	On motion of plaintiff the above action continued until July 17, 1891 @ 9 A. M.
" 17	On motion of plaintiff the above action continued until July 30, 1891 @ 9.30 A. M.
" 30	Two subpoenas for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued.
" 30	Defendant demands a jury trial which is by the court granted.
" "	A venire for 20 qualified jurors this day issued.
" "	The above subpoenas served, returned and filed.
" "	The above venire summoned returned and filed.
" "	At the hour set for the trial of the above action the parties at interest with their attorneys are present and the trial proceeds:
" "	J. H. Brown, J. W. Curd, W. R. Lee, J. E. Ryan, C. C. Harris, J. S. Chumberry, C. S. Bromberg and W. F. Cutbirth are selected & by mutual consent by the attorneys herein sworn in to try the issue:— It being agreed, that a jury of eight citizens be sufficient for the purpose of this trial.
" "	M. G. Childers, Saul Wiener, W. B. Branagh, F. F. Kennans, Sing Wo and Lou Leung are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff whereupon the plaintiff rests. Lou Leung is sworn in as a Chinese interpreter.
" "	Thereupon Wm Meinberg and John Richman are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant.— The defendant then rests. Thereupon M. G. Childers, W. B. Branagh, are recalled, and Mark Walker

(Continued on opposite page)

No. 98

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. H. Boucher, Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $100 00/100

J. G. Rhodes in pro per, Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 25 1891	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fresno, constable.
July 1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by W. H. Auble on the defendant Wm. H. Boucher by the said W. H. Auble personally delivering to the said defendant Wm. H. Boucher in the County of Los Angeles, State of California on June 30, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
	The demand and costs herein paid in full and the action herein is hereby dismissed.
	Gaylord R. Prince, Justice of the Peace

Received in full satisfaction of judgment. J. G. Rhodes

Vic Ellis vs. Geo. Matheson. (Continued from page 95)

present viz: W. J. Timin Esq for the plaintiff and C. G. Sayle and C. W. Risley for the defendant the testimony is submitted to the Court for determination and by the Court taken under advisement.

Dec. 1891 30	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff Vic Ellis.
" "	Notice of judgment and memorandum of costs this day filed.
" "	Judgment is this day rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Vic Ellis and against the defendant Geo. Matheson for the sum of $207 60/100 damage and for the sum of $20 45/100 costs of suit.

Total judgment $228 05/100

Justice fee = $9.25
Constable fee = 2.40
Witness fees = 8.80
$20.45

Done in open Court Dec. 30, 1891.

Gaylord R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Dec. 1891 30	Defendant granted a stay of execution for ten days.
Jan. 1892 8	Notice of appeal this day filed.
" "	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
" 19	Justice fees this day paid & papers transmitted to the Superior Court

No. 99

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Cummings, Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $15 00/100

J. G. Rhodes in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 25	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fleming Constable, (J. E. Chulette deputy)
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. H. Cummings by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 25, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 26	Defendant comes into Court and enters a general demurrer (oral) on the ground that plaintiff's complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
July 1st	The demurrer above set for argument on July 6, 1891 @ 4 P.M.
" 6	The above demurrer by consent of defendant overruled.
" 6	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	The above action set for trial on July 10, 1891 at 5 P.M.
" 10	At the hour the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: J. G. Rhodes and W. B. Clement are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and A. H. Cummings is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The Court thereupon takes the matter under advisement.
" 15	The Court this day finds for the defendant. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that said plaintiff J. G. Rhodes take nothing by his action herein and that said defendant A. H. Cummings have his costs herein taxed at $2 20/100 Judgment in Court July 15, 1891

Constable's fee $2.20

Charles R. Swain,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 100

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. G. Childers, Plaintiff

vs.

William Meinberg, Defendant

Action Damages

Demand, $299.00

Mark Walker, Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short & R. B. Terry, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 25	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Chalfitt deputy)
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant William Meinberg by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 25, 1891.
" 29	A general demurrer (oral) this day entered by Frank H. Short attorney for the defendant on the ground that said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
" "	A written general demurrer this day filed by F. H. Short.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument on July 1st, 1891 @ 1.30 P.M.
July 1	By consent of attorney for plaintiff the demurrer herein sustained; and by consent of attorney for defendant, the plaintiff herein is allowed five days in which to amend.
" 2	Plaintiff this day files an amended complaint.
" 6	Defendant this day files a written demurrer.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument July 21, 1891 @ 9.30 A.M.
" 21	At the time set the attys in this action are present and after argument the Court takes the matter under advisement.
" 27	The Court this days overrules the demurrer & notifies the attys for both plaintiff and defendant thereof.
Aug 3	A written general demurrer this day filed by F. H. Short for defendant.

No. 101

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. S. Dyer, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank V. Silva, Defendant

Action: Assigned account.

Demand, $ 60 05/100 debt and $4 80/100 int. and int on $64 85/100 @ 7% per annum.

G. S. Dyer
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891 23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable. (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Frank V. Silva by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 24, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint. Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
July 27	The demand and costs herein paid and the action dismissed on motion of plaintiff. Charles R. Spring Justice of the Peace.

No. 102

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. W. Smith, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Lundy, Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise sold.

Demand, $ 47 10/100

J. W. Smith
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in propria persona

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 26	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable, (J. E. Hostetler deputy).
" 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. J. Lundy by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on June 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
July 8	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant A. J. Lundy by the said officer personally delivering the said papers to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 29, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of J. W. Smith the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. W. Smith and against the defendant A. J. Lundy for the sum of Forty seven and 10/100 dollars debt and for the sum of Five and 95/100 dollars costs of suit.
Justice fees $3.95	Total judgment $53.05
Constable fees: 2.00	Done in open court this July 8, 1891
$5.95	Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Moseley, Plaintiff

vs.

C. L. Bonton, Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 15 50/100

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 26	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued to Geo. Mathews constable (J. W. Clemons deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. L. Bonton by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 26, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
July 1	The demand and costs in the above action this day paid in full and the action dismissed.

Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 104

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mary Allison, Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Jones, Defendant

Action for rent

Demand, $ 125 00/100

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff
Wiley J. Timmin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 29	Complaint filed; also affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy.)
June 30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Frank Jones by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on June 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
July 6	Demurrer (written) this day filed by Wiley J. Timmin atty. for Deft.
Aug 5	By consent of Wiley J. Timmin who is present in court defendants attorney, the demurrer therein is overruled this day; and said
" 5	defendants attorney is by the court requested to at once file his answer therein which he refuses to do. The Court thereupon orders the plaintiff to prove his case.
" "	C. M. Seaton is thereupon sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and rests his case. The defendant offers no testimony. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. And judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mary Allison and against the defendant Frank Jones for the sum of $125 00/100 debt and for the sum of $17 90/100 costs of suit.
	Justice fees $6.50 — Total judgment $142 90
	Constable fees 11.40 — Done in open Court Aug. 5, 1891
	$17.90 — Clinton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
1891 Aug 5	Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)

No. 105

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. O. Grady, Plaintiff

vs.

Louise Van Aken and Theodore Van Aken, Defendants

Action for breach of contract.

Demand, $ 100 00/100

H. J. Austin, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Timmins, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 29	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Clowlittle deputy.)
July 1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Louise Van Aken by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3d Township of Fresno County State of California on June 30 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" 7	An amended complaint this day filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Clowlittle deputy.)
" 8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendants Theodore Van Aken and Louise Van Aken by the said officer personally delivering in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 8, 1891 to Theodore Van Aken a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the amended complaint and to Louise Van Aken a copy of the amended complaint.
" 13	Defendants Theodore Van Aken and Louise Van Aken come into Court this day and enter a general denial to plaintiff's complaint.
" "	The above action set for trial on July 18, 1891 @ 11 A. M.
" 18	The above action continued until July 20, 1891 at 9 A. M.
" 20	At the hour set the parties at interest are present ~~and present~~ and the trial proceeds: W. O. Grady is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff; and Louise Van Aken is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defense. Both sides thereupon rest and the case is submitted to the Court for determination. From the evidence adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment

continued from page 107

No. 106

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. P. Allen, Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Smith and G. E. Kelly, Defendant

Action for work & labor done.

Demand, $ 66 30/100

H. P. Allen in pro. per. Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 June 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Chevlittle deputy.)
July 2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendants Chas. Smith and G. E. Kelly in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 2, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to said defendant Chas. Smith a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and to defendant G. E. Kelly a true copy of said summons.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" 8	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Chas. Smith in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 2, 1891; and that a true copy of said summons was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant G. E. Kelly at the said time and place; and it appearing that neither of said defendants has answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefor their default is hereby entered and on motion of H. P. Allen the plaintiff herein judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of H. P. Allen the plaintiff herein and against Chas. Smith and G. E. Kelly the defendants herein for the sum of fifty six and 30/100 dollars debt and for the sum of Twenty and 80/100 dollars costs of suit.

Justice fee $ 6.95
Constable fee 13.85
$ 20.80

Total judgment $ 80710
Done in open Court this 8th day of July 1891.
Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 107

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. V. Blom

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Silvia

Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 299 90/100

Thompson and King
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
June 1891	30	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (M. A. Blade, deputy).
Aug	10	Summons served returned and filed.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed and demand & all costs paid.
		Aug 10th 1891 Clanton R. Prince Justice of the Peace
		~~July~~ This case settled by Thompson & King as Attys for Pltff

W. O. Brady
vs
Louise Van Aken et al

Continued from page 105.

is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. O. Brady and against the defendant Louise Van Aken and against the defendant Theodore Van Aken for the sum of One hundred Dollars debt and for the sum of Twenty three and 10/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Total judgment $123 10/100

Justice costs $8.00
Constable costs 15.10
$23.10

Done in open court this 20th day of July 1891.

Clanton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

July	21	Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable.
Aug	19	Notice of Appeal this day filed.
"	"	Undertaking on appeal this day filed and execution recalled.
"	"	Justice fees paid.

No. 108

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank Tirado

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Matthews

Defendant

Action for wrongful conversion of property and for damages,

Demand, $150.00 and also $50.00 damage,

Geo. E. Church

Attorney for Plaintiff

Van Meter & Warlow

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1899 2	Complaint filed and defendant Geo. Matthews waives service of summons and accepts a copy of plaintiff's complaint.
" 6	Defendant through his attorneys Messrs Van Meter & Warlow this day files a written demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
" 14	Defendant this day files a written answer.

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Alex Campbell, Plaintiff

vs.

George Matheson, Defendant

Action for service rendered and money paid

Demand, $ 60.50/100

Geo. L. Hood, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. E. Church, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	3	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Cherlittle deputy).
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. Matheson by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 3, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	7	Defendant comes into court and enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint on the ground that said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
"	10	A written answer (general denial) this day filed by Geo. E. Church.
"	28	Cause set for trial at 2 P.M. Aug 28th 1891
"	28	Both parties appeared and announced themselves ready for trial. Alex. Campbell J. W. Dumas and Lawrence J. Beck sworn for Plaintiff - Plaintiff rests. W. D. Breighton, Ogden Grady and W. D. Grady and J. W. Dumas, sworn and testified for the defence and defendant rests - On motion and consent of both parties, argument continued to 9 o'clock Aug 29th 1891
29		At the hour fixed the parties interested appeared and argument of cause concluded, After considering the testimony and the law involved in this cause the Court finds for the Defendant - Cost of suit. $18 95/100
		Justices cost $7.25/100 — Archd McDonald J.P. of Fresno Co.
		Constables cost $5.10/100 — Sitting and acting for
		Witness fees 6.60 — Dante R Prince
		$18 95 — J P of 3rd Township
Sept 1891	24	Notice of Appeal this day filed.
"		Undertaking on Appeal this day filed.
April 1892	20	On motion of defendant's attorney the Court this day enters the satisfaction in full of the above judgment. Dante R Prince J.P.

No. 110

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. C. Warner, Plaintiff

vs.

G. C. Lamkin, Defendant

Action for goods, wares and merchandise.

Demand, $37.50

H. C. Warner in pro per. Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Cloolittle deputy.)
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant G. C. Lamkin by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, on July 3, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

No. 111

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. F. Bedford
Plaintiff

vs.

F. M. Sprague
Defendant

Action for goods wares and merchandise.

Demand, $ 15 00

J. F. Bedford
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ pro se

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 3 1891	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable J. E. Merlittle deputy.

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wing Tai, Plaintiff

vs.

G. H. Heisinger, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $96 25/100 + int @ 2% per mo from May 25, 1891 + $25 00/100 atty's fee

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Cloolittle deputy)
" 8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant G. H. Heisinger by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 8, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 14	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant G. H. Heisinger by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California, on July 8, 1891 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired, now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff Wing Tai judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Wing Tai and against the defendant G. H. Heisinger for the sum of $96 25/100 debt and for the sum of $3 10/100 interest and for the sum $20 00/100 attorney's fee and for the sum of $6 35 costs of suit. Justice fee $3.95 Constable fee 2.40 $6.35 Total judgment $125 70/100 Done in open Court this 14th day of July 1891 Santa R. Prince Justice of the Peace.
Aug 1891 5	Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Cloolittle deputy).
Mar. 1892 29	Execution this day returned & filed unsatisfied.

No. 113

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. E. West, Plaintiff

vs.

Paul Chatten, Defendant

Action for moneys held

Demand, $ 5.85/100

H. E. West in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fresel constable. J. H. Walton deputy.
" 16	The demand and costs paid and the above action this day dismissed.

Daniel R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Armenia Mandlick, Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Morgan, Defendant

Action

Return of property & Demand, $299 00/100 + $50 00/100 damages + costs

Geo. B. Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 6	Complaint filed and defendant in Court and waives service of summons,
" "	By consent of parties at interest the above action set for trial on July 11, 1891 at 9.30 A.M.

Rec'd Constable costs $4.00

Demand in full

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Valley Lumber Co. (A corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

T. A. Bell Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $197 50/100 and $35 00/100 attys' fee

L. L. Cory and L. W. Hamilton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 7 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Freeman constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
"	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal by the officer on the defendant T. A. Bell by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 7, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
7	The above demand and costs incurred herein this day paid and the said action dismissed. Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Recd demand in full July 7th day 1891. L. W. Hamilton atty for plaintiff

Recd constable costs $4.00 J. E. Doolittle

No. 116

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. K. Prescott & C. S. Pierce, Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Darling, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $242 00/100 + int @ 1% per mo. from May 1, 1888 compt'a given + for $50 the atty's fee

L. G. Cory & L. W. Moultrie
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 7	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Freden constable (J. E. Cholittle deputy)
" 10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. T. Darling by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. T. Darling in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, on July 10, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 25	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the officer on the defendant J. T. Darling by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. T. Darling in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 10th 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore on motion of L. W. Moultrie his default is hereby entered and on motion of L. W. Moultrie the attorney for plaintiffs judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiffs F. K. Prescott and C. S. Pierce and against defendant J. T. Darling for the sum of $242 00/100 debt and for the sum of $11 22/100 interest and for the sum of $40 00/100 attorney's fee and for the sum of $8 75/100 costs of suit.

Recd from Pltff my fees, J.E.C.

Justice's costs $3.95
Constable's costs 4.80
$8.75

Total judgment
Done in open court July 25, 1891
Daniel R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township

No. 117

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Fred Clark, Plaintiff

vs.

J. P. Tyree, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $50 00/100 + int thereon at the rate of 8% per annum from Oct 21, 1889 and $25 00/100 atty's fee.

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. A. Sayle
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	8	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Sutliffe deputy).
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer, Henry Wilson, on the defendant J. P. Tyree by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. P. Tyree in the Vallejo Township County of Solano, State of California on July 9, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	29	A written answer this day filed by C. A. Sayle, Esq. attorney for defendant.
Aug	4	On the motion of plaintiff the above action set for trial on Aug. 11, 191 at 10 A. M.
Aug	11	Now at the hour appointed by the Court the ~~Defendant~~ appeared by his attorney D. M. Seaton, and no appearance having been made by the Defendant. The Plaintiff then proceeded to prove his cause, and ~~had~~ Wm ~~Fred~~ Clark was sworn as a witness who testified to the signature of the Defendant. Whereupon it was ordered and adjudged that Defendant J. P. Tyree pay to the plaintiff Fred Clark the sum of Fifty Dollars damages and interest at eight percent per annum from October 21st 1889. amounting to Seven dollars and fifty cents and Twenty five Dollars attorney fee and for costs of suit amounting to nine Dollars and thirty five cents
Constable Costs $5.10/100		Archd McDonald a J P of Fresno
Justice Fees 4.25/100		County sitting and acting for
		Dante R Prince at request
		J P Third Township

No. 118

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Portejus
Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hawn
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 45 00/100 and int @ 1% per mo. from Mar. 13, 190 compounded quarterly and for 25 00 atty's fee.

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable, J. L. Shirlitts (deputy)
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. H. Hawn by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant C. H. Hawn in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 13, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
	14	Demand and costs paid and the above action this day dismissed.

Daniel R. Ring,
Justice of the Peace.

Received full amount of claim and cost dismissed — July 14-1891
Duncan & Coots
Seaton
attorneys for Plff

No. 119

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Porteous, Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hawn, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $45.35 Dls and int @ 1% per mo from Apr 11, 91 compounded quarterly and for $25.00 Atty's fee.

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frost constable (J. E. Mulitt deputy)
	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. H. Hawn by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant C. H. Hawn in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 13, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
14	Demand and costs paid and the above action this day dismissed.
	Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Received full amount of claim & costs
D. M. Seaton
Atty for Plff
And Case dismissed July 14-1891

No. 120

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mrs. Ida C. Tilton
Plaintiff

vs.

Bowers
Defendant

Action for room rent

Demand, $ 2 00

Ida C. Tilton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Underhill deputy.)
"	10	The above action this day dismissed and the demand and costs paid. Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. L. Phillips, Plaintiff
vs.
C. H. Hawn, Defendant

Action for work and labor done

Demand, $39 00/100

W. L. Phillips in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 10	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Flam constable (J. E. Hewlitt deputy.)
" 14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. H. Hawn by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on July 13, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Aug 8	It appearing to this court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant C. H. Hawn by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 2nd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 13, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has fully expired now therefore on motion of plaintiff W. L. Phillips defendants default is hereby entered, and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. L. Phillips and against the defendant C. H. Hawn for the sum of $39 00/100 debt and for the sum of $10 15/100 costs of suit.

Justice's fee $3.95
Constables fees 6.20
10.15

Received $4.00 for J. P. fees
Arch McDonald

Total judgment $49 15/100
Done in open Court Aug. 8, 1891
Daniel R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Execution issued
Aug 12th 1891
~~Aug~~ 24, 1891 Execution returned satisfied and filed.

Dismissed on motion of the Plaintiff Aug 24th 1891
Arch McDonald a J P for Fresno Co. Cal. Sitting for Daniel R. Prince J P Third Township

No. 122

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Portouse, Plaintiff

vs.

Remigio Garcia, Defendant

~~Demand~~ ~~Action~~ $52 25/100 + int thereon @ 1% per mo from from Nov. 12, 189 + $25 00/100 atty fee, ~~Demand~~, ~~Action~~ on a note.

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 13	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Remigio Garcia by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint has personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Remigio Garcia in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 16, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for plaintiff defendants default is hereby entered and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff James Portouse and against defendant Remigio Garcia for the sum of $52 25/100 debt and for the sum of $5 90/100 interest and for the sum of $20 00/100 attorney's fee and for the sum of $12 85/100 costs of suit. justice's costs = $6.95 Constable's costs = 5.90 $12.85 Total judgment $85 60/100 Done in open court this 25th day of July 1891. Daniel R. Prince Justice of the Peace.

Aug 6, 1891 In the action herein execution is this day issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy.)

No. 123

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. G. Cleardorff, Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Baily, Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $21 50/100

A. G. Cleardorff, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	13	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Ferlittle deputy)
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. M. Baily by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. M. Baily in the 1st Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 14, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Aug.	5	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant J. M. Baily by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. M. Baily in the 1st Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 14, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant J. M. Baily has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor, to wit, ten days, has fully expired now therefore on motion A. G. Cleardorff the plaintiff herein, defendant's default is hereby entered and on motion of the said A. G. Cleardorff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. G. Cleardorff and against the defendant J. M. Baily for the sum of $21 50/100 debt and for the sum of $6 25/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $28.25 Done in open court Aug. 5, 1891. Clayton C. Prince Justice of the Peace.

Justice fee $3.95
Constable's fee 2.80
$6.75

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. H. Bradley Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Williams Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 100 00/100 and int. thereon @ 1% per mo. from date

R. B. Perry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 14	Complaint filed

No. 125

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. Van Vaber, Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Joaquin and Manuel Lial Goularte, Defendants

Action for damage

Demand, $ 299 00/100

Geo. E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July	1891 14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (Geo. Thomas deputy)
Sept	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Manuel Lial Goularte in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 29, 1891 of a true copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Manuel Lial Goularte by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Manuel Lial Goularte in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on August 29th 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint, and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefor on motion of Geo. E. Church Attorney for Plaintiff defendant's default is hereby entered; and on motion of said attorney Geo. E. Church appearing for Plaintiff, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff C. Van Vaber and against defendant Manuel Lial Goularte for the sum of $299 00/100 damages and for the sum of $ costs of suit.

Justice costs $3.95
Constable costs:

Total judgment.

Done in open court this 17th day of Sept. 1891.

Wanton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township.

Nov. 2 Justice fees paid by Plaintiff this day. W.R.P.

No. 126

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. K. Prescott et al. Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew J. Paterson Defendant

Action on a note and an assigned account.

Demand, $ 234 52/100 with int. on the sum of $213.72 @ 1% per mo from Feb. 5, 190 Com. guar. and with int. on $20.80 @ the rate of 7% per annum from Feb. 6, 190 & for $50 Atty's fee.

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (John Dennis deputy)
23	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

Rec'd from Pltff my fees in advance

No. 127

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

The Fresno Canal and Irrigation Company, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Pernschoff, Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 29.99

Geo. E. Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

J. P. Irwin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 15	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Freeman constable (J. E. Chollitte deputy)
" 17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Joseph Pernschoff by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Joseph Pernschoff in 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on July 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 20	A written demurrer this day filed by J. P. Irwin attorney for defendant Joseph Pernschoff.

Rec'd my fees from 1 to 17 J. E. Chollitte

To be continued until return of Geo. E. Church.

No. 128

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Porteous
Plaintiff

vs.

T. W. Taggart
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $37.75 and int. thereon at the rate of 1% per mo. from May 13, 1891 payable semi-annually & for $25 for atty's fee.

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frame Constable (J. E. Clarkitt deputy)
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant T. W. Taggart by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, on July 18, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed without levy.
Aug 4	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant T. W. Taggart by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant T. W. Taggart in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 18, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore the default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Porteous and against the defendant T. W. Taggart for the sum of $37.75 debt and for the sum of $5.35 interest and for the sum of $15.00 attorney's fee and for the sum of $15.95 costs of suit.

Justice's fees $6.95
Constable's fees 9.00
15.95

Total judgment $74.05

Clerk in open court Aug 4, 91

Charles R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd from Plff any fees in advance

No. 129

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. L. Cole, Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Milhahn, Defendant

Action for goods, wares and merchandise sold.

Demand, $ 298 91/100

Geo. B. Graham & S. Cureton Attorneys for Plaintiff

Thompson & King Attorneys for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy)
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Chas. Milhahn by the officer personally delivering to the said defendant Chas Milhahn in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 15, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	20	A written answer this day filed by Messrs Thompson & King attorneys for defendant.
"	"	The above action set for trial on Aug. 4, 1891 at 1.30 P.M.
Aug	4	At the hour set the plaintiff is present with his attorney Geo. B. Graham; and the defendant with his attorney R. A. King and the trial proceeds: S. L. Cole and Henry Wehner are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and the plaintiff then rests; whereupon Chas. Milhahn and A. Butsch are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant and the defendant then rests. Thereupon the testimony is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.
Aug	7	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff S. L. Cole and against the defendant Chas. Milhahn for the sum of $298 91/100 debt and for the sum of $10 25/100 costs of suit.
		Justice's fees $6.75 — Total judgment $309 16/100
		Constable's fees 3.50 / 0.25 — Done in open court Aug. 7, 1891
		Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Aug 1891	24	Issued execution Aug 24th 1891
Oct 1893	3	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Show Const pro
Nov	7	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied
"	7	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Geo B Graham

No. 130

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Lars Jensen

Plaintiff

vs.

Rasmus Mathisen

Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 58.00

Lars Jensen
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 16	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fromentable. (J. E. Thorlittle deputy.)
" 18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Rasmus Mathisen by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

Demand table costs $5.10 + Sheriff costs 5.50 total paid July 25, 91 Hartshorn J.P.

The within judgment is satisfied in full this 16 day of Feb 1893

No. 131

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Jesse Loomy, Plaintiff

vs.

T. E. Huff, J. H. Huff and W. C. Lewis, Defendants

Action on a note

Demand, $149.25 with int. thereon @ the rate of 1% per mo. from Feb. 20, 91 and for $25 atty's fee.

W. B. Good, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 16	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (J. E. Hoolittle deputy)
Sept. 22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant T. E. Huff by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant T. E. Huff in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons. The above summons also shows personal service on the defendant J. H. Huff in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 22, 1891 of a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Oct. 20	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of said complaint was personally served by the said officer, on said defendant J. H. Huff on Sept. 22, 1891 in the 3rd Township of Fresno County; and a true copy of said summons on said defendant T. E. Huff in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 18, 1891; and it appearing that said defendants have not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all, and the legal time therefor that: Five days has fully expired, now therefor their default is hereby entered and on motion of W. B. Good attorney for the plaintiff Jesse Loomy, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jesse Loomy and against the defendants J. H. Huff and T. E. Huff for the sum of $149.25 debt and for the sum of $11.95 interest and for the sum of $20 atty's fee and for the sum of $8.50 costs of suit.
	Justice's fee $3.90 (3.90 / 1.20 / 4.10) — Total judgment $189.70 Constable's " 4.60 Ashman 50 — $8.50 Done in open court Oct. 20, 1891 Clanton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace of said Township.
June 18 1892 Oct 3	Execution issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman " returned & filed unsatisfied J. G. Ashman

Receiving Justice's fee Sept.

The within judgment is satisfied in full in open court this 6th day of Jany. 1893. J. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace

No. 132

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. S. Darnold, Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Kuntz, Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 35 00/100

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable. (J. C. Thorlitte deputy).
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. H. Kuntz by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant A. H. Kuntz in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 22nd 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	29	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant A. H. Kuntz by the said officer personally delivering the said papers to the said defendant A. H. Kuntz in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 22, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant A. H. Kuntz has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit five days, has fully expired nor then for his default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for plaintiff J. S. Darnold judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. S. Darnold and against the defendant A. H. Kuntz for the sum of $35 00/100 debt and for the sum of Nine and 85/100 Dollars costs of suit.
		Total judgment $44.85
		Given in open court this 29th day of July 1891
		Justice's fees $ 3.95
		Constable fees 5.90
		$ 9.85
		Daniel R. Prince, Justice of the Peace
July	29	Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable. (Geo. Alexander deputy.)
Sept	11	The above execution returned this date satisfied in full and filed.

No. 133

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. Kuhlman, Plaintiff

vs.

H. Kuendel, Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 11.00

S. M. Latta, H. Kuhlman & Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ for.

J. G. Rhodes, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Cholbitte deputy.)
" "	The defendant comes into Court this day and enters a general denial to plaintiff's complaint.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant H. Kuendel by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant H. Kuendel in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 17, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" "	The above action set for trial on July 25, 91 at 4 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 25	At the hour set for the trial of the above action the parties at interest are present with their attorneys and the trial proceeds: H. Kuhlman and Pauline Hoffman are sworn and testify in plaintiff's behalf; and the defendant H. Kuendel is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The testimony is then argued and submitted. Whereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff; and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. Kuhlman and against the defendant H. Kuendel for the sum of $11.00 debt and for the sum of $12.40 costs of suit.
5.40	Justice's costs $7.00 Total judgment.
	Constable's costs $5.40 $12.40 Done in Open Court this 25th day of July 91.
	Clanton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
July 28	The demand and costs in the above action this day satisfied in full.

No. 134

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. E. Gorman, Plaintiff
vs.
W. G. Grady, Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 31 55/100

Geo. A. Power
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	17	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Power Constable (J. H. Walton deputy)
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. G. Grady by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant W. G. Grady in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	22	A written demurrer this day filed by defendant.
Aug.	3	The above demurrer set for argument on Aug 4, 1891 at 9 A.M.
"	4	By consent of defendant the above demurrer this day overruled and defendant given two days in which to answer.
"	5	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	"	Defendant's trial set for Nov. 1st 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Nov.	1st	At the time set the parties at interest are present. F. E. Gorman is sworn and testifies
"	"	The defendant offers no testimony. The Court therefore finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. E. Gorman and against the defendant W. G. Grady for the sum of $31 55/100 debt and for the sum of $6 50/100 costs of suit. Total Judgment $38 05/100. Done in open Court Nov. 1, 1891. Geo. A. Power, Justice of the Peace.
July 1892	30	Execution issued and delivered to J. F. Ashman Constable.
Nov.	26	" returned and filed unsatisfied.

No. 135

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. P. Beaore, Plaintiff

vs.

Arch. McDonald et al, Defendant

Action on claim and delivery of personal property.

Demand, the horse, one road cart and one single harness or $150.00 the value thereof and $50.00 damages and costs.

Brady & Cunningham
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Purvis
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking on claim and delivery of personal property and order of seizure filed. Summons issued and delivered to B. F. Merritt constable of the 1st Township of Fresno County, Cal.
" 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Arch. McDonald by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Arch McDonald in the 1st Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 24, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Aug 3	A stipulation this day filed granting defendants fifteen days further time than that allowed by law in which to answer.
" 17	Defendant filed Demurrer aug 17th 1891

No. 136

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Porteous, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Grady, Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $252 38/100 + int. thereon at 1% per mo. from April 25, 90 quar. and $50 00/100 atty's fee.

H. M. Seaton.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas. A. Daly
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	18	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Cholittle deputy)
"	"	Notice this day filed by Jas. A. Daly atty for deft., excepting to the sufficiency of S.C. & John as a surety on the undertaking for this attachment.
"	20	It is agreed by the parties at interest that notice of the time and place of the justification of the sureties S.C. & John of the undertaking on attachment be and the same is waived; and it is agreed that the sureties justify according to the law at 10 A. M. July 24, 1891
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. H. Grady by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant W. H. Grady in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 18 '91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	22	A written demurrer this day filed by defendant.
"	24	At the hour set for the justification of the sureties S.C. & John the S.C. Corpus come into Court & sign the undertaking in S.C. & John's place.
Aug	6	The demurrer herein set for argument on Aug. 7, '91 at 9 A.M.
"	7	At the hour set for the argument of the demurrer herein the defendant comes into Court & consents that the demurrer be overruled and asks that he be given two days in which to answer.
"	"	The Court grants the request.
"	"	Defendant come into Court and enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	18	This cause set for trial August 24th 1891 at 10 o'clock A.M.

Taken to page 138

Rec'd from Pltff any fees expended

Rec'd from Pltff any fees

No. 137

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Porteous
Plaintiff

vs.

W. O. Grady
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $45 00/100 and int. @ 1% per mo from Nov. 13, 1889 com. gran. and $25 00/100 Atty

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	18	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser, constable. (J. E. Chalfant deputy)
"	"	Notice this day filed by Jas. H. Daly atty for deft., excepting to the sufficiency of S. C. St. John as a surety on the undertaking for this attachment.
	20	It is agreed by the parties at interest that notice of the time and place of justification of the sureties of the undertaking on attachment be and the same is waived; and it is agreed that the sureties justify according to the law at 10 A. M. July 24, 1891.
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. O. Grady by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant W. O. Grady in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 18, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	20	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	22	A written demurrer this day filed by defendant.
"	24	At the hour set for the justification of the surety S. C. St John Mr. J. C. Cooper comes into Court and signs the undertaking in S. C. St. John's place.
Aug.	6	The demurrer herein set for argument on Aug. 7, 1891 at 2 A. M.
"	7	At the hour set for the argument of the demurrer herein the defendant comes into Court and consents that the demurrer be overruled and asks that he be given two days in which to answer. The Court at once grants the request.
"	"	Defendants comes into Court and enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	18	This cause set for trial Aug. 24th 1891 at 10 o'clock A M

taken to page 154

Rec'd from Atty Justice fees, J. P. Fraser

No. 138

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Porteous, Plaintiff

vs.

T. J. Hall, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $139 90/100 and int @ 1% per mo. from June 10, 190 com. grac. + $25 00/100 atty's fees

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 18 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frazer Constable. (J. E. Cloolittle deputy)

Justice Court costs paid $5.50
Constable's costs paid 13.00
Paid on attys fee 15.00
Costs paid Nov 23 1891
J. E. C.

Costs therein $3.95 Thirty

No. 139

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. B. Choice, Plaintiff

vs.

W. C. Tupper, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $204 00/100 and int @ 1% per mo from Feb. 5, 191 + for $25 00/100 attyg fee.

Geo. A. House
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	17	Complaint filed.
"	25	Notice of appearance of defendant this day filed & said defendant W. C. Tupper is given twenty days from July 22 1891 in which to plead.
Sept.	16	It appearing to this Court from the Notice of Appearance of defendant W. C. Tupper on file herein that said defendant W. C. Tupper was given twenty days from July 22, 1891 in which to plead herein, and it appearing that said defendant W. C. Tupper has not answered herein nor appeared herein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Twenty days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of Geo. A. House attorney for plaintiff H. B. Choice judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff H. B. Choice and against defendant W. C. Tupper for the sum of $204 00/100 + $4 25/100 interest debt, and for the sum of Three and 95/100 dollars costs of suit. Total judgment $222 90/100 Done in open court Sept. 16, 1891 Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
		Costs herein $3.95 Paid by the plaintiff Chas. R. Prince June 21, 192
1892		Abstract of judgment this day issued.
1892 May	14	Abstract (amended) of judgment this day issued.
Jany 1893	23	Above judgment credited with $75.00
Feb	2	Execution this day issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable
Apr	1	Execution returned showing a credit on Feby 15, 1893 of $50.00 and filed
"	24	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable
June	24	Execution renewed
Aug	24	Execution renewed
Oct	24	Execution renewed
Dec	1	Execution returned wholly satisfied

No. 140

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. H. Romsley, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Shortridge, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 38 50/100

G. H. Romsley in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Wofford deputy constable.
"	22	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and all costs paid. Walter R. Prince, Justice of the Peace

Reed dismissed in full

Continued from page 142.

Louis Reynolds vs Geo Matheson

Aug	14	Now comes Plffs attorney and moves the Court to set aside the judgment in this cause Because it was prematurely entered, and to recall execution for the same reason

No. 141

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Henry Stutzman Plaintiff

vs.

Roy Call Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 1 80

Henry Stutzman in pro per.
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews constable.
July 25	The demand and costs this day paid in full and the action dismissed. Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Recd dismissed in full
H. Stutzman

No. 142

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Louise D. Reynolds, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Matheson, Defendant

Action Replevin

Demand, $ Return of 3 horses or $200.00 their value and $50.00 damages

Geo. E. Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable, (J. E. Chrisitte deputy)
" 24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. Matheson by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Geo. Matheson in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 24, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 28	Defendant enters a general demurrer to plaintiffs complaint on file herein, through his attorney C. M. Seaton.
Aug. 4	Defendant's attorney C. M. Seaton files a written answer this day.
"	On motion of defendant the above action set for trial on Aug. 10, 91 at 10 A. M.
" 8	Issued Subpoena for Plff
" 10	Issued Subpoena for Deft
" 10	Now on this 10th day of Augt 1891 this cause coming on to be heard, Geo D Graham appearing for Plaintiff and D. M. Seaton for Defendant, The following Witnesses were sworn on the part of Plff- Louisa Reynolds, H D Reynolds, objection made & overruled, obj't made & overruled, objection made & overruled, Court adjourned to half past one o'clock P M same day. Court met pursuant to adjournment, C. B. Harkness sworn for Plff, and Plff closed and Mary H. Upton (objection & ruling) and Geo Matheson, Plff submitted & offered in evidence written notice, Deft closed, and after arguments cause submitted and taken under advisement by the court, entire Aug 14th 1891 = Judgment is hereby rendered in the above cause
Aug 12	for Defendant, and it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Defendant George Matheson take judgment against the Plff Louise D Reynolds for his costs of suit amounting to the sum of $16 85/100, and that the Plaintiff take nothing.

Justices costs $7.25

Constables cost 9.60

16 85

Archie McDonald a Justice of the Peace for Fresno County sitting and acting for Dante R. Prince at his request JP of 3rd Township

Taken to page 140

No. 143

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. P. Donohoe
Plaintiff

vs.

John Wordson
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $

W. H. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 July 23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable, (J. E. Corlitt deputy.)
" 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant John Wordson in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 25th, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint

212-
220.12 P
84.42 Jud
30.54
25 atty fee

No. 144

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Claudius Emmons & Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Berry Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 137 15/100

Chas Fenn in pro per Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable.
Jan. 1892 18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. J. Berry of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 5th Township of Fresno County on Jan 13, 1892.
" 25	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that the said officer personally served the said defendant W. J. Berry by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 5th Township of Fresno County State of California on Jan. 13, 1892 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint: And it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered ~~and~~ on motion Chas Fenn plaintiff's attorney; and on further motion of plaintiff's attorney judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Claudius, Emmons & Co. (a corporation) and against the defendant W. J. Berry for the sum of $137 15/100 debt and for the sum of costs of suit. Justice fee = $6.95 Total judgment $149 30/100 Constable fee 5.20 12.15 Done in open Court Jan. 25, 1892 Claude R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Recd Justice fee 6.95
Dec. 24, 1892
Claude R. Prince

No. 145

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Warnekros, Plaintiff

vs.

C. B. Fowler, Defendant

Action on a note & contract

Demand, $60 00/100 & int. @ 1½ % per mo. from July 8, 1899 & $25 00/100 atty's fees.

C. M. Leatherman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 24	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking on writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable, J. E. Cloolittle deputy.
July 30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. B. Fowler by the said officer personally delivering to the defendant C. B. Fowler in the 1st Township of Fresno County on July 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

No. 146

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

S. R. Hart, Defendant

Action for Rent

Demand, $ 14 50/100

J. G. Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 27	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Chalfitte) deputy) and defendant given until July 31, 1891 in which to answer.
" 28	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant S. R. Hart by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant S. R. Hart in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 28 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Aug 1st	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant S. R. Hart by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant S. R. Hart in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on July 28, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Three days has fully expired now on motion of J. G. Rhodes attorney in propria persona his default is hereby entered and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. G. Rhodes and against the defendant S. R. Hart for the sum of $43 50/100 debt and for the sum of $5 95/100 costs of suit and for the restitution of that certain house situated on lots 1, 2, 3 & 4 in Block 129 of the town (now city) of Fresno in Fresno County State of California. Justice costs $3.95 Constable costs 2.00 $5.95
	Done in open Court this 1st day of Aug. 1891. Charles R. Prince Justice of the Peace
August 3	Writ of Restitution this day issued.

No. 147

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Pyke Manager Barton Opera House, Defendant

Action for printing.

Demand, $10 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff L. L. Cory & L. W. Moultrie

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 27	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser, constable.
" 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. M. Pyke by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant C. M. Pyke in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 29, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Aug 3	Defendant comes into Court this day and through his attorneys Messrs Cory & Moultrie enters a general demurrer to plaintiffs complaint.
" 4	The above demurrer on motion of plaintiff set for argument on Aug. 5, 91 at 1.30 P.M.
" 5	At the hour set the said demurrer is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.
" 6	The Court this day sustains the demurrer to plaintiffs complaint.
" "	There being no answer filed, and on motion of the plaintiff the above entitled action is this day dismissed without prejudice.

Justice fee $4.00
Constable's fee 2.20
$6.20

Charles R. Prince
Justice of the Peace

No. 148

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wm Shortridge, Plaintiff

vs.

L. M. Cutter, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 8 90/100 & int. @ 1% per mo & 25 attys fee.

L. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 28	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (J. E. Chalfant deputy)
Aug 6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant L. M. Cutter by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant L. M. Cutter in 5th Township of Fresno County on Aug. 5, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint
	Dismissed on motion of Plaintiff August 25th 1891 and Justices fees paid $2.25
	Archd McDonald sitting and acting for Dante R Prince J. P. 3d Township
	Taken from Page 136
1891 Aug 24	James Portens Pltff vs W D Grady Deft
	Both the parties at interest in court and announced themselves ready for trial. S. L. St Johns sworn for Plaintiff. no evidence offered on the part of the defense, Whereupon a judgment was rendered for the Plaintiff and against the Deft W. D. Grady for the sum of $252 38/100 principal and $43 14/100 interest and costs of suit amounting to $14.60/100
	Justices cost $10.00 Constable 4.60
	Archd McDonald a J.P. of Fresno Co sitting and acting for Dante R Prince
Oct 16	Execution issued and delivered to (Geo. Mathews constable) D. P. Tuller deputy.

No. 149

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Lewis & Co., Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. L. Owens Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 21. 30/100

L. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 28	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Corbett deputy)
Oct 6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Mrs. L. Owens by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Mrs. L. Owens in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 5, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 20	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the officer on the defendant Mrs. L. Owens by the said officer personally delivering the same to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 5, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant Mrs. L. Owens has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired, now therefore her default is hereby entered and on motion of L. M. Leaton attorney for the plaintiff A. Lewis & Co. judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. Lewis & Co. and against the defendant Mrs. L. Owens for the sum of $21 30/100 debt and for the sum of $6 10/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $27 40/100
	Justice fee $3.90 Done in open Court Oct 20, 1891
	Constable's fee 2.20 Chas. R. Prince
	$6.10 Justice of the Peace, of said Township.
Oct 1891 21	Execution issued & delivered to C. P. Fuller deputy under Co. Nathan.
Mar. 1892 29	Execution returned & filed unsatisfied this day.

No. 150

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. S. Eyer, Plaintiff
vs.
John W. Baume, Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $53 05/100 & int at 1% per mo.

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking on writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (J. E. Chollitte deputy)
30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John W. Baume by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant John W. Baume in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
Aug. 4	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served by the said officer on the said defendant John W. Baume by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant John W. Baume in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared in this action in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor, to wit, five days, has fully expired now therefore on motion of C. M. Leaton attorney for plaintiff G. S. Eyer, defendant's default is hereby entered, and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. S. Eyer and against the defendant John W. Baume for the sum of $53 05/100 debt and for the sum of $6.20 interest and for the sum of $21 80/100 costs of suit.

Justice costs $6.95
Constable costs 14.85
Atty fee $21.80
25 00
$46 80

Total judgment $81.55 and $25.00 atty fee
Done in open court Aug 4 1891

Clark R. Shine,
Justice of the Peace.

Aug 1891 5 — Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Chollitte deputy.)
Mar. 1892 24 — Execution this day returned & filed fully satisfied.

The judgment herein this day satisfied in full.
Clark R. Shine
Justice of the Peace.
Aug. 25, 1891

Justice fees paid.
Clark R. Shine
Oct. 13, 91.

No. 151

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. E. Overlittle, Plaintiff

vs.

John W. Baume, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $18 60/100 and int at 1% per month from April 1, 91.

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891	28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frown Constable (J. E. Overlittle deputy)
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John W. Baume by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant John W. Baume in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
Aug. "	4	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally ~~served personally~~ served by the said officer on the said defendant John W. Baume by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant John W. Baume in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on July 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor, to wit, five days, has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Leaton attorney for the plaintiff J. E. Overlittle judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. E. Overlittle and against the defendant John W. Baume for the sum of $18 60/100 debt and twenty five cents interest and for the sum of $15 80/100 costs of suit Justice fee $6.95 Constable's fee 8.85 $15.80 Attys fee 25.00 $40.80 Total judgment $35.15 and $25 attys fee. Done in open Court Aug. 4, 1891. Clanton P. Prince Justice of the Peace.
		Justice fees paid. Oct. 13, 91.
1891 Aug	5	Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Frown constable (J. E. Overlittle deputy).
Oct 25		The above judgment this day satisfied in full. Clanton P. Prince
Mar.	29	Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.

No. 152

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

L. B. Brownell, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank V. Silva, Defendant

Action on note

Demand, $ 223.66/100

S. Jackson, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 30 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Brown Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy).

No. 153

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank N. Silva, Plaintiff

vs.

Antoine F. de Mathos, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 230 83/100

S. Jackson, Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas Dealy S. G. Edwards, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
July 1891 30	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. W. Thomas deputy)
Aug 1st	Summons served returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Antoine F. de Mathos by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Antoine F. de Mathos in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on July 31st 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 3	Defendant comes into Court this day and enters a general denial to plaintiffs complaint.
" 12	This cause set for the 14th day of Augt 1891 at two o'clock P.M

Full satisfaction of the above claim acknowledged this 13 August 1891 said action is hereby dismissed. S. Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff.

J.P. fees $5.00 paid A. McD

Archd McDonald J.P. of Fresno County
Sitting and acting for Dante R. Prince

No. 154

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. W. Garrett Plaintiff

vs.

Antone F. de Mathew Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $ 79 12/100

C. W. Garrett in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 1891	1st	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable. Aug 3. Summons + Writ of Attachment returned.
"	4	Rec'd this day of the Plaintiff the sum of One hundred and one and 42/100 dollars in full payment of the demand and costs therein.

Clayton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd demand in full.
C. W. Garrett
Rec'd Constable fees.
George Mathews Constable
by G. Wofford Dpt

Taken from page 137

James Porteous Plff
vs
W D Grady Deft

1891
Augt 24 Both the parties at interest and ready for trial S. C. St John sworn for Plaintiff, no evidence offered by Defendant, Whereup judgement was rendered for the Plaintiff and against W. D. Grady Deft. for the sum of $87 63 principal and interest and for the sum of $14 60/100 costs of suit

Justices cost $10 00
Constables cost 4 60/100

A. W. McDonald a J.P of Fresno Co acting and sitting for Dante R Prince

Oct 16 Execution issued and delivered to A. P. Fuller dep under Constable Geo. Mathews

No. 155

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Jacob Pretzer, Plaintiff

vs.

George Mathesen, Defendant

Action Replevin suit

Demand, $299.00 on return of horse & mule & $99.00 in damages.

Fowler, Jacobs & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 1891	0	Complaint in claim and delivery of personal property and affidavit in claim and delivery of personal property and order of seizure and undertaking in claim and delivery of personal property this day filed; and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Frear Constable (J. E. Overlitt deputy).
"	6	Defendant through his attorney H. H. Welsh this day files a written answer.
"	7	Notice excepting to the sufficiency of the sureties on the above undertaking this day filed.
Aug	14	~~Summons, served, returned and filed.~~ By agreement this cause is set for trial at 3 O'clock P.M. August 17th 1891
"	17	Now at the hour appointed both parties appear in Court an announced themselves ready for trial, jury trial waived, Philip Schmitz was sworn as interpreter on motion of Plff. The following witnesses were sworn for the Plaintiff Jacob Pretzer Wm Pretzer, Carl Shasey, Philip Hurbert, Bill of Sale read and introduced as evidence, Prosecution close. The following witnesses were sworn for the Defence, A Johnson H Graft, Conrad Manning, Fred Bott, David Antz and John Dennis, Defendant closed, and after argument of Counsel the cause was submitted to the Court. Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by his said action, and that Defendant have judgement for the possession of the said property mentioned in the plaintiffs complaint, and in case said possession cannot then for the value thereof in the sum of $125.00 and costs of suit amounting to $59.35 Archo McDonald a Justice of the Peace of Fresno County sitting and acting and sitting for Dante R Prince J.P. of Third Township
		Constable fees $19.70 Justice fees 10.75 Fred Bopp 6.60 D Ernst 3.80 C Manning 6.60 John Dennis 2.20 — Witness fees
1891		Execution issued and delivered to J. E. Overlitt deputy Constable
Aug	24	The above execution returned this day satisfied in full. Dante R Prince Justice of the Peace.

Received 19.20 Mileage fees 4 days service Aug 30 Atty for appellant.

No. 156

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

D. J. McConnell
Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Garner
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 121 28/100

J. G. Wofford
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 1891 3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (Jas. Ward deputy)
" 6	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. C. Garner by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. C. Garner in the 5th Township of Fresno County, State of California a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint on Aug. 5, 1891.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
Aug 8	The property attached by the constable in the above cause is hereby released upon the order of the attorney Wofford
" 18	Now comes the Plffs attorney and moves for judgement Answer having been made either orally or in writing and no appearance made. It is hereby ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff D. J. McConnell have judgement against Defendant J. C. Garner for the sum of One hundred and twenty one 78/100 Dollars Damages, and costs of suit amounting to $24 50 Justices cost $6.50 Constables cost 13.00 Pasturage of attached stock $3.00 Transportation of same 2.00 $24 50 Archibald Donald a Justice of the Peace of Fresno County sitting and acting for Dante R Prince J P of First Township Done in open Court this 18 day of August 1891

No. 157

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. Simon, Plaintiff

vs.

W. Leiser, Defendant

Action for goods wares & merchandise.

Demand, $ 25 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug. 4	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Clevelitte deputy)
" 6	Defendant comes into Court this day and enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiffs complaint.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. Leiser by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Aug. 5, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Sept 21	The above demurrer set for argument on Sept 28, 91 at 2 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 28	The above demurrer, on motion, this day overruled and defendant given two days in which to answer.
" "	Defendant through his attorneys Moore Brady & Austin enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs complaint.
Oct 6	The above action this day set for trial on Oct. 13, 91 at 2 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 13	At the hour set the parties of interest are present and the trial proceeds: S. Simon is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff; and Wm. Leiser and J. A. Barker are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. Hereupon the Court takes the matter under advisement.
" 27	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff S. Simon and against the defendant W. Leiser for the sum of $15 00/100 debt and for the sum of $8 00/100 costs of suit. Justices fee $5.50 Constables fee 2.50 $8.00 Total judgment $23 00/100 Done in open Court Oct. 27, 91 Charles P. Simms Justice of the Peace.
1892 Sept 21	Execution issued & delivered to J. E. Aspinwall Constable.
" 26	" returned & filed fully satisfied.

No. 158

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John Priest
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Paul and
C. B. Barstow
Defendants

Action for work and labor done and upon an assigned account.

Demand, $140 00

W. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug. 1891	4	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Mann constable, (J. E. Hollitt deputy)
"	15	Demurrer filed by Defendant
"	19	This cause set for half past one o'clock P. M. 1891 for
"	19	the argument on demurrer,
		Demurrer overruled.
		and four days given defendant to answer in
		Justices fees $7 00 paid

Received the amount of his claim in the above entitled action with costs to date - and the above action is hereby dismissed - No fees for paid - defendants to pay costs

Aug 22nd 1891

W M Leaton atty for pltff

Rec'd $43.50 Cty Constable fee

No. 159

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Charles Davis, Plaintiff

vs.

A. F. Mathers, Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 256

S. M. Seaton & W. B. Good, Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas H. Wade, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 1891 4	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Brown Constable (J. E. Wolitt deputy.)
" 4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. F. Mathers by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant A. F. Mathers in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Aug 4, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	It appearing from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons attached to a copy of the original complaint ~~that a true copy of said summons a~~ was
By error	
Aug 8	Defendant comes into Court and this day enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
Aug 19	Now comes the Plaintiff and asks that the demurrer be overruled, motion sustained and defendant required to answer within one hour after notice is served on him
" "	Deft denies each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint. - Cause set for trial Aug 25th at 2 o'clock P.M.
" 25	At the set for trial of this cause. Plaintiff appears and no appearance made by the Deft. The cause proceeded to trial C. E. Davis, J. L. Cofhill, C. F. Barber and T. W. Person + S. S. Boyd testified for Plaintiff, after considering the testimony, the court finds for the Plaintiff. Therefore it is ordered and decreed that the Plaintiff Charles Davis, have judgement against the defendant A. F. Mathers for the sum of $256 00 dollars damages, and $67.40/100 costs of suit
	{See cost Bill on file} Done in open Court this 25th day of August 1891 } Archibald McDonald a J. P. of Fresno County sitting and acting for Dante R Prince
Rec'd $4.50 Constable's fee Geo Mathers Justice fee paid Levy Service	
Dec. 1891 2	The above judgment this day satisfied in full. D M Seaton and W B Good attys for pl'ff

No. 160

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. L. Mangin, Plaintiff

vs.

Mee Lee, Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $20 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug	4	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (J. E. Chrlitte deputy)
"	5	Summons served, returned and filed.
"	"	Writ of attachment served, returned and filed.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed and costs paid.

Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace

Rec'd my fees

No. 161

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Gonahoo, Emmons & Co.

Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Hill

Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 50 00/100 & int thereon @ the rate of 1½% per mo. from Aug. 4, 1887 compounded quarterly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug 4	Complaint filed.

Rec'd my fees for service of Summons

No. 162

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

R. B. Johnson, Plaintiff

vs.

John M. Cuelman & Robert Cuelman, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 45-60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug	5	Complaint filed and summons issued.
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed.
"	21	Summons, and writ of attachment issued

Rec'd from Pltff my fees for Serv'g Writ "

No. 163

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff
vs.
Ella G. Barton, Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $ 17 00

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ pro per

L. L. Bory
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug	7	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Brown constable (J. E. Hoblitt deputy)
"	8	Service of a copy of the summons and complaint - accepted by Bory & Moultrie, Aug 8th 1891
"	12	Now on this day appears L. L. Bory atty for Ella Barton orally demurs to each and every allegation of the complaint filed in this
"	13	cause, argument of demurrer set for 4 O'clock P M Aug 13th 1891, cause continued until Aug 14th 1891 at 9 O'clock A. M
"	14	Demurrer overruled, ~~four~~ 4 days given to answer
"	18	Answer filed by Defendant Aug 18th 1891, and set for trial on August 21st 1891 at 10 O'clock A. M.
"	21	Both parties interested appeared in court, and a jury trial waived J. G. Rhodes sworn for Plaintiff, Motion made to strike out testimony Plaintiff allowed to amend complaint, and testimony admitted Plaintiff admits a tender of $4 00 (Plff. filed bill for newspapers in evidence) Plff rests C. M. Pike and Phil Schmitz sworn for Defendant - and Defendant rests, and cause submitted

After considering the evidence, I is hereby ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff J. G. Rhodes have judgment against the Defendant Ella G. Barton for the sum of Eight Dollars and his cost of suit amounting to $4 75

Plaintiffs cost, $ - 50
Justices fees 4. 25
$4 75

Stay of proceeding granted for ten days
Justices fees $4 25/100 paid

Archibald McDonald a justice of the Peace of Fresno County, acting and sitting for Dante R Prince J. P. 3d Township

Done in open court this 21st day of August 1891

Received satisfaction of the within judgment this 24th day of Aug. 1891
J. G. Rhodes

No. 164

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. G. Mancy

Plaintiff

vs.

M. Smith

Defendant

Action for goods wares & merc

Demand, $ 8 07/100

L. L. Cory & L. W. Poultrie

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd from Pltff my fees in advance.

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug	8	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Frank Constable (J. E. Wolitt deputy)
"	8	Summons returned duly served on the Defendant at the 3rd Township Fresno County California by Deps Constable F. F. Alexander
"	14	Defendant files a written notice to dismiss
	14	Defendant files Demurrer in writing

1891

Aug 20th 1891 Motion to

No. 165

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

County of ..... State of California.

S B Goodman

Plaintiff

vs.

C H Goodrich

Defendant

Action for goods wares & merchandise

Demand, $112 69/100

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug	10	Complaint filed & Summons issued, Bond filed and attachment issued and placed in the hand of J E Doolittle Dep'y Constable on motion of Plff action dismissed Andrew McDonald a J P of Fresno County sitting and acting for Dante R Prince J. P. 3d Township
Aug 20 1891		Satisfied in full D M Seaton Atty for Plff
		Further costs Paid $2.50 Constable "

No. 166

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

D M Seaton, Plaintiff

vs.

Nam Lee, Defendant

Action

Demand, $222 05/100

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	11	Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in the hand of Constable J. E. Doolittle and returned duly served in the 3d Township Fresno County on Defendant personall on the 12th day of August 1891 –
Aug	18	Now on the 18th day of August Defendant having failed to appear or plead in this action, on the motion of Plaintiff, Default is hereby entered against the Defendant Nam Lee.
		D. M. Seaton Sworn on part of Plaintiff
		Thereupon Judgment is hereby ordered against Nam Lee and in favor of Plaintiff D M Seaton for the Sum of $222 05/100 damages, and costs of Suit amounting to Six Dollars and thirty cents
		Justices cost $3 50 — Archd McDonald J. P. of Fresno County acting and sitting for
		Constables 2 80 — Dante. R. Prince J. P. of 3d Township
		Done in open court this 18th Day of August 1891
	29	Execution issued, & given to Officer Doolittle
	2	Execution returned satisfied and filed.

Justices cost $11 25 paid

Cred Amt of Judgment and Costs
Amd Judgment Satisfied and satisfied
Sept 2 1891 D M Seaton
Atty for Pltff

No. 167

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

D. E. Lyon
Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Cotano
Defendant

Action on ~~account~~ Contract

Demand, $

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

James Daily
Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	12	Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in the hands of Dty Constable Doolittle, Service accep by Defts attorney
"	"	James Daily Attorney for Plaintiff appeared and accepted Service of Complaint and Summons, and orally demurred to each and every allegation of the Complaint

No. 168

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. A. Owen
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Mathewson
Constable &c
Defendant

Action Complaint on claim and delivery of personal property

Demand, $

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	13	Complaint, affidavit on delivery of personal property, and undertaking on claim and delivery of personal property filed and Summons issued and placed in the hands of J. E. Doolittle Dep Summons returned Served duly in the 3d Township on the 3a day of August 1891
Aug	14	Counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant, agree in open court that undertaking of Defendant for return of property described in this action may be approved by the Court
Aug	14	Now comes Defendant and demurs to complaint in said action and for cause of demurrer say that said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against said defendant
Sep	2	Dismissed on Motion of Plff Justices cost $5.00 paid

Arch McDonald J.P. of Fresno Co sitting and acting for Dante R Prince J P 3d Township

No. 169

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H Arakian
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Sakakin
Defendant

Action account

Demand, $40 00

D M Stratton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	13	Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in the hands of James E Doolittle,
	18	Now comes the Defs and denies each and every allegation generally
	21	This cause set for Aug 26th 1891

No. 170

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wing Tai, Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs W S Wyatt, Defendant

Action: On a note

Demand, $

D M Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	14	Complaint filed and summons issued and placed in hands of J E Doolette
Dec.	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by P. McDowell on the defendant Mrs. W. S. Wyatt in Sacramento County, State of California on Dec. 5th, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Jan 1892	4	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Mrs. W. S. Wyatt in the County of Sacramento, State of California on Dec. 5, 1891; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Twenty days has fully expired now therefore on motion of D. M. Seaton Esq. attorney for the plaintiff herein Wing Tai, defendant Mrs. W. S. Wyatt's default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said attorney judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein Wing Tai and against the defendant herein Mrs. W. S. Wyatt for the sum of $73 00/100 debt and for the sum of $7 45/100 costs of suit.

Total judgment. $80 53/100

Justice fees = $3.95

Constable fees = 3.50

$7.45

Done in open Court Jan. 4, 1892

Chas P. Stone,

Justice of the Peace.

Jan 1892 5 Execution issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy.)

Mar 24 Execution this day returned and filed unsatisfied.

No. 171

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. T. Maupin, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Estes, Defendant

Action Services rendered as Physician

Demand, $97 50/100

D. M. Sratton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. L. Wood
Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	14	Complaint filed Aug 14th 1891
Dec.	7	Summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
"	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. Estes of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 9, 1891.
"	14	A written stipulation granting defendant five days additional time in which to answer plaintiffs complaint this day filed by defendants attorney Geo. L. Wood.
"	19	Defendant this day through his attorney Geo. L. Wood enters a general (oral) denial to Plaintiffs complaint
1892 Jan	27	The above action set for trial on Feb. 9, 1892 at 9.30 A.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Feb.	9	At the hour set for the trial of the above entitled action the plaintiff and his attorney are present and ready to proceed. However neither the defendant nor his attorney is present. The Court therefore, after waiting an hour for the appearance of the defendant or his attorney and neither one appearing orders the plaintiff to proceed with his case. Th. W. T. Maupin is thereupon sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The plaintiff then rests. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. T. Maupin and against the defendant A. Estes for the sum of $97 50/100 debt and for the sum of $6 40/100 costs of suit.
		Constables fee $2.40
		Justice's fees 4.00
		$6.40
		Total judgment $103 90/100
		Done in open Court Feb. 9, 1892
		Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 172

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

D M Seaton, Plaintiff

vs.

R. E. Young, Defendant

Action Services rendered as an atty

Demand, $

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

189 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	18	Complaint filed & Undertaking for attachment Summons issued also an attachment
	19	Now on This 19th day of August 1891 comes the Plaintiff and acknowledges Satisfaction in full Justices cost $3.50 & Paid. A W McDonald a J P for Fresno Co acting and setting for Dante R Prince J. P. Third Township

No. 173

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C A Anderson, Plaintiff

vs.

Anthony Walters, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 77 30

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	18	Complaint filed and Summons issued

No. 174

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. M. Story
Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Motly
Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand, $ 14 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	19	Complaint filed Affidavit for attachment and Bond, Summons issued and attachment - Plaintiff acknowledges satisfaction and orders cause dismissed Constables cost $7.10/100 Justices cost 5.50/100 Paid Archibald McDonald a justice of the Peace for Fresno County acting and sitting for Dante R Prince J. P. of 3d Township

No. 175c

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. V. Lisenby, Plaintiff

vs.

C. E. Strivens, Defendant

Action account

Demand, $13 15/100

G. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	19	Complaint filed and summons issued
		Summons returned served by Constable C. W. Fraser, M. A. Blade Deptz, personally on the defendant on the 29th day of Augt 1891 in the Second Township
Sept. 22		It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered to the said defendant C. E. Strivens in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 27, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days, has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of G. M. Leaton attorney for the plaintiff A. V. Lisenby judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff A. V. Lisenby and against defendant C. E. Strivens for the sum of $13 15/100 debt and for the sum of $9.65/100 costs of suit.
		Total judgment $22 80/100
		Done in open Court Sept 22, 91
		Charles R. Prince,
		Justice of the Peace

Justice fees $3.95
Constable fees 5.70
$9.65

Recd from Plff atty fees $2.50

No. 176

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Jim Gong Plaintiff vs. F G Thompson Defendant	Action account for labor Demand, $24.30 Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	20	Complaint filed and summons issued

No. 177

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Bert Irwin
Plaintiff

vs.

Daniel Carlton
Defendant

Action on note

Demand, $76 30/100

R B Irwy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Augt	20	Complaint filed August 20th 1891

178

No. 178

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. S. Dixon, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Campbell, Defendant

Action Suit on note

Demand, $50.00

Thomson & King, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Timin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Aug	24	Complaint filed & Summons issued
"	25	Summons returned duly served by ~~Thos Campbell~~ C. W. Fraser Constable by serving it personally on defendant in the 3d Township Fresno County on the 25th day of August 1891
	31	Defendant files demurrer
Sept	4	Now on this 4th day of September comes the attys for both parties, and upon argument the demurrer was overruled and defendant given four days to answer
	8	A written answer (general denial) this day filed by W. J. Timin atty. for defendant.
	10	The above action set for trial on Sept. 10, 1891 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof. At the hour set the attys at interest are present and the trial proceeds. R. A. King & W. H. Grady are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and thereupon the plaintiff rests. The defense put in no testimony. Wherefore the Court finds for the plaintiff. And judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. S. Dixon and against the defendant Thos. Campbell for the sum of $50.00 debt and for the sum of $17.65 interest and for the sum of $20.00 attys fee and for the sum of $6.00 costs of suit. Done in open Court Sept 10, 1891. Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Justice fee $4.00
Constable fee 2.00
$6.00

Rec'd costs $6.00
" fee W. H. Fraser

Received the amount of the within judgment Sept 21. 1891 Thompson & King attys for pltff

No. 179

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Morrin and Horn, Plaintiff

vs.

R C Gardner, Defendant

Action account

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Augt	24	Complaint filed and Summons issued
"	25	Summons returned duly served, by C. W. Fraser Constable By Deputy Constable G F Alexander, by personally serving it with a copy of the Complaint on the Defendant in the Third Township on the 24th day of August 1891
Aug	26	Deft files demurrer Aug 26th 1891
Sept	5	On motion of Plaintiff and after hearing argument from deft demurrer overruled, and two days given deft to answer

No. 180

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A Warmekros, Plaintiff

vs.

H. E. St George, Defendant

Action account

Demand, $48.40

D M Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	26	Complaint filed and summons issued
	27	returned duly served by C W. Fraser Constable G F Alexander Dep'y. personally on the defendant in the 4th Township, County of Fresno on the 27th day of August 1891
Sept	15	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant H. E. St George in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Aug. 27, 91 by the said officer personally delivering the said papers to the said defendant at the said time and place, and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days, has fully expired now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of D. M. Seaton attorney for plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. Warmekros and against the defendant H. E. St. George for the sum of
Sept.	5	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by Messrs Goucher, Jacobs & Jones.
"	16	Demurrer set for argument Sept 18, 91 at 10 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	18	The above demurrer this day overruled and the defendant given two days in which to answer.
"	21	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs complaint.
"	25	The above action set for trial on Oct. 6, 1891 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Oct	6	By request of the parties at interest the above action set for trial on Oct. 13/91 - 9.30 A.M.
"	14	The above action this day dismissed and demand & costs paid.

Dismissed Rec'd Judgment & Demand $48.40 Costs $5.00

Rec'd Judgment & Demand

Dunton R Ringe
Justice of the Peace.

No. 181

In the Justice's Court of Thra Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. B. Tilton
Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Lockwood
Defendant

Action
Attachment

Demand, $ 18.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug	27	Complaint filed and Summons issued
	28	affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed and attachment issued
Sept	5	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Oct	1st	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Daniel R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Judgment & Execution against property of J. M. Lockwood

Rec'd Judgment & Execution against property of J. M. Lockwood

No. 182

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

B H Paul
Plaintiff

vs.

James Ellezian
Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 125 7/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Aug 1	31	Complaint filed, affidavit for an attachment and undertaking filed, and Summons issued and attachment
Sept 1	5	Justices cost paid $4 25
	"	Constables cost paid $7.30

No. 183

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Louis Campson, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. M. Story, Defendant

Action labor & services

Demand, $20.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	1	Complaint filed and summons issue, and placed in the hands of J. E. Doolittle Dep. Constable for E. W. Fresno Constable Affidavit and undertaking for an attachment filed and attachment issued —
Error		
"	16	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm. M. Story by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	21	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
"	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Sept. 24, 1891 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	24	The above demurrer this day overruled and the defendant given ten days in which to answer.
"	26	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
Oct	6	The above action set for trial at 10 A.M. Oct. 8 /91 and the parties at interest notified thereof.

No. 184

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Baird Bros

Plaintiff

vs.

Proprietor of Alameda Restaurant

Defendant

Action

Demand, $ 9 65/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 4	4	Complaint filed affidavit and undertaking for an attachment filed
		Summons an attachment issued
		Attachment & Summons returned served by J. W Dumas on Charles Korp. Proprietor of Alameda Restaurant, by serving it upon him personally in the 3d Township County of Fresno on the 4th day of September 1891
Sept	4	Now comes the Deft and deposits twenty Dollars cash in lieu of an undertaking for release of property
"	5	Cause dismissed on motion of Plaintiff
		Justices cost $4 00 } paid Constables cost 4 00 }
		Archo McDonald a J. P. of Fresno Co sitting and acting for Dante R Prince

Received the amount of the claim in the above suit Sept. 5th 1891 Baird Bros

No. 18 Sc

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John Henrick, Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Bacon, Defendant

Action account for labor

Demand, $9.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept	4	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (S. F. Alexander deputy)
"	7	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant E. C. Bacon in the 3rd Township of Fresno Co. on Sept 7, 191 of a copy of the complaint attached to a copy of the summons.
"	22	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered to the said defendant E. C. Bacon in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 7, 1891 by the said officer; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of John Henrick the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Henrick and against the defendant E. C. Bacon for the sum of $9.00/100 debt and for the sum of $6.15/100 costs of suit.
		Total judgment $15.15/100
Justice fees $3.95		Done in open court Sept. 22, 1891
Constable fees 2.20		Clayton R. Prince,
6.15		Justice of the Peace.

No. 186

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Township State of California.

Tow Lee

Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Smith

Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $77 28/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept	8th	Complaint filed and summons issued

No. 187

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. L. Hawkins, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Aldham, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $200.00 + int. from July 29 '91 @ 1% per mo. com. quar. + $40.00 atty's fee.

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. C. Tupper
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept.	7	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable J. E. Doubleday Dep.
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. H. Aldham by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant W. H. Aldham in the 5th Township of Fresno County on Sept. 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	"	Undertaking on release of attachment this day filed.
"	23	Defendant this day demurs to plaintiff's complaint on the ground that said complaint does not state sufficient facts to constitute a cause of action.
"	28	The above demurrer set for argument on Sept. 30, '91 at 9.30 A. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	30	The above demurrer this day overruled.
Oct.	8	Defendant this day files a written answer to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 188

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. C. Puryear Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Humphreys et al Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $ 47 80/100

C. C. Puryear
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 7 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (R. H. Walter deputy)

No. 189

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Ellen Brown, Plaintiff

vs.

John M. Hunley Sheriff of Fresno County, Defendant

Action for the return of one wagon or its value $100 in, and for $50 in damages.

Demand, $

S. R. Hart
Attorney for Plaintiff

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept	7	Complaint in claim & delivery of personal property and undertaking in claim and delivery of personal property this day filed. Summons issued and delivered Chas. Fraser Constable, J. H. Walton deputy.
"	11	Now comes A. M. Drew attorney for defendant John M. Hunley & moves the court to dismiss, vacate & set aside the summons herein on the ground that the name for plaintiff is not endorsed thereon nor does it appear on the face thereof.
"	12	Defendants enter a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiffs complaint
"	14	Defendants this day file an undertaking on return of replevied property.

No. 190

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Fresno Canal & Irrigation Co., (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Pedro Bastambide Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 150 00/100 & costs

Geo. E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 8	Complaint filed and summons issued

Rec'd from Pltff my fees for serv. Sept. 8th

No. 191

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. P. Anderson, Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Carter, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 47 00

U. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
189 Sept 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. H. Walton.
" 12	On motion of U. M. Seaton attorney for plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid. Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Received from Justice of the Peace $5.50

No. 192

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Louis Hansen, Plaintiff

vs.

Waldenberg & Brown, Defendant

Action for work and labor done.

Demand, $ 140 75

Louis Hansen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 12	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews, constable.
" "	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and the demand and costs paid.
	Santa R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd demand in full
P. L. Glass
Rec'd expense
Geo Matthews

No. 193

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

T. R. Ryan and Francis A. Ryan his wife Plaintiffs

vs.

H. L. Fox Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 75.00

T. R. Ryan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 12 1894	Complaint filed & summons issued

No. 194

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wm Ingram
Plaintiff

vs.

W. E. Mitchell
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $36.00 & int @ 1% per mo. from Feb. 16, 191 + $25.00 fees

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 14 1891	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable, A. P. Tuller deputy.

No. 195

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

William Ingram Plaintiff

vs.

W. Clowes Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $61 67/100 & int @ 1% per mo. from Feb. 14, 1891 & $25 00/100 attys fee

C. M. Slater, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 14 1891	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews constable, A. P. Miller deputy.
Oct 20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. Clowes in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 20, 1891

No. 196

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

William Ingram
Plaintiff

vs.

C. E. McCune
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $12.35 with legal interest from May 23, 190 + for $25 for atty fee

H. M. Dieter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (A. P. Tullen deputy)

No. 197

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

William Ingram, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. H. Hammer, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 9.00 + int @ 1% per mo from Feb. 17, 1891 + fee $25.00 attys fees

Al. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Goucher, Jacobs & Jones
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson constable (Ch. P. Fuller deputy)
" 15	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm. H. Hammer by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Wm. H. Hammer in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 15, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 21	Defendants attorneys this day enter a demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint on the ground that the said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

No. 198

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wm. Ingram, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Hardin, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $22 48 + int. thereon @ 1% per mo. from Feb. 17, 1891 + for $25.00 attys fee

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson constable (C. P. Tuller deputy)
" 15	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. H. Hardin by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. H. Hardin in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 15, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 22	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant J. H. Hardin by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant J. H. Hardin in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 15, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for plaintiff Wm. Ingram, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff Wm. Ingram and against defendant J. H. Hardin for the sum of $22 48/100 debt and $1 60/100 interest and $15 00/100 attys fee and $6 35/100 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $45 43/100
	Done in open Court Sept 22, 91
	Gambell Brine,
	Justice of the Peace.

Justice fees $3.95
Constable fees 2.40
$6.35

No. 199

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wm. Ingram, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Ferber, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $25.75 + int thereon @ 1% per mo. from May 21, 1890 + $25 atty fee

C. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson constable (O. P. Tuller deputy)
" 16	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Joseph Ferber by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Joseph Ferber in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 16 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 22	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Joseph Ferber by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Joseph Ferber in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that the said officer has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff Wm. Ingram judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Wm. Ingram and against the defendant Joseph Ferber for the sum of $25.75 debt and for the sum of $4.12/100 interest and for the sum $15.00/100 attorneys fee and for the sum of $6.35/100 costs of suit.

Justice fee $3.95
Constable fee 2.40
$6.35

Total judgment $51.22/100

Done in open court Sept 22, 1891

Lauzon R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 200

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Weilheimer, Plaintiff

vs.

F. A. Linsmore, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $144.00

Geo. E. Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

S. N. Reed, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891	15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Brown Constable (J. H. Walton deputy.)
Oct	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by officer Geo. A. Pibbets on the defendant F. A. Linsmore in Kern County on Sept 26, 1891. Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Oct	15	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by his attorney S. N. Reed
"	20	The above demurrer set for argument on Oct. 22, 91 at 2.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	At the hour set for arguing the demurrer S. J. Hinds appears for the defendant and by consent the demurrer is overruled and the defendant given seven days in which to answer.
"	26	A written answer this day filed by S. N. Reed Esq. for the deft.
Nov.	7	The above action set for trial on Nov. 18, 1891 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	18	At the hour set the parties plaintiff are present and after waiting one hour - the legal time - for the appearance of the defendant F. A. Linsmore and the said defendant not appearing the court orders the plaintiff to prove his case. Thereupon A. Weilheimer is sworn and testifies and then rests his case. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. Weilheimer and against the defendant F. A. Linsmore for the sum of $144.00 debt and for the sum $2.90 interest and for the sum of $25.00 attorney's fee and for the sum of $22.05 costs of suit. Total judgment $193.95. Done in open court Nov. 18, 1891. Chas. R. Pinner, Justice of the Peace
Nov. 18/91		Recd Constable's fee to date.
Nov. 18/91		Recd witness fee to date. C.R.P.
Nov. 18/91		Execution issued and delivered to Chas. Brown constable.

No. 201

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Jack Sheeler, Plaintiff

vs.

W. R. Sullinger, Defendant

Action for work & labor done and materials furnished

Demand, $ 15

Jack Sheeler per pro. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 16	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathesen Constable (O. P. Fuller deputy)
" 18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. R. Sullinger in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 18, '91 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 28	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered by the said officer to the said defendant W. R. Sullinger in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 18, 1891; and it appearing that the said defendant W. R. Sullinger has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of Jack Sheeler the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jack Sheeler and against the defendant W. R. Sullinger for the sum of $15 00/100 debt and for the sum of $6 15/100 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $21 15/100
	Done in open court Sept. 28, 1891.
	Clayton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace of said Township.

Justice fees $3.95
Constable fees 2.20
$6.15

No. 202

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. S. Glyer, Plaintiff

vs.

E. E. Eddy, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $112 05/100 + int thereon @ 10% per annum + $20.00 atty's fees & costs

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept	17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable. (A. P. Fuller deputy)
	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant E. E. Eddy by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 19, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
	26	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered by the said officer to the said defendant E. E. Eddy in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Sept. 19, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days, has fully expired, now therefore his default is duly entered, and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff G. S. Glyer, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. S. Glyer and against the defendant E. E. Eddy for the sum $112 05/100 debt and for the sum of $9 20/100 interest and for the sum of $20 00/100 atty's fee and for the sum of $17 80/100 costs of suit. Time in open court Sept 26, 1891

Constables costs - $7 70 paid by plaintiff G. S. Dorsett

Costs paid by plaintiff - Walter R. Rince J.P.

Justice fee = $6.95

Constable fee = 10.85

$17.80

Total judgment = $159.05/100

Walter R. Rince,

Justice of the Peace.

No. 203

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

George Edwards, Plaintiff

vs.

John Morley, Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $ 7.50

Geo. Edwards in pro. per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept. 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (C. P. Tuller deputy)
" 19	~~Summons served, returned + filed~~ The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid. Clayton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Constable fees C. P. Tuller

No. 204

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

George Edwards

Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Travis & Mrs J. T. Travis his wife

Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $ 5.00

Geo. Edwards

Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891 17	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (C. P. Tuller dep.)

No. 205

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. F. Morgan, Plaintiff

vs.

C. S. Pallagren, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $35.00 + int @ 1% per mo from Aug 19, 1889 (int com. annually) + fee $20.00 atty's fees + costs.

Geo. L. Hand
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser, constable (J. H. Walton deputy).

No. 206

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Geo. E. Albertson, Plaintiff
vs.
Thos. Harrold, Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $ 22 50/100

Geo. E. Albertson
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~ Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891 18	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy)
" 19	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 18, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	Defendant comes into Court this day and enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
" "	On motion the above action is set for trial Sept 22, 1891 at 2 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 22	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: P. P. Ryan appears for the defendant; and S. R. Hart & Geo. L. Ford for the plaintiff. Geo. E. Albertson, H. P. Justy, Wm. McGregor and J. G. Willis are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Wm. Hogan, Alex Thompson, Thos. Reity and Thos. Harrold are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The testimony is thereupon argued by the attorneys for the parties at interest and submitted to the Court and by the Court taken under advisement.
Oct 21	The court this day finds for the plaintiff: Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo. E. Albertson and against the defendant Thos. Harrold for the sum of Nine Dollars debt and for the sum of $6 60/100 witness fees and for the sum of $26 30/100 costs of suit.

Witness fees
H. P. Justy $2.20
Wm McGregor 2.20
J. G. Willis 2.20
$6.60
Justice fees 9.00
Constable fees 17.30
$32.90

Rec'd these fees
T. R. Harrold

Total judgment $41 90/100

Done in open court Oct. 21, 1891.

Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace
of said Township.

(Continued on next page)

No. 207

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Donohoo, Emmons & Co
Plaintiff

vs.

P. M. Caffejian
Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 13 13

Chas. Prince in pro per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891	18	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson Constable (C. P. Tuller deputy)
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Sept 18, 91 in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	"	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid. Lambert R. Prince. Justice of the Peace.
		Geo. E. Albertson vs. Thos. Harrold — Continued from page 206.
Oct. 1891	24	Notice of appeal this day filed.
"	"	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
Dec.	15	The above judgment this day satisfied by plaintiff. Lambert R. Prince J. P.
		Rec'd ½ constable's fee M A Blade

Received from Geo. E. Prince the sum of Twenty Dollars in full of defendant's judgment in favor of plaintiff
D R Stark
Atty for Plaintiff

No. 208

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

The Fresno National Bank (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Laing Malcolmson Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $100.00 & int @ 1½ % per mo from April 7, 90 com. guar. & $25.00 atty fee

Frank H. Short and Alva E. Snow Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept. 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Brown Constable (M. A. Black deputy)
" 21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm Laing Malcolmson by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 21, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
28	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered by the said officer to the said defendant Wm. Laing Malcolmson in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Sept 21st 1891; and it appearing that said defendant Wm Laing Malcolmson has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of Alva E. Snow attorney for the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno National Bank (a corporation) and against the defendant Wm Laing Malcolmson for the sum of $100.00 debt and for the sum of $23.20 interest and for the sum of $25.00 attorneys fee and for the sum of $6.15 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $154.35
Justice's fees $3.95	Done in open Court Sept. 28, 1891.
Constables " 2.20	Chas R. Prince,
$6.15	Justice of the Peace.
	of said Township.
Nov 1892 28	Execution issued & delivered to G. G. Anderson Constable.
Oct 3	" returned & filed satisfied.

June 30 Received $6.45 court-costs
in above case
and of the record of S R Prince

No. 209

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Joseph Upton
Plaintiff

vs.

J. Sherman Bartholomew
Defendant

Action Material furnished & labor done.

Demand, $ 25 90/100

Wiley J. Timm
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Brown Constable (J. H. Wolter deputy.)
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.

J. B Carter
vs.
J. P. Stump et al

(Continued from page 212)

and must be deducted from plaintiffs recovery; but as the said costs incurred herein exceed the verdict rendered by the jury herein, in the sum of Ninety Cents therefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the defendants J. P. Stump and T. Bedliker and against the plaintiff J. B. Carter for the sum of Ninety Cents.

Total judgment Ninety Cents.

Done in open Court Nov. 4, 1891

David R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Oct 1891 26	Memorandum of costs this day filed.
Nov. 4	Notice of Appeal this day filed
" "	Undertaking on Appeal this day filed.

No. 210

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Pablo Yparraguirre
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Miller
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand, $ 299.00 damages & costs

Goucher & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 21 1891	Complaint, answer, stipulation and copy of docket in the above entitled action transferred by stipulation of the parties at interest from the Justice Court of Geo. A. Arnold in the 7th Township to this Court.

No. 211

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Action for goods, wares & merchdse.

Leo F. Winchell Plaintiff

vs.

H. L. Miller Defendant

Demand, $ 37 50/100

Brady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Oct 23 Recd Writ & fees 6 days

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable.
Oct 23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant H. L. Miller in the 3rd Township on Oct. 22, 1891.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

No. 212

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

James Graham
Plaintiff

vs.

G. Olsen
Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $ 5.00

Jos. Graham
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 21	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (C. P. Fuller deputy)
" 30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant G. Olsen by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant G. Olsen in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 29th, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Oct 5	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid. Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace

J. B. Carter
J. P. Stump et al

(Continued from opposite page.)

1891 Oct 24	R. L. Smith are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. The case is then argued and submitted to the jury. Officer D. H. Walker is then sworn in and takes charge of the jury. The jury after a short deliberation bring in the following verdict: "Fresno, Cal. Oct. 24, 1891. We the jury find for the plaintiff in the sum of $94 60/100. S. B. Tombs, Foreman."
Nov. 4	Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. B. Carter and against the defendants J. P. Stump & T. Judiker for the sum of $94 60/100; and it appearing by the stipulation on file herein that said defendants offered to said plaintiff before the trial of this action to allow said plaintiff to take judgment against said defendants for the sum of $100 00/100; and it appearing that said plaintiff refused to accept the said offer from said defendants and whereas the jury in the trial of this action by their verdict allowed the said plaintiff the sum of $94 60/100 which is less than the amount offered plaintiff by defendants, therefore by reason of the law in the premises the costs herein amounting to $95 50/100 are taxed against said plaintiff

(Continued on page 209)

No. 213

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. B. Carter, Plaintiff

vs.

J. P. Stump & T. Jediker, Defendants

Action on account

Demand, $ 161 99

E. O. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin & S. J. Hinds Attorneys for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 21	Complaint, summons, amended answer, stipulation changing place of trial and transcript of docket in the above entitled action transferred by the stipulation of the parties at interest from the Justice's Court of the 7th Township of Fresno County, W. M. Foster presiding, to the Justice's Court of this Township. Said stipulation contains a clause showing that an offer of judgment by defendants to plaintiff for one hundred dollars has been made and refused.
" 23	The above action set for trial on Oct 7, 1891 at 2 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
30	The above action set for trial on Oct. 21, 191 at 9.30 A. M.
Oct. 21	At the hour set the parties at interest are present, but the defendants announce themselves as not ready for trial because of the absence of a material witness and in consequence make a motion for a continuance. The court grants the continuance until Oct. 24, 191 at 2.45 P.M. and assesses the costs incurred thereby to the said defendants.
" 24	At the hour set the parties at interest are present; but a motion being made by the plaintiff for a jury trial the court orders a continuance for half an hour in this action. and issues a venire for thirteen citizens to act as jurors.
" "	The above venire summoned, returned and filed.
" "	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: The following eight jurors are selected and sworn in to try the issue: Al. Chilwood, W. Hill, C. A. Stevens, C. W. Bruce, Willit Gates, E. Combs, A. J. Meade and J. B. Combs: Thereupon on motion of S. J. Hinds one of the attorneys for the defendants the witnesses are sworn and placed under the rule. J. B. Carter having been duly sworn takes the stand and testifies in his own behalf. The plaintiff then rests; and J. V. Curd, M. L. Clark, Chas. E. Wright, W. G. Jediker, A. E. Stump, B. F. Jediker, J. P. Stump and T. Jediker having been sworn testify in behalf of the defendants who then rest; Thereupon J. B. Carter is recalled by the plaintiff; and Wm. Clay and

No. 214

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. J. Cunahoo, Plaintiff

vs.

William Shortridge, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 46 75/100

S. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891	23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Sov. Mathews Constable (C. P. Tuller deputy)
"	28	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this dismissed and costs paid.

Clanton R. Rivers,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 215

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township

County of Fresno State of California.

J. L. Hawkins, Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Berry and S. R. Chantry, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 25 00/100 + int at 1% per mo. from April 3, 1891 + $25 atty's fee

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept. 23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (C. P. Tuller deputy)
" 26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. J. Berry by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant W. J. Berry a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 25, 1891.
Oct 6	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally delivered by the said officer to the said defendant W. J. Berry in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 25, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of C. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff herein J. L. Hawkins, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. L. Hawkins and against the defendant W. J. Berry for the sum of $25 00/100 debt and for the sum of $1 50/100 interest and for the sum of $ costs of suit, and $15 00/100 atty's fee.
	Total judgment
Justice's fee $3.95	Done in open court Oct. 6, 1891
Constable's fee	Charles R. Prince,
	Justice of the Peace.

No. 216

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

William Keating

Plaintiff

vs.

Haber & Mrs L. Haber (his wife)

Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $ 131 50/100

H. G. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891 23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matteson Constable (W. P. Fuller deputy.
" 24	Summons served, returned & filed.
" "	On motion of plaintiff's attorney the action herein begun is dismissed and costs paid.
	Clayton R. Prince Justice of the Peace

Received demand in full
H. G. Austin
Atty for Plff

No. 217

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. H. Johnson, Plaintiff
vs.
J. Hugill, Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $36 00/100

H. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept. 24	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Floan constable.
Oct 17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. Hugill by the officer James P. Carroll personally delivering to the said defendant J. Hugill in San Joaquin County on Oct. 14, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint and also a copy of the clerks certificate attached thereto.
Oct 24	A written answer to plaintiff's complaint this day filed by messrs Grady & Austin for defendant.
Nov. 7	The above action set for trial on Nov. 13, 91 at 9 A. M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 11	A subpoena for a witness for the plaintiff duly issued.
" 13	The above subpoena served, returned & filed.

No. 218

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. M. Teach, Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Faulkner, Defendant

Action Work & labor done materials furnished & for an assigned account.

Demand, $ 81 70

S. M. Leaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept.	25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (C. P. Miller deputy.)
Oct	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant J. L. Faulkner by the officer in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 5, 1891
"	6	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	7	The above action this day dismissed and demand and costs paid in full.
		Claudio R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd the amount $81 70 amt of demand - Oct 7th 1891
Rec'd amt of Constables costs - $11 40. C. P. Miller
Rec'd amt of Justices costs $5 50

Rec'd the amount of $81 70 amt of demand - Oct 7th 1891 S. M. Leaton atty for Plff
Rec'd amt of Constables costs - $11 40. C. P. Miller
Rec'd amt of Justices costs $5 50

No. 219

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Hoy Hop, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Joe, Defendant

Action for goods wares & merchandise sold & money loaned.

Demand, $ 81 10/100

S. M. Slater
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept. 1891	25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathesen constable (C. P. Tullis deputy)
Dec.	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Ah Joe of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Sept 25, 1891
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
"	"	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Ah Joe in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Sept. 25, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has fully expired, now therefore on motion of S. M. Slater attorney for plaintiff defendant's default is hereby entered; and on further motion of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Hoy Hop and against the defendant Ah Joe for the sum of $81 10/100 debt and for the sum of $19 95/100 costs of suit.
		Justice fees: $6.95 Total judgment $101 05/100
		Constable fees: 13.00 Done in open Court Dec. 10, 1891
		$19.95 Wm. R. Prince
		Justice of the Peace
Dec. 1891	10	Execution issued to Geo. Mathesen against Hoy Hop for the costs to date in the above entitled action.
"	14	The above execution returned satisfied & filed.

No. 220

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wm Reuter

Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Reeder

Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $41 55/100 & int at 1% per mo. from Mar. 19, 1889

Wm Reuter

Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 29	Complaint filed

No. 221

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. H. Lockwood, Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Alexander, Defendant

Action for work and labor done

Demand, $ 9 00/100

W. H. Lockwood in his own per.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Sept 29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (S. F. Alexander deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant S. A. Alexander by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant S. A. Alexander in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 29, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Oct 3	Defendant this day enters a general denial to plaintiff's complaint on file herein.
" 24	The above action set for trial on Oct. 26, 1891 at 7.30 P.M.
" "	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant duly issued.
" 26	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued.
" "	The above subpoenas served, returned and filed.
" "	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: W. H. Lockwood, B. W. Campbell, H. G. Spaulding and Ernest Egel are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and B. F. Ewing, J. A. Bigbee, W. S. Lane and C. W. Bennett are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant: The Court hereupon takes the matter under advisement.
" 29	Plaintiff makes a motion this day to amend his complaint.
Nov. 2	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Claude R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

No. 222

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John Hartley
Plaintiff

vs.

The Earl Fruit Company,
(a corporation)
Defendant

Action for money received and damages.

Demand, $ 299 99/100

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. L. Herd
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Sept 1891	29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (G. F. Alexander deputy)
Oct	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant by the said officer personally delivering to C. P. Clutton managing agent for the said defendant The Earl Fruit Company (a corporation.) a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 30, 1891
"	3	Defendant this day through his attorney Geo. L. Herd filed a written answer to Plaintiff's complaint.
"	8	The above action set for trial on Oct 15, 1891 at 10 a.m. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	13	Defendant this day filed a written offer to compromise.
"	15	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant duly issued.
"	"	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
"	"	At the hour set for trial the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds:
"	"	John Hartley, J. F. McDonald, Calvin Pierson and Jas. L. Clark are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and C. P. Clutton, Jas. L. Clark, W. A. Perry and A. M. Piper are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant: The case is hereupon argued and submitted to the court and by the court taken under advisement.
"	27	The court this day finds for the plaintiff: Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Hartley and against the defendant The Earl Fruit Company (a corporation) for the sum of $189 95/100 and for the sum of $21 10/100 costs of suit.

Total judgment $211 05/100

Justice fee $8.00 Close in open court Oct 27, 1891.

Constable fee 6.50

Witness fee

Calvin Pierson 2.20

J. L. Clark 2.20

J. F. McDonald 2.20

Daniel R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd $1.200 G. F. Alexander
Rec'd 2.05 = J. W. Perry

Oct 27 Defendant granted an eight day stay of execution.

Nov. 1891 3 Notice of appeal this day filed.

" " Undertaking on appeal this day filed.

Dec. 4 The above action this day settled by the parties at interest and the justice's & constable's fees paid.

No. 223

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Paul M. Coffyjian, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Sang & Ah Quong, Defendant

Action for goods sold

Demand, $ 126 60/100

Brady & Austin, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Frown constable.
" 5	Defendants attorney C. M. Seaton comes into court and enters a general denial to plaintiffs complaint.
" 6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendants Ah Sang & Ah Quong by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Ah Quong a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and to the defendant Ah Sang a true copy of said summons; both defendants served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 1, 1891
" 28	The motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Agustus R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

225

No. 225

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. P. Thompson & R. A. King, Plaintiff

vs.

John Schmidt, Defendant

Action on an assigned account

Demand, $25.00

Thompson & King, Attorneys ~~for Plaintiff~~

L. L. Cory & L. W. Mentzer, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891	3	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (G. F. Alexander deputy)
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Schmidt by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 10/91 a true copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	15	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by his attorneys L. L. Cory & L. W. Mentzer.
"	20	The above demurrer set for argument on Oct. 22, 91 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	22	At the hour set the attorneys are present and by consent the demurrer is overruled and the defendant given four days in which to answer.
"	26	Defendant this day answers (orally), entering a general denial to plaintiffs complaint.
"	"	The above action set for trial on Nov. 2, 1891 at 4 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Nov	2	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: M. N. Mancy is sworn and testifies in his own behalf; and C. L. Craycroft, E. F. Ball and John Schmidt are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The case is then argued and submitted to the Court. The Court thereupon finds for the defendant. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the defendant John Schmidt and against the plaintiffs W. P. Thompson & R. A. King for the sum of $8.30 taxed costs.

Done in open Court Nov. 2, 1891

Chas. R. Prince,

Justice of the Peace.

Justice fees $6.00

Constable fees = 2.30

$8.30

No. 224

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Herman Levy
Plaintiff

vs.

F. M. Sprugle
Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise sold.

Demand, $ 24 75/100

Herman Levy
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in his own person.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891	3	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Frame constable (M. A. Black deputy)
"	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant F. M. Sprugle by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant F. M. Sprugle in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Oct. 5, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"		

No. 224

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

O. Alway Plaintiff

vs.

Frank R. Dunlap and F. S. Dunlap (his wife) Defendant

Action for work & labor done and assigned accounts.

Demand, $ 255.50

Mark Walker
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short & Alva E. Snow,
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 5	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Constable (John Dunnica deputy)
" 8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant F. S. Dunlap on Oct. 5, 1891 and on defendant F. R. Dunlap on Oct. 8, 1891 by said officer personally delivering to the said defendant F. S. Dunlap a true copy of said summons, and to the defendant F. R. Dunlap a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint, in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California.
" 10	S. F. Dunlap, this day through her attorneys Short and Snow, files a written answer.
"	The Writ of Attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 13	A written answer this day filed for defendant Frank R. Dunlap by his attorneys Messrs Short & Snow.
"	The above action set for trial on Oct 15, 1891 at 10 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
15	At the hour set the parties at interest are present ~~are present~~ on the trial proceeds: Mark Walker appears for the plaintiff and Alva E. Snow for the defendants:
"	O. Alway, W. H. Pettis, Fred Jones, Henry Crane, C. H. Winn and Geo. C. Ashurst are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Frank R. Dunlap and Mrs. S. F. Dunlap are sworn and testify in their own behalf.
"	Thereupon the case is argued and submitted to the Court and by the Court taken under advisement.
" 19	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff, O. Alway. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff O. Alway and against the defendants Frank R. Dunlap and Mrs. S. F. Dunlap his wife for the sum of $255.50/100 debt and for the sum of $7.90/100 costs of suit.

(Continued on opposite page)

Received amount of judgment
Mark Walker
atty for Plff

No. 227

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. Huber, Plaintiff

vs.

W. Ferris, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 40 00/100

Thompson & King
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1891 5	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (C. P. Tuller deputy)
5	On motion of the plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid. Clarke R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Rec'd Constable's fee Geo Mathews Constable C. P. Tuller deputy	

L. Alway
vs.
Frank R. Dunlop et al

(Continued from opposite page.)

Oct 1891 19	Total judgment $327.40/100
Constable fees $ 41.50	Done in open court Oct 19, 1891
Justice fees 17.00	Clarke R. Prince,
Witness fees 13.40	Justice of the Peace.
$ 71.90	of said Township.
" "	Defendants granted a stay of execution for two days.
" "	A cost bill this day filed.
" "	Defendants granted a stay of execution for two days.
" 24	Demand and costs this day paid in full. Clarke R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 228

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. T. Carlile

Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Baird

Defendant

Action for goods, wares and merchandise

Demand, $44.80

Brady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 9	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (M. A. Blade deputy)
" 20	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Andrew Baird by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Oct 20, 91 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
24	The above action this day dismissed and demand + costs herein paid.

Dante R. Primo,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd the demand herein
Brady & Austin

No. 229

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Eim Vineyard Co.
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Farris
Defendant

Action for goods & merchandise sold and delivered

Demand, $ 7 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 12 1911	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (A. P. Tuller deputy)

No. 230

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

H. L. Clifton, Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Williams, Defendant

Action for Debt

Demand, $ 25.00

J. E. Overholtzer, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 12	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Bro. Matheson Constable (C. P. Fuller deputy)
" 15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant at the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 16, 1891.
" "	The above action this day dismissed and costs paid.
	Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

F. J. Clow
vs.
M. Quinlan et al

(Continued from page 233 this docket)

Nov 1891 6	At the hour set the parties of interest are present and the trial proceeds. F. J. Clow again takes the stand and testifies. The plaintiff then rests; and M. Quinlan and Mrs. M. Quinlan are sworn and testify in their own behalf. The case is thereupon argued and submitted to the court and by the court taken under advisement.
" 12	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants M. Quinlan and Mrs. M. Quinlan for the sum of $112 50/100 debt and for the sum of $28 90/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $141 40/100
	Justice's fees $13.50 Constable's fees 15.40 $28.90
	Given in open court Nov. 12, 1891. Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Nov. 1891 12	Defendant granted a stay of execution
" 13	Execution issued and delivered to Bro. Matheson Constable (C. P. Fuller deputy)
" "	The above execution returned this day unsatisfied.

No. 231

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. O. Grady
Plaintiff

vs.

Pete Alivar
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $152 50/100 + int. at 1% per mo. from May 14, 1891 con. quar. + $30 00 atty's fee

H. J. Austin.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Act. 1891 14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to

No. 232

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Fresno Canning Company (A corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Reuder Defendant

Action for breach of contract

Demand, $137 50/100

Rul B. Perry & Geo. B. Graham Attorneys for Plaintiff

M. Farley Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1891	14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (P. H. Walton deputy)
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Jacob Reuder in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 14, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	21	A written demurrer filed for defendant by his attorney M. Farley this day.
Oct	31	The above demurrer this day overruled by consent of defendant; and an answer and counterclaim this day filed by M. Farley Esq. atty for defendant.
Nov.	10	The above action set for trial on Nov. 16, 1891 at 1 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	16	By agreement of the parties at interest the above trial is postponed until Nov. 20, 1891 at 1.30 P.M.
"	"	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant this day issued.
"	20	The above subpoena served, returned and filed
"	"	By the agreement of the parties at interest the above trial is postponed until Dec. 8, 1891 at 9.30 A.M.
Dec.	7	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued
"	8	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Geo. B. Graham appears for the plaintiff and M. Farley for the defendant. S. Wiener and Geo. A. Hodge are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Jacob Reuder, J. A. Reuder, A. F. Hall, J. F. McDonald, G. H. Hudson and J. A. Minick are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The case is thereupon argued & then submitted

(Continued on page 237)

No. 233

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

F. J. Clow, Plaintiff

vs.

M. Quinlan and Mrs. M. Quinlan, Defendant

Action Assigned account.

Demand, $ 112 50/100

O. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Goucher Jacobs & Jones
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891	16	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews constable (A. P. Fuller deputy)
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal
"		service on the defendants M. Quinlan & Mrs. M. Quinlan.
	17	Defendants in court and file undertaking on release of attachment
"	"	It is by the court ordered that the property under attachment in this case be and is hereby released
"	"	Defendants demurs orally
"	"	Defendants denys each and every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint. orally
"	23	The above demurrer set for argument on motion of O. M. Leaton for Oct. 24, 91 at 10 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	24	By consent defendants demurrer in this day overruled.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	"	The above action set for trial on Nov. 2, 1891 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Nov.	2	The above action set for trial on Nov. 3, 1891 at 1 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued, served, returned and filed
"	3	Amended answer of Mrs M. Quinlan this day filed.
"	"	Amended answer of M. Quinlan this day filed.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: F. J. Clow is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. Thereupon the Court adjourns until Nov 5/91
"	4	at 9 A.M. – Nov. 4, 91 A subpoena for a witness for the plaintiff duly issued.
"	5	At the hour set for trial owing to the absence of the attorneys for the defendants the case is continued until Nov. 6, 91 at 1.30 P.M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.

(continued on page 230 of this docket)

No. 234

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. B. Laverone, Plaintiff

vs.

Rocco Botto, Defendant

Action for board & lodging

Demand, $ 6 90/100

15.55
6.90
22.45

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Cost $4 10/100

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1891	16	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (F. H. Walton deputy)
	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Rocco Botto by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Rocco Botto in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 16, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
	24	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was by the said officer personally delivered to the said defendant Rocco Botto in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Oct. 16, 1891; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of A. B. Laverone the plaintiff herein, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. B. Laverone and against the defendant Rocco Botto for the sum of $6 90/100 debt and for the sum of $11 05/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $6.95 Total judgment $17 95/100 Constable fee 4.10 11.05 Done in open court Oct 24, 1891 Santo R. Vaine, Justice of the Peace.
Oct 1891	26	Execution issued and delivered to Chas Fraser Con. (Jas Thomas deputy)
Nov.	16	The above execution returned this day partially satisfied.

Rec Justice fee on judgment $4.50 x
Summons & Attachment " " 4.25 x
Paid A. B. Laverone on " " $2.35 x
Mch. 1895 -

Execution issued & delivered to Laverone

No. 235

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. J. Murphy, Plaintiff

vs.

E. E. Sprague, A. E. Ragsdale and S. B. Thompson, Defendants

Action for Board & Lodging

Demand, $ 11 40

W. J. Murphy, Attorney for Plaintiff p.p.

John C. Denel, Attorney for Defendant

1891 DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct	16	Complaint filed and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to C. W. Frazer Constable (per M. A. Blade, Deputy.
"	"	~~Summons served, returned & filed~~
"	21	A written answer for the defendant this day filed by his attorney John C. Denel.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	27	Now comes the plaintiff herein and moves to amend his complaint on file herein by making S. B. Thompson and A. E. Ragsdale parties defendant with defendant E. E. Sprague in the above entitled action, & it is by this court so ordered. Thereupon A. E. Ragsdale waives service of summons & comes into court and makes appearance in this action. Thereupon the said defendants E. E. Sprague and A. E. Ragsdale expressly waiving trial, in open court allow judgment to be entered against them and in favor of W. J. Murphy for the sum of $11 40/100 and for costs of suit. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. J. Murphy and against the defendants E. E. Sprague and A. E. Ragsdale for the sum of $11 40/100 debt and for the sum $11.70 costs of suit. Total judgment $23 10/100

Justice fee = $6 50
Constable fee = 5 20
11.70

Done in open court Oct. 27. 1891

Chas. R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 236

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank Baffa, Plaintiff
vs.
Joyop Kurtigian, Defendant

Action for work and labor done and assigned accounts.

Demand, $24 00

Frank Baffa, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in his own proper person

Alva E. Snow, Attorney for Defendant

Con $5 00

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (Jno. Thomas deputy)
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Joyop Kurtigian by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 19, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
23	A demurrer (oral) this day entered by Alva E. Snow (attorney for defendant) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	On motion the above demurrer set for argument on Oct. 24, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 26	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff ~~attorney~~ and costs paid.

Clanton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd for b. W. H.

Received the sum of $62 50 5/24/92 also Rec'd the sum of

No. 237

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. A. Shepherd, Plaintiff
vs.
Barber, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand, $ 6 54/100

W. A. Shepherd, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Oct 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (Geo. Alexander deputy)
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Robert Barber by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant Robert Barber in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 19, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 20	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs herein paid. Justus R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Fresno Canning Co. a corporation Plff.
vs.
Jacob Reider Deft.

(Continued from page 232)

to the Court for consideration. The Court takes the matter under advisement.

1892 Feb. 18 The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff the Fresno Canning Company, a corporation, and against the defendant Jacob Reider for the sum of $62 50/100 debt and for the sum of $4 40/100 witness fee and for the sum of $29 50/100 costs of suit.

Total judgment $96 40/100

Justice's fee = $15.50
Constable's fee = 14.00
29.50

Done in open Court Feb. 18, 1892
Justus R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

1892 Feb. 26 Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

Received the sum of $62 50 5/24/92 also Rec'd the sum of $4 40 witness fee. Fresno Canning Co. Rec'd. Fresno Canning Co Rec'd.

No. 238

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Emil H. Otto, Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Chung, Defendant

Action Bal. on a/c

Demand, $ 266 65/100

S. Jackson, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Oct 19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy).
" 20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Lee Chung in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Oct. 20, 1891.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 21	The above action on motion of plaintiff this day dismissed and the costs herein paid. Clayton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace

Rec'd Court fees. Lee Chung

No. 239

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Hi Loy
Plaintiff

vs.

Wong Toy Tao
Defendant

Action for rent

Demand, $ 60 00/100

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 20 1891	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (A. P. Tuller deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wong Toy Tao in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 20, 1891
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.
	Clayton R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 240

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

P. R. Pauline, Plaintiff

vs.

C. C. Hudson, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $133.00 + int. at 7% per annum from Mch 30, 91

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891	23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews constable, C. P. Puller deputy.
Nov.	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal by the officer on the defendant C. C. Hudson by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 7, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.

No. 241

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. H. Edwards & W. B. Robb doing business under the firm name & style of Edwards & Robb
Plaintiff

vs.

A. E. Combs
Defendant

Action for goods wares & merchandise.

Demand, $ 48 95/100

A. M. Slater
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Oct 26	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson constable (A. P. Tuller deputy.)

Justice fees paid A. M. S.

No. 242

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. H. Edwards and W. B. Robb doing business under the firm name & style of Edwards & Robb
Plaintiff

vs.

W. C. Combs
Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise

Demand, $ 45 15/100

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 26	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (C. P. Tullis deputy)

Justice fees paid C.M.L.

No. 243

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Thomas Emmons & Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Shanklin Defendant

Action

Demand, $ 196 64/100

Chas. Dunn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Oct 26	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable.
" 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. T. Shanklin in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 28, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Nov. 2	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Chas. R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 244

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Charles Emmons & Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Crow & Shanklin Defendant

Action for goods, wares and merchandise.

Demand, $ 134 92/100

Chas. Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891	26	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews, Constable.
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing service on both defendants.
Nov.	2	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Dante C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd $1/100 for J. P. & clk fees Pd. Fresno Co. Dec. 24, 1892

No. 245

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Rec'd $1.00 for fees. Paid to date from Pltff. Fresno Co. Cal. Dec. 24, 1892

Donahoo, Emmons & Co. (A Corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. B. Evans Defendant

Action for goods, wares and merchandise

Demand, $ 75 10/100

Chas. Dunn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Oct. 26	Complaint filed.

No. 246

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

E. M. Hollenbeck
Plaintiff

vs.

H. L. F. Bachman and Mrs. H. L. F. Bachman his wife
Defendant

Action for work and labor done

Demand, $26 00/100

E. M. Hollenbeck
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in his pro

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct. 1891	27	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (Ben. Alexander deputy)
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	"	Undertaking on Release of attachment this day filed and the attached property ordered released.
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant H. L. F. Bachman of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on Oct 27, 1891; and a copy of the summons on the defendant Mrs H. L. F. Bachman on Oct 28, 1891; both defendants being served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California
Nov.	2	Answer and counter claim this day filed.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Charles C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 247

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

S. W. Henry
Plaintiff

vs.

A. Beene & Jno. A. Beene his wife
Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 236 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 27	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews constable (O. P. Fuller deputy)

No. 248

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Samuel Ralston
Plaintiff

vs.

John Rollins Mendenhall
Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $275.00

S. R. Hart
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 27	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Frazer Constable (for thereon deputy)
" 28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Rollins Mendenhall by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 27 1891.
Nov. 2	The action of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Wayne R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 249

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. C. McClongall, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. M. Story, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $25 00/100 + int at 1% per mo. from Feb. 2, 91 + $15 00/100 atty's fee

Gordon, Jacobs & Jones, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welch, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891 29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy)
Nov. 2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wm. M. Story of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 2, 1891
" 7	Defendant this day through his attorney H. H. Welch enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
" 10	The above demurrer set for argument Nov. 12, 1891 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.

No. 250

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John C. Clemel, Plaintiff
vs.
R. B. Johnson, Defendant

Action for services rendered.

Demand, $200.00 + int. from Oct 27/91

John C. Clemel, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. W. Risley, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Oct 1891	29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (F. H. Walton deputy)
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 29, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Nov.	3	Defendant this day through his attorney E. W. Risley enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
"	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Nov. 4/91 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	4	Stipulation this day filed by which it is stipulated that the demurrer on file herein be overruled and the defendant given five days in which to answer.
"	9	A written answer this day filed by defendant.
"	12	The above action set for trial on Nov. 16, 91 at 9.30 A.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued.
"	"	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant this day issued.
"	18	The above subpoenas returned & filed.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: W. S. Badger, John C. Clemel, A. L. Abbott, A. Wolcott and J. B. Campbell are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Newman Jones, M. Farley, Geo. L. Warlow, E. A. Edwards H. J. Ashton, R. B. Johnson and R. R. Murray are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The trial is thereupon continued until Nov. 19, 1891 at 11. A. M.
"	19	At the hour set the attorneys herein argue the case and the Court takes the matter under advisement.
"	27	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff & costs bill filed Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff John C. Clemel and against defendant R. B. Johnson

(continued on page 252)

No. 251

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Geo. H. Stevens, Plaintiff
vs.
Percy G. L. Buckland, Defendant

Action for work and labor done

Demand, $ 48 00/100

Thompson & King & Jno. G. Willis
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Oct 29	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (Jno Thomas deputy.)
" 31	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Percy G. L. Buckland by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Oct. 31st, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint. Writ of attachment served, returned and filed.
Nov. 12	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Percy G. L. Buckland in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Oct. 31, 1891 and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days, has fully expired, now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of Messrs Thompson & King attorneys for the plaintiff Geo. H. Stevens, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo. H. Stevens and against the defendant Percy G. L. Buckland for the sum of $48 00/100 debt and for the sum of $18 25/100 costs of suit.

Rec'd on a/c $19 25/100

Justices fee = $6.95
Constables fee = 11.30
18.25
Second costs 10.40
28.65

Total judgment $66.25
Done in open Court Nov. 6, 1891.
Clayton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

Nov. 12 1892 Execution issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (Jno. Thomas dep.)
" " returned & filed satisfied in the sum of $19 25
Nov. 2 1893 Execution issued and delivered to F. G. Ackerman Constable
Nov. 10 " returned and filed satisfied in the sum of $2 20

No. 252

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Sarah McKay, Plaintiff

vs.

John Roehl, Defendant

Action for board

Demand, $ 30 20/100

Sarah McKay in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891 2	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fresno Constable (Geo. F. Alexander deputy)
" 3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Roehl in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 3, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" 6	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Clanton R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

John C. Cline, pltff
vs.
R. B. Johnson, deft

(Continued from page 250)

for the sum of Two Dollars debt and for the sum of $34 40/100 costs of suit.

Total judgment $36 40/100

Justice's fee = $14.00
Constable fees = 8 20
$22 20

Witness fee
W. S. Badger 2.20
Ch. Wolcott 2.20
Ch. G. Abbott 7.80
J. B. Campbell 2.20
14.40

Cline in open court Nov. 27, 1891

Clanton R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

1891 Dec 15 The above judgment this day satisfied in full.

Clanton R. Prince
J. P.

18 Rec'd ½ constable's fee

Rec'd in chamber of in full + witness fees
John C. Cline

No. 253

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Geo. McCollough, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Newman, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $ 30 00/100

S. H. Hill Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ pro

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 2 1891	Complaint filed.
" 1892	1st Summons this day issued.

No. 254

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mount Shasta Manufacturing Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. R. Clark Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $

W. J. Finnin Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy)
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 4, 1891.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing no levy.
"	"	On motion of plaintiff the above action in this day dismissed and costs paid.

David R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 255

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Julius Bertaux, Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Pierce & John Myers, Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $ 100 00

Julius Bertaux, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	4	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fresno constable (J. H. Walton deputy.)
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 4, 1891.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	"	On motion of plaintiff the above action is dismissed and costs paid.

Ignatz R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 256

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. Madsen, Plaintiff
vs.
Kramm and Sieve (Partners), Defendant

Action for money paid.

Demand, $ 33 00/100

C. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	5	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (C. P. Fuller deputy)
"	20	Summons served returned + filed.
"	"	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid. Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Martin Lamm
vs.
John Drager.

Continued from opposite page.

sum of $36 70/100 costs of suit.

Justice fee $15.00
Constable fee 2.30
Witness fee 19.40
as follows: 36.70
R. R. Harris = $5.60
C. J. Powell = 5.60
J. G. Ward = 6.00
G. H. Lamm = 2.20
19.40

Total judgment $186 70/100

Done in open Court Nov. 30, 1891

Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

1891 Nov. 3 Notice of appeal this day filed.

" Undertaking on appeal this day filed.

" Justice fee this day paid by defendant + papers transmitted to the Superior Court.

Received full satisfaction of judgment in case Lamm vs Drager + returned herein as satisfied this 15th day of May 1892
J. M. Seaton atty for Pltff

No. 257

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Martin Lauer, Plaintiff

vs.

John Krager, Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $299 00/100

W. M. Leaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

S. R. Hart, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	5	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraim constable (B. F. Alexander deputy)
"	"	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant this day of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint – said service being in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
"	9	A written demurrer this day filed by S. R. Hart, Esq. attorney for defendant, to plaintiff's complaint.
"	16	By consent of the attorney for the defendant herein the above demurrer is this day overruled. Defendant's attorney thereupon
"	"	files a written answer + cross-complaint to plaintiff's complaint. + parties at interest + witnesses thereof
"	"	The above action set for trial on Nov. 21, 1891 at 10 A. M.
"	"	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant duly issued.
"	17	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued.
"	19	The above subpoenas served, returned and filed.
"	21	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Plaintiff's attorney moves that defendant's cross-complaint be stricken out, 1st because the same does not relate to, grow out of or depend upon the contract or transaction upon which this action is based: 2nd Because said action is independent of, and aside from, this action.
"	"	The Court overrules plaintiff's motion to which plaintiff excepts.
"	"	S. H. Hyde, J. L. Ward, Rob. R. Harris, C. J. Powell, B. F. Lauer + Martin Lauer are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and C. J. Powell, Frank Gilmfeld, A. G. Haskins, Ivor Raven, Thos. Sheaward and John Krager are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The case is thereupon submitted without argument and by the Court taken under advisement.
"	30	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore
"		judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Martin Lauer and against the defendant John Krager for the sum of $150 00/100 debt and for the

(Continued on opposite page.)

No. 257

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Nicholas & Jones
Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Crank
Defendant

Action for goods sold.

Demand, $47 50/100

S. S. Wright
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. W. Mowltrie
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	7	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (John Lemon deputy)
"	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant F. J. Crank in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 9, 1891 by the said officer personally delivering to him then and there a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	13	A general demurrer (oral) entered to plaintiffs complaint by L. W. Mowltrie atty for plaintiff.
"	14	The above demurrer set for argument on Nov. 18/91 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	18	By consent of defendants attorney the above demurrer this day overruled and defendant given five days in which to answer.
"	23	L. L. Cory Esq. this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	27	The above action set for trial Dec. 3, 1891 at 9.30 A.M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
Dec.	3	The above trial continued until Dec. 9, 1891 at 9.30 A.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	9	The above trial continued until Dec. 14, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	14	The above trial continued until Dec. 17/91 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	17	The trial of the above action indefinitely continued.
April 1892	11	The trial of the above action set for April 22, 92 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	22	On motion of the attorney for the plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed without prejudice.

Daniel R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd fees in full to date from 1890

No. 259

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Chadbourne Emmons & Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Kuhn Defendant

Action for meats sold & delivered

Demand, $ 25 24/100

Chas. Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov.	9	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable.
"	"	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. Kuhn by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	23	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant A. H. Kuhn in the Third Township of Fresno County on Nov. 9, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefor on motion of Chas. Prince attorney for plaintiff, defendant's default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said Chas. Prince judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein Chadbourne, Emmons & Co. (a corporation) and against the defendant A. H. Kuhn for the sum of $25 24/100 debt and for the sum of $6 15/100 costs of suit. Justice's fee $3.95 Constable's fee 2.20 $6.15 Total judgment $31 39/100 Done in open Court Nov. 23, 1891 Charles R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Paid Justice in full & debt from Feb. 24, 1892 Charles Prince

No. 260

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. E. Overlittle, Plaintiff

vs.

John Wesman, Defendant

Action assigned account

Demand, $ 95 $\frac{00}{100}$

J. E. Overlittle in his own per. Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (per Clinton deputy)
" 11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Wesman ~~of~~ a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 10, 1891.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
21	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that the said officer personally served a true copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the said defendant John Wesman in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 10, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired nor therefor has defendant is hereby entered and on motion of J. E. Overlittle the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein J. E. Overlittle, and against the defendant herein John Wesman for the sum of $95 $\frac{00}{100}$ debt and for the sum of $21 $\frac{95}{100}$ costs of suit.

Justice fee = $6.95
Constable's fee = 15.00
$21.95

Total judgment $116.95

Done in open Court Nov 21, 1891

David R. Pierce,
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township.

The above judgment satisfied in full by defendant.

David R. Pierce
J. P.

Rec'd Constable's fee herein
J. W. Pierce 8/8/93

No. 261

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. A. Black, Plaintiff	Action on a note
vs.	Demand, $85.00 & legal int. thereon from Sept 10, 1891 ~~until paid~~
Wm Shortridge, Defendant	J. A. Black Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in propria
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 12	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fresno constable (Jno. Clunes deputy)
" 14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant Wm. Shortridge in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 14, 1891.
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.

Rec'd $10.00 fee
J. A. B.

No. 262

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. Coakley, Plaintiff

vs.

Edison Electric Light & Power Co. of Fresno, Defendant

Action for damages

Demand, $ 299 00/100

Brady & Austin & for Gallagher, Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short, Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd $4.00 on Judgment
Paid 1.50

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	13	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (M. A. Blade deputy)
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on C. Chisholm Sec of the defendant (a corporation) by the said officer personally delivering to the said C. Chisholm in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 13, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	17	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by his attorney Frank H. Short.
"	27	The above demurrer set for argument on Nov 28, 91 at 9 a. m. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	27	A written answer this day filed by F. H. Short, Esq. for defendant.
"	28	Defendant's demurrer this day overruled.
"	"	Defendant this day enters a general denial to plaintiff's complaint
"	"	The above trial set for Dec. 7, 1891 at 2 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Dec.	4	A subpoena for witnesses for the people duly issued.
"	5	The above subpoena served, returned and filed
"	7	On motion of plaintiff the above action this day dismissed and costs paid.

Oscar R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Deposition

Mileage = W. M. Harris
" = M. A. Blade
Constable fee = "
" "

No. 263

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. A. Shepherd, Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Hurich, Defendant

Action on account

Demand, $ 16 00/100

W. A. Shepherd, Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~ pro.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser Constable (Geo. Alexander deputy)
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Ed. Hurich in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 16, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	17	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 264

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. T. Clarke
Plaintiff

vs.

W. F. Wintle
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $22 00/100 + int. at 1% per month from Feb. 27, 1891

C. M. Seaton.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 1891	16	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (C. P. Teller deputy)
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. F. Wintle of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 18, 1891
"	18	Writ of attachment returned + filed showing levy.

Nov. 23, 1891 Rec'd Constable fee $3.50
" Justice fee $2.00
" Appeal
J. T.

J. S. Dorbett

No. 265

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. W. Brown, Plaintiff

vs.

S. L. Hogue, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $25.00 + int and $15.00 atty's fee.

S. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 17 1891	Complaint filed.

No. 266

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. Vincent, Plaintiff

vs.

E. F. Hutchinson, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $74 00 + int. thereon at 10% per annum from Nov. 1, 1890

Bowles, Jones & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 1891	17	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy)
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant E. F. Hutchinson of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 18, 1891.
"	23	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 267

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Yee Chong & Co Plaintiff

vs.

G. G. Murray Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand, $ 11 20/100

H. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Frame constable
" 20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant G. G. Murray of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Nov. 19, 1891
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 25	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Clarto R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 268

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. C. Grady, Plaintiff

vs.

Sarah A. Jagger, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $50 00/100 & int thereon at 1½% per mo from Sept. 10th, 1889 - int pay. quar. & $20.00 atty fee

H. J. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory & L. W. Moultrie
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891	18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Chas Fraim constable. (J. W. Clemons deputy)
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Sarah A. Jagger of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 23, 1891
"	28	Defendant through her attorneys Messrs Cory and Moultrie enter a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
Dec	1	The above demurrer set for argument at 9.30 A. M. Dec. 2, 91 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	Defendant through her attorney L. L. Cory consents to the overruling of the demurrer on file herein and asks for two days in which to answer which is by the court allowed.
"	3	Defendant this day granted five days further time in which to answer.
"	7	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
Jan. 1892	5	Defendant this day comes into Court & files an amended answer.
"	6	The above action set for trial at 10.30 A. M. Jan. 7, 1892 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	7	The trial of the above action this day postponed until Jan. 9, 192 at 10 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	9	The trial of the above action this day postponed until 10 A. M. Jan. 25, 1892 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	25	The trial of the above action continued until 9.30 A. M. Feb. 2, 1892 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Feb.	2	At the hour set for trial the parties at interest are present: Plaintiff thereupon moves the Court to allow his complaint to be amended so as to include and make Wm Jagger the husband of Sarah A. Jagger a party defendant with the said Sarah A. Jagger. Defendant objects to plaintiffs

No. 269

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. W. Abbott, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Ray, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand, $ 18 00/100

W. W. Abbott in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Franz Constable.
	W. D. Grady vs. Sarah A. Jagger (Continued from opposite page.) motion. The Court allows the motion to which defendant excepts. Thereupon Sarah A. Jagger and W. D. Grady are sworn and testify in behalf of the Plaintiff; and Sarah A. Jagger and R. B. Daniel are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. Thereupon both sides rest and after argument the Court takes the matter under advisement.
1892 Feb. 13	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff, Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. D. Grady and against the defendant Sarah A. Jagger for the sum of $50 00/100 debt and for the sum of $
1895 Sept 21	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day W D Grady

No. 270

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

The First National Bank of Fresno, Plaintiff

vs.

S. B. Frasier, Defendant

Action on notes

Demand, $160 55/100 & $50 00/100 attys fee

Geo. E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1871 Nov. 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable, A. P. Tullis deputy.
" 23	Summons returned & filed showing no service.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy
1872 Jan 8	The above action this day dismissed ~~on motion~~ on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Clayton C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 271

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Frank Brothers, Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Hutchinson, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $75.00 + int at 10% per annum from Dec. 16, 1890 + $25.00 atty's fee

C. M. Seaton.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable, C. P. Miller deputy.
" 21	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant E. J. Hutchinson in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 21st 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
23	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.

Received for Justice fees, W. P. J.

No. 272

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Jacob Wolf

Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Bills

Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand, $ 81 00/100

Jacob Wolff.
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Nov. 1891 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable.
" "	Summons served, returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
" "	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Charles R. Thomas,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 273

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. Whitney, Plaintiff
vs.
Eugene J. Gregory et al, Defendant

Action Breach of Contract

Demand, $ 299 99/100

Frank H. Short, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Nov 1891	4	Complaint filed and summons issued.
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on defendant Frank Gregory in the County of Sacramento, State of California on Nov. 13, 1891, by under-sheriff B. N. Bugbey of said County.
Dec.	5	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by under-sheriff B. N. Bugbey of Sacramento County on the defendant Frank Gregory in the County of Sacramento, State of California on Nov. 13, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant Frank Gregory has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time, therefor, to wit: Twenty days has fully expired, now therefor on motion of Frank H. Short attorney for the plaintiff herein W. Whitney, defendant Frank Gregory's default is hereby entered. The Court thereupon orders plaintiff to prove his case: Whereupon Frank H. Short and W. Whitney are sworn and testify in behalf of the said plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. Whitney and against the defendant Frank Gregory for the sum of Two hundred and ninety nine and 99/100 dollars damage and for the sum of Eight and 25/100 dollars costs of suit.

Justice fee = $4.45
Sheriff fee = 3.80
$8.25

Total judgment $308 24/100

Done in Open Court Dec. 5, 1891

Clantha R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

The above judgment ordered satisfied by plaintiff's attorney F. H. Short
& justice fees paid. Clantha R. Prince

No. 274

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wing Yuen Chung, Plaintiff

vs.

Chew Bow, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand, $ 53 20/100

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 24	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas Brown Constable. (J. H. Walker deputy)
" 30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Chew Bow in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 24, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Chew Bow in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 24, 1891; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff Wing Yuen Chung and against defendant Chew Bow for the sum of $53 20/100 debt and for the sum of $13 25/100 costs of suit

Rec'd on Constable's fee $3 25/100
" " J. H. Walker

Justice fee $6.95
Constable fee 6.50
$13.45

Total judgment $66 65/100

Done in open Court Nov. 30, 1891

Chas. R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

On motion of H. J. Austin attorney for plaintiff the satisfaction of the above judgment is this day entered and costs paid.

Chas. R. Prince
J. P.

No. 275

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. Normart, Plaintiff

vs.

M. A. Markarian & A. F. Peters, Defendants

Action on assigned account, & for services rendered.

Demand, $ 75 00/100

A. M. Leaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 27	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson constable, (A. P. Fuller deputy)
" "	Defendant A. F. Peters comes into Court and in his own behalf enters a general denial to plaintiff's complaint.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant M. A. Markarian of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and on the defendant A. F. Peters of a copy of the summons; both defendants being served on Nov. 27, 91 in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
Dec. 1st	Defendant M. A. Markarian through his attorney E. W. Risley enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
" 7	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 8, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 8	The above demurrer this day overruled and defendant M. A. Markarian given three days in which to answer.
" 11	A written answer this day filed for defendant M. A. Markarian by his attorney E. W. Risley, Esq..
" "	The above action set for trial on Dec. 16, 1891 at 9.30 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 15	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued. The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
" 15	On motion of defendant Peters the above trial is continued until Dec. 22, 91 at 9.30 A. M.
" 22	At the hour set the parties at interest are not all present and the Court orders the trial continued until Dec. 22 91 at 1 P. M.
" 22	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: M. Normart, R. A. Rose, R. R. Murray and H. Ashikian are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and M. Markarian, M. A. Markarian Harry Barton and A. F. Peters are sworn and testify in behalf of Defense. During the taking of the above testimony herein J. N. Serokian is sworn in and acts

(Continued on page 277)

No. 276

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Amanda J. Marceau, Administratrix of the Estate of John A. Fiske, deceased. Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Zetz Defendant

Action for rent

Demand, $262 50/100

Gradey & Austin
Attorneys for Plaintiff

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Nov. 27	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Chas. Fraser constable (M. A. Black deputy)
" "	An undertaking on release of attachment this day filed
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Samuel Zetz of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 27, 1891.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" "	Defendant through his attorney H. H. Welsh enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.

Rec'd Justice's fee $1.00

No. 277

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Marius Gaussand
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Espetallier
Defendant

Action Replevin suit

Demand, ~~$~~ 19 head of sheep or $66 50/100 their value + $50 ~~for~~ damages.

Grady + Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec.	1	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking in claim and delivery of personal property filed. Summons issued and delivered to Thos. Fraher constable (J. W. Thomas, deputy)
"	"	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Frank Espetallier in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 18, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
Dec.	3	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid. Clayton R. Prince Justice of the Peace.

M. Normart pltff
vs
M. A. Markarian et al defts

(Continued from page 275)

as Armenian interpreter. The testimony thereupon argued and submitted to the Court for consideration. Whereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff; and judgment is therefore rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Normart and against the defendants M. A. Markarian and A. J. Peters for the sum of $52 50/100 debt and for the sum of $13 20/100 witness fees and for the sum of $3 interpreters fees, and for the sum of $18 90/100 costs of suit.

Constables fee $9.40
Justice's fee 9.50
$18.90

Total judgment $87 60

Done in open Court Dec. 22, 1891.

Clayton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Constable's fee

1891
Dec. 22 Execution issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy).

" 23 The above execution returned satisfied in full and filed.

No. 278

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

G. W. Campbell, Plaintiff

vs.

R. G. Wood, Defendant

Action for services performed.

Demand, $113.66

L. M. Leatherman, Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891 1	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
" 2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant R. G. Wood in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 1st, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 5	Defendant this day through his attorney F. H. Short files a written demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
" 7	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 8, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 8	At the hour set defendant's demurrer is this day argued and by the Court taken under advisement.
" 9	The Court this day overrules defendant's demurrer and gives defendant two days in which to answer.
" 11	A written answer this day filed by F. H. Short, Esq. for defendant.
" "	A written offer to allow judgment by defendant to plaintiff for the sum of $60.00 + costs to the filing hereof. this day filed by F. H. Short, Esq. Atty. for defendant.
" 14	The above action set for trial on Dec. 17, 1891 at 9.30 A.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 16	Two subpoenas for witnesses for the plaintiff + the defendant this day issued + delivered to J. G. Lehman.
" 17	The above subpoenas served returned and filed.
" 17	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: G. W. Campbell, J. M. Ward, S. P. Rearick & Hoz. Hickens are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and R. G. Woods, C. H. Schleigh, J. W. South + J. W. Berry are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The testimony is then submitted to the Court for consideration without argument. The Court takes the same under advisement until Dec. 21st, 1891 at 10 A.M.
" 21	At the hour set the Court finds for the plaintiff and renders and enters judgment as follows, to wit: Judgment is hereupon rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. W. Campbell and against the defendant R. G. Woods

(Continued on opposite page)

No. 279

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Wm. Shortridge, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Hawkins, Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $21 45/100 & int. thereon at 1% per mo. from Feb. 28, 1891 & $25 00/100 attys fee

D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 1	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy)

G. W. Campbell

vs.

R. G. Woods

(Continued from opposite page)

for the sum of ($88 00/100) Eighty eight & Dollars debt & and
for the sum of ($6 60/100) Six and 60/100 Dollars witness fee
and for the sum of ($18 00/100) Eighteen Dollars costs of suit.
Justice fee = $10 00/100 Total judgment $112 60/100
Constable fee = 8 00/100 Done in open court Dec. 21, 1891
$18 00/100

Daniel R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

1891
Dec. 21st Defendant granted a five day stay of execution.
" 26 Notice of appeal this day filed.
" " Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
Dec. 31st 1891 Justice fee paid in full.

No. 280

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Hi Loy, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Mow + Wong Toy Tao, Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891 12	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo Matheson constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
Jan 1892 7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Wong Toy Tao of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 5, 1891; and said summons showing personal service of a copy of the said summons on said defendant Ah Mow in the County of Los Angeles on Dec. 12, 1891 by J. Murrieta a deputy sheriff of Los Angeles County.
	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
8	It appearing to this Court from the return of officer W. H. Harris endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was by the said officer personally served on the said defendant Wong Toy Tao in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California, on Dec. 5, 1891; and it appearing from the return of under-sheriff J. Murrieta of Los Angeles County attached to the said original summons that a true copy of said summons was by the said officer J. Murrieta personally served on the said defendant Ah Mow in the said Los Angeles County State of California on Dec. 12, 1891; and it appearing that said defendants have not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit — five days for defendant Wong Toy Tao and twenty days for Ah Mow, have fully expired now therefor their default is hereby entered on motion of R. M. Seaton Esq. attorney for the plaintiff herein; and on further motion of said plaintiff's attorney judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein Hi Loy and against the defendants herein Ah Mow

(Continued on page 286)

Rec'd Justice fees $1.00

No. 281

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

M. Lambert, Plaintiff

vs.

Esther Rose and C. Rose, Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchdse.

Demand, $145 65/100

C. M. Slaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 2nd	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Esther Rose of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Dec. 2nd, 1891.
8	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Esther Rose in the Third Township of Fresno County on Dec. 2nd 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefor on motion of C. M. Slaton attorney for plaintiff, defendant Esther Rose's default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said plaintiff's attorney C. M. Slaton judgment is rendered and entered in favor of M. Lambert the plaintiff herein and against Esther Rose the defendant herein for the sum of $145 65/100 debt and for the sum of $7 65/100 costs of suit. Justice's fee = $3.95 Total judgment $153 30/100 Constable's fee = 3.70 $7.65 Done in open Court Dec. 8, 1891 Gaylord R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
1891 Dec.	Execution issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
1892 Mar. 29	Execution this day returned & filed fully satisfied.

Judgment satisfied in full this 29th day of December 1891 D. McSeaton atty for Plff

No. 282

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mrs. E. Simpson
Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Cendra and Mrs. A. H. Cendra
Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand, $20 00/100

Mrs. E. Simpson
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in her own behalf

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec.	4	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Jno. Matthews constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. H. Cendra of a copy of the summons, and on the defendant Mrs. A. H. Cendra of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 10th, 1891
	"	Defendants this day enter a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Jan 4 192 at 10 A.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Jan	4	The above demurrer this day overruled by consent of defendant; and defendant enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
Feb.	6	The above action set for trial on Feb. 11, 192 at 10 A.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	11	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Charles R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Constable fee for WHH. " J. S. Doolittle

No. 283

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

P. P. Davis, Plaintiff

vs.

A. R. Hunsaker, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $10 00/100 + int. from Mar. 17, 91 at 1% per mo.

J. E. Hollitt, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 7	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. R. Hunsaker of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 7, 1891.
" 21	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant A. R. Hunsaker by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant A. R. Hunsaker in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 7, 1891 a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in said action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of plaintiff's attorney J. E. Hollitt judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff P. P. Davis and against the defendant A. R. Hunsaker for the sum of $10 00/100 debt and for the sum of ($.90) Ninety Cents interest and for the sum of $6 35/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $3.95 Total judgment $17 25/100 Constable fee 2.40 Done in open Court Dec. 21st, 1891 $6.35 David R. Prince Justice of the Peace.
1891 Dec. 22	Execution issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
1892 Feb. 23	Execution returned and filed fully satisfied.

No. 284

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

N. Tuzzi, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Bell Mc Gavock, Defendant

Action For board & lodging.

Demand, $ 197 25/100

O. M. Leaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews constable (W. H. Harris, deputy)
" 16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. Bell Mc Gavock by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 8, 1891 a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" "	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant A. Bell Mc Gavock in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 8, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired, now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of O. M. Leaton attorney for the plaintiff herein judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff N. Tuzzi and against the defendant A. Bell Mc Gavock for the sum of $197 25/100 debt and for the sum of $19 75/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $217 00/100. Done in open Court Dec 16, 1891. David R. Prince, Justice of the Peace. Justice's fee = $6.95 Constable's fee 12.80 $19.75
1892 Jan 11	Execution issued and delivered to W. H. Harris deputy Constable.
Sept 26	" returned & filed fully satisfied.

Received judgment including costs - and Mc Gavock satisfied Leaton atty for Plff

No. 285

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

C. J. Keller, Plaintiff

vs.

B. W. Doyle, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $55 00/100 + 2% per mo. from July 30, 91 + $25 00/100 attys fees

A. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

A. L. Evertz, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891	8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons & writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant B. W. Doyle of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 26, 1891
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	31	A written demurrer this day filed by A. L. Evertz Esq. attorney for defendant.
Jan 1892	4	A motion and affidavit this day filed by A. L. Evertz for the defendant
"	"	The above demurrer this day set for argument at 1.30 P. M. this day; also motion for the release of money attached.
"	"	The argument of the above demurrer + motion continued until Jan. 5, 1892 at 10 A. M.
"	5	Defendants demurrer this day overruled & defendant given two days in which to answer; the above motion argued & taken under advisement.
"	6	Plaintiff this day files a written affidavit.
"	7	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	18	The Court this day sustains the above motion and orders the money attached, released.
"	22	The above action set for trial on Jan 27, 192 at 9.30 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.

Rec'd fees & K.P.

No. 286

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. Weilheimer, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Trumper, Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merch sold and delivered

Demand, $74 65/100 & int

Geo. E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson constable

Rec'd from Plff my fees & expenses

Hi Loy
vs.
Ah Chew & Wang Toy Tao } Continued from page 280.

and Wang Toy Tao for the sum of $50.00/100 debt and for the sum of $1 25/100 interest and for the sum of $15.00/100 attorney's fee and for the sum of $21 25/100 costs of suit.

Justice's fee $7.65
Constable's fee 13.60
$21.25

Total judgment $87 60/100

Done in open Court Jan. 8, 1892

Chas. R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Jan. 1892 8		Execution issued and delivered to W. H. Harris deputy Constable under Matheson.
Mar. 29		Execution this day returned & filed unsatisfied.
1893 June 24		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw

No. 287

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Carlo Cozzoza, Plaintiff

vs.

G. B. Garbarino, Defendant

Action for mdse & labor done

Demand, $ 7 25/100

Carlo Cozzoza
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ in pro per

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. B. Eshman Constable.

No. 288

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

E. F. Bernhard, Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Holmes, Defendant

Action Money had & received.

Demand, $25.00/100

E. F. Bernhard, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec.	14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. B. Ashman Constable (F. H. Walton deputy)
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant S. A. Holmes in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 14, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
"	16	The above action this day dismissed and demand and costs paid.
		Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

John Morley vs. The Malaga Building etc. } Continued from page 289

at 4 P. M. Feb. 1st, 1892.

Feb.	1st	At the hour set the attorneys are present and argue the case; and the Court takes the matter under advisement.
"	15	The Court this day finds for the defendant. Therefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff John Morley take nothing by his action herein and that defendant The Malaga Building Association (a corporation) have its costs taxed at Thirty two and 20/100 Dollars.

Justice fee $17.00
Constable fee 6.00
$23.00
Witness fee 11.20
$34.20

Done in open Court Feb. 15, 1892.
Dante R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Feb.	15	Defendant granted a ten day stay of execution.
"	19	Memorandum of costs this day filed.
"	23	Notice of Appeal this day filed.

No. 289

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

John Morley, Plaintiff

vs.

The Malaga Building Association (a corporation) Defendant

Action for work furnished & labor done.

Demand, $26.00

G. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891	15	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant The Malaga Building Association by the said officer personally delivering to the President of the said Association Thos. Barr a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 15, 1891
"	21	Defendant through its attorney L. L. Cory, Esq. files a written demurrer to Plaintiff's complaint.
Jan	2	The above demurrer set for argument on Jan. 4, 1892 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
"	4	The arguing of the above demurrer continued until Jan. 5, 92 at 10 a.m.
"	5	The above demurrer is this day overruled by consent of the attorney for the defendant; and the defendant is given three days in which to answer.
"	8	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs complaint.
"	11	The above action set for trial on Jan. 15, 192 at 3 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
"		A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff duly issued.
"	13	The above subpoena served, returned and filed
"		The trial of the above action continued until Jan. 26, 192 at 2 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
"	26	At the hour set the parties of interest are present and the trial proceeds: L. L. Cory appears as attorney for the defendant; and W. P. Thompson appears as attorney for the plaintiff: J. W. Clingman, Geo. H. Romsley, T. A. Barr, John Morley and C. A. Clegram are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and T. A. Barr, G. W. Cartwright, H. W. Larue and J. W. Briscoe are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. Thereupon both sides rest and the court, by agreement of the attorneys of interest, fixes the hour for argument

(Continued on page 288)

Rec'd of Justice Court from Pltff. $2.50

No. 290

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. E. Hewlittle, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Clark and William Doe Marston, Defendant

Action for wines & liquors sold.

Demand, $ 56 00/100

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec.	15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment and delivered to Geo. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy.)
"	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. Marston of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 15, 1891
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Dayton R. Stone,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 791

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. H. Favors
Plaintiff

vs.

Marguerite Batz
Defendant

Action on an order

Demand, $ 100.00

Grady J. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

1891

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec	16	Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to J. T. Ashman Constable
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Marguerite Batz of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 16, 91
"	19	Defendant this day through her attorney H. H. Welsh enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
"	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 22, 91 at 1.30 P.M. & parties at interest notified thereof.

No. 292

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Albert P. Blackler
Plaintiff

vs.

Lopozich and Lopozich
Partners doing business under the firm name of Lopozich Bros.
Defendants

Action for goods & wages sold

Demand, $226 89/100

J. R. Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec.	16	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
"	"	Summons served, returned and filed.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned and filed.
"	"	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Dante R. Prince
Justice of the Peace

Rec. Cir. fees.
J. G. Ashman

No. 293

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mary Allison, Plaintiff

vs.

Lama Franklin, Defendant

Action for rent

Demand, $ 25 00/100

U. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec 17	Complaint filed and also affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment, summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. Mathison Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
" 21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Lama Franklin of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 17, 1891.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 26	It appearing to this Court from the return of the ~~return~~ of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Lama Franklin in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on the 17, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefore on motion of U. M. Seaton attorney for the plaintiff, defendant's default is hereby entered and on further motion of the aforesaid attorney judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mary Allison and against the defendant Lama Franklin for the sum of $25 00/100 debt and for the sum of $12 35/100 costs of suit. Constable's fee $5.40 Justice's fee 6.95 $12.35 Total judgment $37 35/100 Done in open Court this 26, 1891 Wm. P. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 294

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Mary W. Trimmer, Plaintiff

vs.

A. C. Joy, Defendant

Action on a note

Demand, $13 58/100 + $25 atty's fee

C. M. Leaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891	18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
"	19	Summons returned, served and filed.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed, on motion of plaintiff, and costs paid.

Justice of the Peace

Rec'd Cm. Fee.
W. H. Harris

No. 295

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

B. T. Scott, Plaintiff

vs.

Perrin Bros. Defendant

Action for goods wares

Demand, $ 121 00/100

B. T. Scott in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman.
" 23	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorney Frank H. Short.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 24/91 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 24	The above demurrer this day overruled and defendant given four days in which to answer.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service.
	Writ of attachment returned and filed.
1892 Jan 21	A written assignment this day filed.
	On motion of plaintiff the above action this day dismissed and costs paid.

Clayton R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 296

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. P. Blackler & Co.
Plaintiff

vs.

Perrin Bros
Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise

Demand, $ 150 23/100

A. P. Blackler
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891	19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo. R. Atkins constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
"	23	A written demurrer this day filed by Frank H. Short, Esq. attorney for defendants.
"	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 24, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal by the officer on the defendant W. C. Perrin of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint and on the defendant E. T. Perrin of a copy of the summons in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 19, 1891
"	28	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Jan 1892	21	An assignment this day filed by Scott et al vs Perrin Bros. on page 295 this docket.
"	"	An motion of plaintiff the above action this day dismissed and costs paid.

Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 297

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Ella G. Barton
Plaintiff

vs.

Perrin Bros
Defendant

Action for rent

Demand, $ 200 00/100

L. L. Cory
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 23	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorney Frank H. Short, Esq.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument on the 24, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 24	The above demurrer this day overruled and defendant given four days in which to answer.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
1892 Jan 21	An assignment this day filed by Scott et al vs. Perrin Bros. on page 295 this docket.
" "	On motion of plaintiff the above action this day dismissed and costs paid.

Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 298

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Barrett, Hicks & Co. Plaintiff

vs.

Perrin Bros. Defendant

Action for wages & under

Demand, $ 95 99/100

Arthur C. Banta
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
Dec. 1891	19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
"	23	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorney Frank H. Short, Esq.
"	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 24/91 at 1.30 P. M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
"	24	The above demurrer this day overruled and defendant given four days in which to answer.
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service.
"		Writ of attachment returned and filed.
Jan 1892	21	An assignment this day filed by Scott et al vs Perrin Bros. on page 295 this docket.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Olgate R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

No. 299

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, Plaintiff

vs.

Perrin Bros. Defendant

Action for money loaned.

Demand, $ 93.50/100

A. C. Barling, Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec	19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (F. H. Walton deputy)
"	23	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorney Frank H. Short, Esq..
"	"	The above demurrer set for argument on Dec. 24, 1891 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	24	The above demurrer this day overruled and defendants given four days in which to answer.
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service. Writ of attachment returned and filed.
1892 Jan	21	An assignment this day filed by Scott et al vs Perrin Bros on page 295 of this docket.
"	"	On motion of plaintiff the above action this day dismissed and costs paid.

Clayton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 300

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Hi Loy Plaintiff

vs.

Hang Sang Defendant

Action for house rent and goods furnished.

Demand, $ 74.00/100

L. M. Slater
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Gen. Mathews constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
22	Summons served, returned & filed.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed & costs paid.

Dante R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Rec. Con. Fees
W. H. Harris

No. 301

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

A. M. Clark & W. H. McKenzie Partners Plaintiffs

vs.

Mrs. E. J. Boland et al Defendants

Action for services rendered

Demand, $ 25 00/100

J. R. Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 21	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
1892 Jan. 2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the said defendant Mrs E. J. Boland of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Dec. 22, 1891.
4	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Mrs. E. J. Boland in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Dec. 22, 1891; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has fully expired now therefore on motion of J. R. Webb Esq. Attorney for the plaintiffs defendant Mrs. E. J. Boland's default is hereby entered and on further motion of the said attorney judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs A. M. Clark & W. H. McKenzie Partners and against the defendant Mrs. E. J. Boland for the sum of $25 00/100 debt and for the sum of $7 45/100 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $32 45/100
	Justice fee $3.95 Constable fee 3.50 $7.45
	Done in open Court Jan. 4, 1892 Clark R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
1892 Jan. 4	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Oct 3	" returned & filed unsatisfied.
Nov. 14	Abstract of judgment this day filed.
1893 January 5	Execution from Superior Court returned from San Francisco & filed unsatisfied in whole.

Rec'd from Plaff Attorney for Service

No. 302

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

J. E. Overlittle, Plaintiff

vs.

D. A. McGongall and Neil McCallum, Defendant

Action: Assigned account

Demand, $ 25 00/100

J. E. Overlittle in pro per, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec.	21	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matthews Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)
"	23	A written answer this day filed by defendants to plaintiffs complaint.
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Neil McCallum in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 21, 1891 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
1892 Jan	8	The above action set for trial on Jan. 18, 1892 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	18	The trial of the above action continued until Feb. 1, 1892 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Feb.	1st	The trial of the above action continued until Mar. 9, 1892, at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
Mar	9.	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: J. P. Penix, W. P. Thompson, & J. E. Overlittle are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Neil McCallum is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defense. The testimony is thereupon submitted to the Court without argument. Whereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. E. Overlittle and against the defendants D. A. McGongall and Neil McCallum for the sum of Twenty five Dollars debt and for the sum of Six and 50/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Justice's fee $4.50
Constable fee 2.00
$6.50

Total judgment $31 50/100

Done in open Court Mar 9, 1892

Lauton R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Mch Feb. Pd J. P. Costs + Constable Costs

No. 303

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. H. Ryan, Plaintiff

vs.

John Myers, Defendant

Action for goods sold and delivered

Demand, $ 19 50/100

W. H. Ryan in person
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec. 22	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (P. H. Walter deputy)
	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John Myers by the said officer personally delivering to the said defendant John Myers in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 22, 1891 a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 24	Defendant this day enters a general denial to plaintiff's complaint.
1892 Jan 2	The above action set for trial on Jan. 11, 192 at 10 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 11	At the hour set for trial the plaintiff W. H. Ryan is present and ready for trial; but the defendant John Myers not appearing at the hour set the Court awaits one hour for the appearance of the said defendant; and the said defendant not appearing after the lapse of one hour from the time set for trial the Court orders the trial to proceed: Thereupon the plaintiff W. H. Ryan is sworn & testifies in his own behalf and rests his case. The Court thereupon finds for the plaintiff; and judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. H. Ryan and against the defendant John Myers for the sum of $19 50/100 debt and for the sum of $11 35/100 costs of suit. Justice's fee $6.95 Constable's fee 4.40 $11.35 Total judgment $30 85/100 Done in open Court Jan 11, 192. Daniel R. Thrine, Justice of the Peace.
Jan 11	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 28	Execution returned and filed showing a partial satisfaction.

Rec'd fees 20 1/91 on 1/c of Con. fee $5.00
Rec'd on 1/c of Justice fee 5.00
Paid to Plaintiff $10.00

304 4qr. JD

No. 304

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

W. J. Murphy Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Baker and J. Pascal Guidicelli Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand, $ 271 65/100 and interest

A. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.	PROCEEDINGS.
1891 Dec 23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo. Matheson Constable (W. H. Harris deputy)

ntiff

dant